Cas	Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 1 of 269 Pageid#: 1					
	Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 11/9/2021					
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA					
2	CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION					
3	*************					
4	ELIZABETH SINES, ET AL.,	CIVIL CASE NO.: 3:17CV72 NOVEMBER 9, 2021, 9:00 AM				
5	D]	JURY TRIAL, DAY 12				
6	Plaintiffs, vs.					
7		Before:				
8		HONORABLE NORMAN K. MOON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
9	JASON KESSLER, ET AL.,	WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA				
10	Defendants.					
11	**************************************					
12						
13	For the Plaintiffs:	ALAN LEVINE, ESQUIRE COOLEY LLP				
14		1114 Avenue of the Americas, 46th Floor				
15		New York, NY 10036 212.479.6260				
16						
17		MICHAEL L. BLOCH, ESQUIRE EMILY C. COLE, ESQUIRE ROBERTA A. KAPLAN, ESQUIRE				
18		Kaplan Hecker & Fink LLP 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7110				
19		New York, NY 10118				
20						
21	Court Reporter: Lisa M. Bl					
22	255 West Main Street, Suite 304 Charlottesville, Virginia 22902 434.296.9284					
23						
24	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY; TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER.					
25						

23

24

25

571.215.9006

JOSHUA SMITH, ESQUIRE Smith LLC 807 Crane Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15216 917.567.3168

Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 3 of 269 Pageid#: <sup>3</sup> 24806 APPEARANCES CONTINUED: For the Defendants: RICHARD SPENCER, PRO SE P.O. Box 1676 Whitefish, MT 59937 

Cas	e 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 4 of 269 24807	Pageid#:				
1	INDEX OF WITNESSES					
2	WITNESSES ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:					
3	CHELSEA ALVARADO					
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Phillips	9 34				
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Cantwell Cross-Examination by Mr. ReBrook					
6	MATTHEW PARROTT					
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Bloch Cross-Examination by Mr. Kolenich	48 203				
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rolenich Cross-Examination by Mr. Spencer Cross-Examination by Mr. Campbell	211 216				
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Campbell Cross-Examination by Mr. Jones Cross-Examination by Mr. ReBrook	217 223				
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rebrook Cross-Examination by Mr. Cantwell Cross-Examination by Mr. Smith	224				
11	Closs Examination by Mr. Smith	240				
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

2 EXHIBITS ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:

2	EXHIBITS	ON BEHALF OF THE	PLAINTIFF:	
3		EXHIBIT:	Marked	Received
4		281	18	18
5		307	22	22
6		62	24	24
7		3321A	28	29
8		50	30	31
9		2371	50	50
10		604	51	51
11		2369	5 4	54
12		2376	57	57
13		1841	65	65
14		3379	76	76
15		2433	83	83
16		622	85	86
17		600	87	87
18		602	90	91
19		618	94	94
20		619	97	97
21		675	101	101
22		607	105	105
23		601	107	107
24		2372	109	109
25		2781	114	114

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

2 EXHIBITS ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:

2	EXHIBITS	ON BEHALF OF TI	HE PLAINTIF	F:	
3	EXHIBIT:		Marked	Received	
4		685		131	132
5		2373		145	145
6		3545		159	159
7		340		160	160
8		1875		161	161
9		2378		164	164
10		1892		165	165
11		2410		167	167
12		3394		169	169
13		1028		171	171
14		2370		173	173
15		2436		174	174
16		2375		176	176
17		2093		179	179
18		2476		185	185
19		3870		192	192
20		3871		192	192
21		3872		192	192
22		3873		192	192
23		3874		192	192
24		2540		196	196
25					

Cas	se 3:17-cv-00	0072-NKM-JCH	Document 1413 24810	3 Filed 11/09/21	L Page 7 of 269	Pageid#: <sup>7</sup>
1			INDEX OF	EXHIBITS		
2	EXHIBITS	ON BEHALF O	F THE DEFEND.	ANTS:		
3		EXHIBIT:		Marked I	Received	
4		CC-1503		40	40	
5		CC-319		46		
6		CC-2133		232	232	
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17 18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

```
1
    (Proceedings commenced, 9:00 a.m.)
 2
              THE COURT: Good morning. Call the jury.
 3
             While the jury is coming, I'll just tell you all that
   we -- the clerk, my clerk and one of the deputy clerks saw the
 4
 5
   jury and asked them about the possibility of their working
   Thursday. They're going to let us know something by lunch.
 6
 7
             MS. DUNN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
             MS. KAPLAN: Your Honor, I assume if that happens you
 9
   saw my letter saying --
10
             THE COURT: I'm sorry.
11
             MS. KAPLAN: I apologize. Assuming that happens, I
12
   assume you saw my email that said we're okay going through
13
   Thursday for our case and then they can start on Friday, which
14
   seems more practical and reasonable.
15
             THE COURT: All right.
16
             MR. CANTWELL: Judge, to stick with that timetable, I
17
    think we may need to address -- the jury is --
18
             THE COURT:
                         We'll talk about it later.
19
             MR. CANTWELL: All right.
20
    (Jury in, 9:02 a.m.)
21
              THE COURT: Be seated. Good morning, ladies and
22
   gentlemen. Before we begin, I'll remind everyone that under
23
   Standing Order 2020-12 and 2013-8, the Court's prohibition
24
   against recording and broadcasting court proceedings remains in
25
   force. Attorneys, parties, their staff and any members of the
```

public or press accessing this proceeding today may not record or broadcast it. That means no photography, no using of video or audio recording devices. No rebroadcasting, livestreaming or otherwise disseminating any live or recorded video or audio of this proceeding.

Okay. We will call the next witness.

MS. KAPLAN: Your Honor, plaintiffs call Plaintiff

Chelsea Alvarado.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

THE COURT: Where is this witness?

Where is the witness?

MS. PHILLIPS: She's right outside.

CHELSEA ALVARADO, CALLED BY THE PLAINTIFFS, SWORN

THE COURT: All right. Take your mask off.

You may proceed.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

# 17 BY MS. PHILLIPS:

- Q Good morning, Chelsea.
- 19 A Good morning.
- 20  $\mathbb{Q}$  Can you please introduce yourself to the jury?
- 21 A My name is Chelsea Alvarado.
- 22  $\parallel$ Q Chelsea, what city and state do you currently live in?
- 23 A Sterling, Virginia.
- 24 Q How long have you lived in Sterling?
- 25  $\blacksquare$ A A little over a year.

- 1 Q And where did you grow up?
- 2 A Fairfax County, Virginia.
- 3 Q So also in Northern Virginia?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Have you lived in Virginia your whole life?
- 6 A Yep.
- 7 Q Chelsea, what is your educational background?
- 8 A So I graduated from Sweet Briar College in 2017 with a
- 9 bachelor's of science in psychology, and then I am currently in
- 10 a part-time master's program in data science through UVa.
- 11 Q I'm sorry, through the University of Virginia?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Chelsea, are you also employed?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15 Q What is your job?
- 16 A I'm a junior biomedical data scientist.
- 17 Q And for whom do you work?
- 18  $\parallel$ A A small contractor that collaborates with the NIH.
- 19 Q And when you say NIH, what is the NIH?
- 20 A The National Institutes of Health.
- 21  $\parallel$ Q And what do you do as a junior biomedical data scientist?
- 22  $\parallel$ A Well, I'm still kind of like in training, but primarily
- 23 lit's just using, like, fancy computer algorithms and just
- 24 applying them to large data sets. Specifically for my work
- 25 | it's genomic data, because we're working on Alzheimer's and

- 1 dementia research.
- 2 Q How long have you been a junior biomedical data scientist?
- 3 A A month and a week.
- 4 **|**Q And what was your job prior to your current position?
- 5 A I was a connectome annotator, which is just a really fancy
- 6 name for a research technician.
- 7 Q You said a research technician?
- 8 A Yeah, a research technician.
- 9 Q And where were you employed as a research technician?
- 10 A The Janelia Research Campus, which is a part of the Howard
- 11 | Hughes Medical Institute.
- 12 Q Chelsea, the jury has heard about events that happened on
- 13 August 11th and August 12th, 2017, here in Charlottesville,
- 14 Virginia. Were you at any of those events?
- 15 A I was present on August 12th.
- 16 Q Where were you living on August 12th, 2017?
- 17 A Richmond, Virginia.
- 18 | Q And did you travel from Richmond to Charlottesville on
- 19 August 12th?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Why did you go to Charlottesville that day?
- 22 A I mean, some friends had invited me and I thought about it
- 23 a little bit and really, I mean, just having -- you know, being
- 24 born in Virginia, grown up in Virginia, attended college in
- 25 Virginia, I just felt very strongly that I wanted to, you know,

- 1 be there and symbolically represent and basically just, you
- 2 know, stand up for what I believed in, which was just -- I just
- 3 didn't agree with what the other party was -- what their
- 4 message was.
- 5 So, you know, I just wanted to be there and be, like, I'm
- 6 | not scared of your message. You know, I wanted to represent
- 7 | what I believed Virginia was -- you know, what it stands for.
  - Q Had you ever attended an event like this before?
- 9 A No.

- 10 Q Do you recall when you learned that this event on August
- 11 12th was going to happen?
- 12 A Yeah. I believe it was like the Tuesday or the Wednesday
- 13 before it happened.
- 14 Q Before August 12th?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q So that same week?
- 17 A Yeah.
- 18 Q And do you recall how you learned about it?
- 19 A couple of friends had told me about it and asked me if I
- 20 wanted to attend.
- 21  $\parallel$ Q When did you arrive in Charlottesville on August 12th?
- 22  $\blacksquare$ A That morning, around like 8:30 or 9 in the morning.
- 23 Q And did you drive in with anyone else?
- 24 A No.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q Did you plan to meet anyone in Charlottesville?

- 1 A Just the friends that had invited me.
- Q Do you recall where you parked?
- 3 A Some residential street near downtown.
- 4 Q Chelsea, did you have any weapons on you that day?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Did you have any flags or banners or signs with you that
- 7 day?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Did you have anything that you could throw or that could
- 10 be used as a weapon?
- 11 A Uh-uh, no.
- 12 Q That's a no?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14  $\parallel$ Q What did you have with you on August 12th, 2017?
- 15  $\parallel$ A I just had my cellphone, a drawstring bag, my car keys and
- 16  $\parallel$ I had my health insurance card because I had lost my license,
- 17 which turned out to be under some clothes in my room.
- 18 Q What were you wearing that day, if you recall?
- 19 A Yeah, I was wearing a pair of blue skinny jeans, a pair of
- 20 my Doc Martens, which are just boots, a band T-shirt and a hat.
- 22 residential street?
- 23 A Yeah, so I ended up walking to what I now know as the
- 24 Bridge.
- 25 Q Something called "the Bridge"?

- 1 A Yeah.
- 2 Q And what specifically did you do while you were at the
- 3 Bridge?
- 4 A I mean, I waited around for my friends to show up. I was
- 5 just kind of like observing what was going on since I didn't
- 6 particularly know what to expect. You know, someone brought a
- 7 bunch of instruments and was like, hey, you know, anyone who
- 8 wants to participate with, like, the chants and play music, go
- 9 ahead and pick some. It was a different arrangement of stuff.
- 10 And I went ahead, and I wanted this, like, small drum, but
- 11 someone grabbed it before I could get to it. So I ended up
- 12 with a larger drum, probably like this size.
- 13 | Q What did the drum look like?
- 14 A It was fairly large and metallic blue.
- 15  $\mathbb{Q}$  Why did you choose the drum?
- 16 A Well, I mean, like, growing up, I had played, like, the
- 17 | violin and in college I learned the cello. I had always wanted
- 18 to, like, learn how to play the drums, because I would see the
- 19  $\parallel$ drum line in high school and I thought it was super cool. I
- 20 was like, oh, let me give it a shot.
- 21  $\parallel$ Q After you met up with your friends near the Bridge, where
- 22 did you go?
- 23 A I guess we ended up walking towards Emancipation Park.
- 24 Q And did you end up at Emancipation Park?
- 25  $\blacksquare$ A On the outskirts of it.

1 MS. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, with permission, I'd like 2 to put up the demonstrative of the map of Charlottesville, and 3 specifically Emancipation Park. 4 THE COURT: You may. 5 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. 6 Matt, can you blow up the area around Emancipation 7 Park, please. BY MS. PHILLIPS: 8 And Chelsea, if you can identify on your screen there --9 it's a touch screen, you can go ahead and mark it. If you can 11 identify where you were located around Emancipation Park, 12 please. 13 Right about there. 14 Thank you. And what were you doing when you were standing on that corner? 15 16 I was just playing the drum, trying to, like, pick up on 17 the beat of the chants to just match up with it. That was 18 really it. 19 Did you participate in any of the chants as you beat your 20 drum? 21 No, because I'm really bad at doing more than one thing at 22 once. So I could only focus on one thing. 23 Chelsea, did you see any violence occur from where you 24 were standing that day on August 12th, 2017?

Yeah. So I'm pretty sure -- it was pretty hard to see

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things, but I did experience -- one event that I did experience was behind me where, you know, the protesters were coming in, I did hear, like, something going on. So I turned around and I saw what I presumed to be one of the alt-right members with, like, a flag, like, jabbing at somebody along the side of the sidewalk who would I assume be a counter-protester. Okay. And you said that you assumed it was an alt-right person. Why did you make that assumption? Yeah, because the person who was, you know, jabbing, he was dressed very similarly to the large group that was, like, around him. So just out of, you know, knowing that there was, like, uniforms going on and a dress code amongst them, it just made sense, since the counter-protesters, as far as I know, didn't have a dress code. The individual that you saw, was that person part of a larger group heading toward the park? Yeah. Α At some point did you leave the area around Emancipation Park? Yeah, when the state of emergency was declared. Where did you go when you left Emancipation Park? We walked up, I believe, Second Street, and then we stopped by, like, this park next to what I believe is the circuit court. We were there for a few minutes, and then we walked to McGuffey Park.

- Q What did you do at McGuffey Park?
- 2 A I mean, I sat down because I was tired. I was hot and I
- 3 was carrying this drum. And then people were just, like,
- 4 talking, eating food because there was, like, snacks and stuff.
- 5 At one point I joined in. Like, they were having a good jam
- 6 session and I thought it would be kind of fun.
- 7 And then, yeah, I was just kind of like sitting around and
- 8 just, like, listening to people and trying to, you know, see
- 9 what was going on.
- 10 Q At some point did you leave McGuffey Park?
- 11 A Yeah.

- 12 Q Did you end up at the intersection of Fourth and Water?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14  $\|Q\|$  And what happened when you were at that intersection?
- 15 A So, I mean, all I can really remember is that people at
- 16 the front were saying "to the left," "to the left." So I just
- 17 | followed along and turned left at Fourth Street. Yeah.
- 18 Q And after you turned left up onto Fourth Street, what
- 19 | happened at that point?
- 20 A I mean, I guess we walked up for -- not far, maybe like a
- 21 minute, and then, you know, next thing you know, the attack
- 22 happened.
- 23 Q And when you say "the attack happened," what do you mean?
- 24 A James Alex Fields Jr.'s car plowed into the crowd.
- 25 Q And were you hit?

25

in this photograph?

So from what I can remember, I got up really fast

as soon -- I guess as soon as I kind of knew what was happening

just out of habit, since I played, like, recreational sports

all through my life and it's just kind of a habit to, as soon

by the vehicle?

Yeah.

21

22

23

24

as you fall, to get back up. And I remember I turned around --I turned around and at some point I saw, like, Natalie propped 2 3 up against that pickup truck that you see in the picture. I very quickly just got myself up against the wall really fast 5 because I was scared that we were going to get hit again. And what did you see next after you pressed yourself up 6 7 against the wall? 8 Yeah. The car reversing. 9 What did you do after the car reversed? 10 I mean, I very quickly looked for Natalie, but she was 11 already, like, being helped by a bunch of people. So I didn't 12 really want to add on to, like, what was going on there. 13 was kind of like standing to the side. There was this one girl 14 who was, like, on the ground next to me. She was visibly in pain. So I was trying to help her as best I could, but she 15 16 had, like -- I believe, like, dislocated her shoulder. 17 there wasn't really much I could do. 18 But, yeah -- and then apparently at some point during that 19 I had, like, Facetimed my mom to tell her something had 20 happened. I guess she, like, booked it down to Charlottesville 21 from Northern Virginia at that point. 22 Do you know -- do you know the individuals who were 23 treating Natalie that you said you saw? 24 No.

At some point did you provide any assistance to Natalie?

- A I helped walk her to an open street once she had been -- finished being helped.
  - Q And why did you do that?

1

2

- 4 A Because, you know, I knew her and I had been walking up
- 5 with her from, like, McGuffey Park to that point. And, yeah, I
- 6 just tried to find one of my other friends I recognized to help
- 7 me try to get help for her because I didn't really know what to
- 8 do because myself, I was in shock as well.
- 9 Q Were you able to get her into an ambulance?
- 10 A Yeah, eventually, yes.
- 11 Q And after Natalie was in the ambulance, what happened --
- 12 what happened to you?
- 13 A Yeah, so, I mean, like, I think what's like a fire
- 14  $\parallel$  battalion chief also came along with the ambulance because I
- 15 guess, like, whoever had called had said that I needed help as
- 16 | well. And, I mean, he came and, like, put me in the car. And
- 17 | then a police officer came and, like, briefly questioned me for
- 18 | what I had seen. And then the fire battalion chief took me to
- 19 the medical tent behind the hospital.
- 20  $\mathbb{I}$ Q What happened at the medical tent?
- 21 A I mean, I got checked out at that point. I had a lot of
- 22  $\parallel$ lower body pain from being hit. And then they also, like,
- 23 checked my upper body because I had had this, like, burning in
- 24 my, like, armpit area, right armpit area. But like, because
- 25 the shirt I was wearing at the time was kind of long-sleeved,

```
they couldn't really check it out. It turns out it had been
 2
   like a rope burn from where the strap of the drum had, like,
 3
   ripped off.
        Then I remember, I asked if my ankles were okay because I
 4
 5
   had injured them quite a few times playing sports. And they
   said no, your boots saved you. I was like, okay, good.
 7
   just waited for my mom to show up.
 8
        How long were you in the medic tent?
 9
        Probably about a little over an hour.
10
        And did your mother eventually come?
11
        Yes.
12
              MS. PHILLIPS: I'd like to show the witness
13
   Plaintiffs' 0307, please.
14
              THE COURT: You may.
15
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0307 marked.)
16
   BY MS. PHILLIPS:
17
        Chelsea, do you recognize this photograph?
18
        Yes.
19
        What is it?
20
        You can see the drum I was wearing, and then there's
21
   Natalie's blood.
22
              MS. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I'd like to admit
   Plaintiffs' 0307 and publish it to the jury, please.
23
24
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
25
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0307 admitted.)
```

25

Α

Yeah.

22

23

24

25

Yes.

the ER to get checked out by them.

Did you go to the emergency room?

Do you recall which emergency room you went to?

- A I believe it was the Bon Secours in Mechanicsville,
  Virginia.
- 3 Q What treatment, if any, did you receive for the concussion?
- 5 A So I ended up going to concussion therapy, yeah.
- 6 Q And what does that involve?
- 7 A So in this therapy program it was primarily, like,
- 8 exercises -- vestibular exercises. So, like, exercises with
- 9 your eyes, like, training your eyes, and then, like, balance
- 10 exercises.
- 11 | Q For how long did you receive that concussion therapy?
- 12 A I think somewhere between two and three months.
- 13  $\mathbb{Q}$  Did you experience any cognitive symptoms as a result of
- 14 the concussion?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16  $\|Q\|$  Can you tell the jury a bit about those, please?
- 17 A Yeah. I mean, it was, like, quite an assortment of
- 18 symptoms. But at first it was, like, headaches. I had really
- 19 | bad, like, balance issues. Like, I would wake up the morning
- 20  $\parallel$ and I would feel like one of those, like -- those, like,
- 21 | inflatable things you see in front of car dealerships that are
- 22 like, woo. So that was, like, really bad.
- I had a really hard time, like, looking at text, books,
- 24 cell phones. So I couldn't look at screens for more than,
- 25 like, a minute or two initially.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

So that was initially the symptoms I experienced. But then it kind of, like, transitioned into issues with, like, focus, concentration, speech issues; like, general, like, speed of thinking. You said that you developed some speech issues. Can you explain what that was? Yeah. So, I mean, two things I can remember best are, one, I would talk way faster than I could think. So a lot of times, like, my words just came out as, like, jumbles. And then the other one was, like, I would often, like, flip my sentences and stuff. So, like, if you can think of, like, any common phrase, I would put the end at the start and the start at the end. You referenced headaches. Approximately how long did the headaches last? So initially they were really bad and they would happen multiple times a day for, like, the first month or so. Eventually they started becoming less frequent and not as bad.  $oxed{\mathsf{I}}$   $oxed{\mathsf{I}}$  sporadic. But, like, even to this day I still get them. I'm sorry. You said you still get them to this day? Q Yeah. Prior to 2017, did you have any history of headaches? No. I had never had a headache in my life.

Did you experience dizziness as a result of the

1 concussion? 2 Yes. 3 And how long did that dizziness last? 4 Like, the really bad dizziness lasted for about a month, 5 two months. But even now I still get, like, pretty bad vertigo when I, like, get up too fast or -- yeah. 7 And you described for the jury some of the cognitive 8 problems that you experienced as a result of the concussion. Do any of those cognitive issues, the speech issues you talked about, the speed of thinking, do those still affect you today? 10 11 Yeah. So I still have a really hard time with, like, 12 focusing, concentrating. My speed of thinking is still, like, 13 very slow. Like, prior to the accident, like, I was very fast 14 to think. Like, you know, I didn't need things explained too much to me in detail, but now I have to, like, break things 15 16 down step by step, which really slows me down. 17 And how do those affect your role -- your current role as 18 a data research scientist? 19 Yeah, so an article that would have taken me maybe, like, 20 two hours to read prior to the accident now takes me, like, all 21 day to, like, read and, like, annotate, because, like, I'll get 22 distracted very easily. I get up and I do other things because 23 I just can't focus. 24 And when it comes to, like, writing out, like, code and messing around with that kind of stuff, it's just, like, very 25

- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And have you reviewed the underlying exhibit that this
- 23 || chart summarizes?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And is this summary chart accurate?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 29 of 269 Pageid#2.9
                          C. Alvarado - Direct
 1
         Yeah.
 2
              MS. PHILLIPS: I'd like to admit Plaintiffs' 3321A,
 3
   please.
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
 4
 5
              MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you.
 6
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 3321A admitted.)
 7
    BY MS. PHILLIPS:
 8
         Chelsea, were you employed in August of 2017?
 9
         Yes.
10
              MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Spalding, you can take that down.
11
   Thank you.
    BY MS. PHILLIPS:
12
13
         Where were you employed in 2017?
14
         A Village Youth & Family Services.
15
         Was that in Richmond?
16
         Yes.
   Α
17
         What did you do there?
18
         I was a crisis counselor.
19
         What impact, if any, did the injuries that you sustained
20
   from the car attack have on your ability -- your short-term
21
   ability to do that work?
22
         Yeah. So, I mean, I was in a lot of pain for, like, the
23
   first few weeks, like, just general body pain. So the job
24
   itself required me to spend, like, all day with clients and,
25
   you know, like, drive them around to, like, resources in the
```

1 community. So that really impacted it. I couldn't drive for a few weeks because just, like, my ability to drive and navigate 2 3 was just, like, very messed up at that point. I couldn't find myself around, even with, like, a GPS on. I would miss turns 4 5 all the time. Was that previously a problem for you? 6 7 No. I was like a human GPS. 8 Before the car attack? 9 Α Yeah. 10 Were you -- did you miss any work after the car attack 11 happened? 12 Yes. 13 How much work did you miss? For how long? 14 About, like, a month and a half. 15 MS. PHILLIPS: I'd like to show the witness 16 Plaintiffs' 0050, please. (Plaintiff Exhibit 0050 marked.) 17 BY MS. PHILLIPS: 18 19 Chelsea, do you recognize this document? 20 Α Yes. 21 It's small print. Mr. Spalding will blow it up for you. 22 There you go. 23 What is this document? 24 A tweet I had made.

This was a tweet from 2017?

25 Q And what did you earn as a crisis counselor at A Village

45 hours a week. It just really depended on how the client was

23

24

feeling.

- Youth & Family Services?
- 2 A I was making \$18 an hour.
- 3 Q After you returned to work, did you have any other issues
- 4 performing your job?
- 5 A Yeah. So, I mean, indirect -- like, work with clients, it
- 6 was really difficult to balance my own personal issues that
- 7 were going on at the time and then at the same time, you know,
- 8 trying to help the client address what they were going through
- 9 and trying to help them. It was very hard.
- 10 And then on top of that, after, the, like, six to
- 11 eight hours I would spend a day with the client, depending, it
- 12 was just very hard to have to go home and then work on
- 13 documentation. So I'd go from working to going home to working
- 14 | again, until I fell asleep, and just kind of repeat that cycle
- 15 over and over.
- 16  $\mathbb{Q}$  Did you eventually leave that position?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18  $\mathbb{Q}$  Chelsea, did you seek counseling or therapy after the car
- 19 attack?
- 20 **|** A I did.
- 21 Q Why did you do that?
- 22 A I mean, it was just a lot to process. I was, like, in a
- 23 place where I was very lonely. I was isolated. I didn't have
- 24 any family in the area. Any friends I had made at that point,
- 25 | I had only had for about a month, so I didn't particularly

- have, like, a solid relationship with them. So I didn't feel comfortable going to them. So I figured going to therapy might help me, you know, try to sort out everything that was going on and help me move on.
- 5 Q Did you receive any diagnoses?
- 6 A Yeah. So, I mean, depression, anxiety, and PTSD.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$  Sorry. You said depression, anxiety, and PTSD?
  - A Yes.

- 9 Q What kind of PTSD symptoms did you have?
- 10 A I mean, I had nightmares for the first few weeks, like,
- 11 every night. I had flashbacks; especially, like, when I would
- 12 hear certain sounds, it would bring flashbacks to me. So,
- 13 like, sounds of, like, tires squealing or, like, metal
- 14 | crunching, like if a car hit another car. Really, any loud
- 15 noises would, like, really, like, scare me, kind of, like, set
- 16 me off.
- 17 Q Did you suffer from any triggers?
- 18 A Yeah. So those sounds. For a short period of time,
- 19 ||really seeing any type of, like, gray sports car would set me
- 20 |off and, like, just kind of like scare me. I couldn't stand,
- 21 | like, on the side of the road, particularly, like, in downtown
- 22 Richmond, because the cars are so close to you it would, like,
- 23 scare me. And I would just kind of try to avoid walking on the
- 24 sidewalks.
- 25 Q And you mentioned a depression diagnosis. How did that

# C. Alvarado - Cross

```
manifest itself?
2
        Yeah, so, I mean, I had, like, no energy. I didn't want
3
   to leave my house or my apartment. You know, I isolated for a
   little bit, not for too long. I would, like, kind of try to
4
5
   cope with the isolation and loneliness with alcohol. Just in
   general, I was very withdrawn, and just kind of like -- I was
6
7
  igust, like, sad and everything felt kind of pointless for quite
   some time.
8
       You also mentioned anxiety. How long did your anxiety
   last?
10
11
        It never particularly stopped. I've been able to manage
   it with medication. But -- yeah.
12
13
        Chelsea, do you still seek mental health treatment?
14
        Yes.
15
             MS. PHILLIPS: No further questions.
16
             THE COURT: Thank you.
17
             MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Chelsea.
18
             THE COURT: Any cross?
19
             MR. SMITH: Nothing, Your Honor.
20
             MR. CANTWELL: I will.
21
             MR. SPENCER: I have no questions.
22
             MR. CANTWELL: Cantwell will.
23
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
24
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
25
        Hello, Ms. Alvarado.
```

#### C. Alvarado - Cross

- 1 **A** Hi.
- 2 Q You said some friends invited you to the August 12th
- 3 event?
- 4 A Yeah.
- 5 0 Which friends?
- 6 A They were just some friends I had met in Richmond through
- 7 a childhood friend.
- 8 0 What were their names?
- 9 A I mean, the ones I can remember are Natalie Romero.
- 10 Kendall King. Luca -- I don't know Luca's last name. And, I
- 11 mean, there was another friend I had known since childhood, but
- 12 we kind of, like, reconnected. And their name is Liza.
- 13 Q Liza?
- 14 Did Ms. Romero tell you what happened the night before at
- 15 UVa?
- 16 **A** No.
- 17 Q Ms. Romero invited you to the August 12 event, but didn't
- 18 tell you what happened the night before?
- 19  $\blacksquare$ A She wasn't one of the ones who directly invited me. And I
- 20 | wasn't in contact with her prior. I had just, like, seen her
- 21 | that week before, but I wasn't in constant communication with
- 22 her.
- 23 Q I'm sorry. Who did directly invite you?
- 24 A I can't remember who it was, but it was, like, someone in
- 25 that group.

# C. Alvarado - Cross

- Q You can't remember. Okay.
- 2 And whoever it was, they didn't tell you about what had
- 3 happened the night before at UVa?
- 4 A No, because I presumed they were busy handling that. And
- 5 I wasn't particularly concerned, because I wasn't even supposed
- 6 to go that day.

- 7 Q You presumed that they were busy handling it, as in you
- 8 already knew about it?
- 9 A No, but, like, they weren't communicating with me because,
- 10 you know, they were probably busy. No one was texting me or
- 11 | anything like that.
- 12 Q Okay. So in any case, when you arrived on August 12th,
- 13 you had no idea what happened the night before?
- 14 A I mean, I think I had seen, like, a BuzzFeed article. But
- 15 I wasn't, like, busy with that, or keeping up with that. I had
- 16 other things to do.
- 17 **|**Q So you read a BuzzFeed article that said there was
- 18 | violence at UVa the night before?
- 19 A I honestly can't remember.
- 20 Q Ms. Romero [sic], when you attended the August 12th events
- 21 did you understand there to be violence the prior evening?
- 22 I'm so sorry. You're Ms. Alvarado. I apologize.
- 23 A Yeah. I honestly can't remember.
- 24 Q You can't remember?
- 25 A (No verbal response.)

- Okay. You can't remember what side street you parked 1 2 down, either? 3 No. I presume it was near the bridge. 4 Okay. And when you found yourself outside of Emancipation 5 Park, playing the drums, did anybody in particular tell you to stand right there? 6 7 No. I had a buddy that I had been assigned. I didn't 8 know who she was -- like, I had never met her prior to being 9 paired up with her -- but we were supposed to stay together. 10 Since I had the drum and had limited mobility, I just figured it would be best to, like, stand off to the side and just mind 11 12 my own business. 13 I'm sorry. So you had a buddy that you didn't know? 14 Yeah. So, like, at the bridge, like, people paired up. And like I said prior, I wasn't supposed -- like, I had -- due 15 16 to work, I wasn't supposed to go on August 12th. So, like, I 17 didn't have, like, somebody that, like, I had prearranged to, 18 like, be buddies with. So people who didn't have buddies at 19 the time just kind of, like, got randomly paired up. 20 I'm sorry. Was the buddy system part of a plan? 21 I mean, I just -- like, when I was at the bridge, they 22 were like, people need to buddy up. And they were like, if you 23 don't have a buddy, stand over here, and then they just kind
  - Q Did your friends who invited you seem to be aware of this

24

25

of, like, paired us up.

1 plan?

6

- 2 A I mean, everybody kind of had already had a close friend.
- 3 So -- I mean, I don't know if they knew or not.
- 4 Q Why not buddy up with one of your friends who invited you?
- 5 A Because they all already had partners.
  - Q They already had partners. Okay.
- When you were being examined by plaintiffs' counsel, they asked you if you saw any violence. And my notes here say that you said it was pretty hard to see things.
- 10 Can you elaborate on what was difficult to see?
- 11 A I'm 5'3" and a half. So most people are taller than me.
- 12 So, I mean, I just couldn't see past people, for the most part.
- 13 If I wanted to see anything, generally I would have to, like,
- 14  $\parallel$ get on my tiptoes. And even then it was a very limited view.
- 15 Q So you meet up with your buddy on the bridge. They take
- 16 ∥you over to -- I guess that's the library that you're in front
- 17 of, right?
- 18 A I guess so, yeah.
- 19  $\mathbb{I}$ Q The building with columns in front of it?
- 20 A Yeah, I'm not from Charlottesville. So I assume that's
- 21 what it is.
- 22 Q And you said you heard something, you turned around, and
- 23 you saw somebody jabbing someone with a flag?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And did you see anybody who you didn't assume to be a

- 1 rally-goer engaging in any violence?
- 2 A I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
- 3 Q You assumed that the person who jabbed the person with the
- 4 | flag was a rally-goer, right -- well, somebody who was
- 5 attending the rally, right?
- 6 A Yeah.
- 7 Q Because of their clothing; is that right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Did you see anybody who you did not presume to be a
- 10 rally-goer engaging in any violence?
- 11 A I can't say that I did.
- 12 Q You can't say that you did or you didn't?
- 13 A Like, I can't remember.
- 14 ||Q You can't remember if you saw any other violence?
- 15 A No, because I was preoccupied with my drum.
- 16 Q You were preoccupied with your drum.
- 17 And did you have the drum when you came?
- 18 A No. People were just like -- they had laid out
- 19 | instruments at the bridge and they just, like, let people pick
- 20 | them if they wanted to.
- 21 Q Just take this drum, walk away? Were you expected to
- 22 || return the drum?
- 23 **|** A Yes.
- 24  $\mathbb{Q}$  Okay. Who were you supposed to return the drum to?
- 25  $\blacksquare$ A Some guy. I don't know who they were.

21 (Plaintiff Exhibit 1503 admitted.) 22 MR. CANTWELL: Please pardon my technical 23 difficulties. Give me just a second here.

24 (Video playing.)

25 BY MR. CANTWELL:

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 41 of 269 Pageid#. 1
                           C. Alvarado - Cross
         Do you remember that chant, the "Black Lives Matter"
 1
 2
   chant?
 3
         I don't remember it.
 4
              (Video playing.)
 5
         Now, it was established you didn't bring any flags or
 6
   anything like that with you, right?
 7
         No.
 8
         And no weapons?
 9
         No.
10
         All right. Did you see any flagpoles in the crowd that
11
   you were marching with?
12
         I mean --
13
              MS. PHILLIPS: Objection.
                                          When?
                             I'm sorry.
14
              MR. CANTWELL:
15
              MS. PHILLIPS: Objection.
16
              MR. CANTWELL: Objection? Okay.
17
              THE COURT: Overruled.
   BY MS. PHILLIPS:
18
19
         Did you see any flagpoles?
20
         I mean, I'm sure I did. But I just didn't process them.
   So I don't remember.
21
22
         Did you see anybody wearing helmets?
23
         I did on the other side; so not the counter-protesters.
24
   But I wasn't particularly looking for what people were wearing
25
   that day.
```

- 1 Q So you saw helmets when you were over by Emancipation
- 2 Park, but did you see helmets on Water Street?
- 3 A I wasn't paying attention to what people were wearing. I
- 4 was just walking.
- 5 Q Well, just to be clear, I think that you just said that
- 6 you saw helmets on one side, but not the other side. And now
- 7 | we're over on Water Street, and you just don't know?
- 8 A I'm not saying that. I mean, I could have seen them, but
- 9 I just didn't process them.
- 10 Q Okay. What about people wearing masks?
- 11 A Like, can you be more specific as to what you mean by
- 12 "mask"?
- 13 Q Well, in 2017 I am sure you'll recall that was unusual.
- 14 Did you see anybody covering their face?
- 15 A I mean, I don't remember what anyone specific, but I know
- 16 | people were wearing, you know, like, bandannas and stuff.
- 17  $\parallel$ Q Okay. Did you notice anything in particular about the
- 18 | bandannas?
- 19 A No. I wasn't looking for anything.
- 20 Q Did you see people wearing red bandannas?
- 21  $\parallel$ A I wasn't paying attention to the color of them.
- 22 | Q But did you see some of those people covering their faces
- 23 with the bandannas?
- 24 A I can't say that I did.
- 25 Q Can't say that you did?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 43 of 269 Pageid#.3
                           C. Alvarado - Cross
         Again, I wasn't looking for what other people were doing.
 1
 2
         Okay.
 3
              (Video playing.)
 4
         Is that sort of like the red bandannas you saw?
 5
         Again, I didn't pay attention to the color of the
 6
   bandannas.
 7
         Did you see anybody carrying baseball bats?
 8
         I don't remember anyone.
 9
              (Video playing.)
         Do you notice that sign with the red fist? Does that mean
10
11
   anything to you?
12
         No.
13
         Okay. Did you notice several of those signs while you
14
   were marching?
15
         I mean, I remember seeing signs, but I don't remember any
16
   of the information or, like, anything that was on the signs.
17
         Okay.
   Q
18
              (Video playing.)
19
         Do you remember that chant, the "Whose streets? Our
20
   streets"?
21
         No. I don't remember it specifically.
22
         Do you perceive that to be a violent chant?
23
         No.
24
         Okay.
25
              (Video playing.)
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 44 of 269 Pageid#.4
                           C. Alvarado - Cross
 1
         Did you see this man while you were there?
 2
         No.
 3
         With the black bandanna and the, like, armor and stuff.
   You didn't see him?
 4
 5
         No. There was a lot of people.
 6
         Okay.
 7
              (Video playing.)
 8
         Did you notice this man with the red helmet?
 9
         I mean, not at the time, no.
10
         Okay.
11
              (Video playing.)
12
         Let's move on to something else.
13
         So I think that when you were up here, they showed us --
14
    the attorneys, they showed us -- it was 0281.
15
              MR. CANTWELL: Can I run over and get something out
16
   of my folder there real quick?
17
              THE COURT: Okay.
18
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
19
         Okay. So we established that Plaintiffs' Exhibit 0281,
20
   that's a fair and accurate depiction of the moment that you got
21
   hit by the car. We saw your drum over there in the corner,
22
   right?
23
         Yeah.
24
         Let's take another look at another moment in there, 0291.
```

Now, you don't have anything to do with Antifa, right?

25

But this is a fair and accurate depiction of the moment

23

24

25

Α

see that Antifa flag?

No.

testified she had been hit by the car and was pushed up against

MR. CANTWELL: Okay. No further questions.

THE COURT: Sustained.

22

23

24

25

this --

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 47 of 269 Pageid#. /
                          C. Alvarado - Cross
              THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Any redirect?
 1
 2
              MS. PHILLIPS: No, sir.
              THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Who's the next
 3
    witness?
 4
 5
              MS. KAPLAN: Plaintiffs call Defendant Matthew
 6
   Parrott, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: All right.
 8
              THE CLERK: Your Honor, Edward ReBrook has some
 9
    cross.
10
              THE COURT:
                          What?
11
              THE CLERK: Edward ReBrook has some cross.
12
              THE COURT: Tell him -- just a minute. Mr. ReBrook
13
   wanted to ask you a couple of questions.
              All right. Mr. ReBrook, go ahead.
14
15
              MR. REBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. REBROOK:
17
        Ms. Alvarado, thank you for coming in and giving
18
19
   testimony. I can only imagine how difficult it must be to
20
   relive this trauma and I have no interest in exacerbating that,
21
   so I'm going to keep this very short.
        Okay.
22
23
        You mentioned that you weren't paying attention to what
24
   people were wearing.
25
        Yeah.
   Α
```

And you've been programming since elementary school,

24

25

right?

And a white ethnostate is a nation that consists only of

Only white people as citizens. You would have permanent

residents, tourists, visitors, just like Japan is a Japanese

22

23

24

25

white people, right?

ethnostate. And it would categorically preclude any forced migrations or any violations of anyone else's human rights. 2 3 But yes. And you believe that the Jewish community is, in your 4 5 words, actively hostile to white Americans, right? The organized Jewish community, yes. 6 7 I'd like to introduce or show Mr. Parrott Plaintiffs' 2371, please. 8 9 Mr. Parrott, do you recognize this as a tweet by you? 10 I believe it's a Gab post, but yes. 11 Gab post. 12 MR. BLOCH: Judge, I offer Plaintiffs' 2371 into 13 evidence and would like to publish to the jury, please. THE COURT: Be admitted. You may publish. 14 15 (Plaintiff Exhibit 2371 marked.) (Plaintiff Exhibit 2371 admitted.) 16 BY MR. BLOCH: 17 18 Mr. Parrott, this is a post you posted on Gab in June of 19 2016, right? 20 Yes. 21 And what you wrote at the bottom there is, quote, "Fuck 22 the Jews. They're the problem." Right? 23 Yes. Α 24 Would it be fair to say, Mr. Parrott, that in 2017 you

25

hated Jews?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 51 of 269 Pageid#. 1
                           M. Parrott - Direct
 1
             The organized Jewish community as a political
 2
    vehicle.
 3
         Could we show Plaintiffs' 0604, please.
 4
         Mr. Parrott, do you recognize this as a Discord post that
 5
   includes a post by you?
 6
         Yes.
 7
              MR. BLOCH: And I would offer Plaintiffs' 0604 into
 8
   evidence and publish to the jury, please, Judge.
 9
              THE COURT: You may. Admitted, and you may publish
   it.
10
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0604 marked.)
11
12
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0604 admitted.)
    BY MR. BLOCH:
13
        Mr. Parrott, just to be clear, you had a Discord account,
14
15
   right?
16
         Yes.
   Α
17
         And your Discord account handle was parrott#6331, right?
18
   Α
         Yes.
19
        And this document is a Discord post that contains a post
20
   that you made on January 29, 2017, right?
21
   Α
         Yes.
22
         You're posting in what's called the tradworker server,
23
   right?
24
         Yes.
   Α
25
         And that was a server hosted by Traditionalist Worker
   Q
```

Party, right? 2 Yes. 3 And in the group's server on January 29, 2017 did you write, quote, "My hatred really only extends towards Jews and 4 5 insecure hillbillies who signal left for elite status. Every other group is merely a value-neutral obstacle to work around"? 7 Did you write that? 8 The complete context of that is I was arguing 9 against general racial hatred as can be seen above in the chat, and I specifically said Jews who signal for elite status. 10 11 Right. And just to be clear, just to go back to my 12 question, what you wrote was "my hatred really only extends towards Jews," right? 13 Yes. 14 15 And you're familiar with Adolf Hitler? 16 Yes. 17 And you agree that he's responsible for the death of 18 millions of Jews in the Holocaust? 19 MR. SMITH: Objection, Your Honor, relevance. 20 THE COURT: Overruled. 21 THE WITNESS: I am not a historian and do not know 22 the exact number, but I do believe that his regime was 23 responsible for war crimes during World War II, including 24 against the Jewish people.

25

BY MR. BLOCH:

- Q Are you denying that he is responsible for the death of millions of Jews in the Holocaust?
- A I am not denying that.
- Q And you've read -- well, withdrawn.
- Would you agree that you have stated that Hitler is,

  quote, "more of an influence and inspiration to you than any
- 8 A His social and economic policies, yes.
- 9 Q Right. And my question is, did you write that he is "more
- 10 of an influence and inspiration to you than any living
- 11 | American"?

1

2

3

4

7

- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And you've read Hitler's book, Mein Kampf, right?
- 14 A Yes, I have.

living American"?

- 15 Q And in *Mein Kampf* one of the passages is, quote, "If at
- 16 the beginning of the war and during the war 12- or 15,000 of
- 17 these Hebrew corruptors of the nation have been subjected --
- 18 had been subjected to poison gas, then the sacrifice of
- 19 | millions at the front would not have been in vain."
- 20 Did he write that?
- 21  $\blacksquare$ A He did. I assume he did. I do not recall that passage.
- 22  $\|Q\|$  But you found Mein Kampf to be inspirational, right?
- 23 A Its social and economic policy prescriptions, yes.
- 24  $\mathbb{Q}$  I see. But not the killing of Jews part?
- 25 A Yes.

```
1
             MR. SMITH: Your Honor, objection. I don't think
 2
    there was anything about killing Jews in Mein Kampf. So I
 3
   think he's -- the question is objectionable. I'm not sure what
   ground exactly but it's objectionable.
 4
 5
             MR. BLOCH: Judge, he answered. I'm happy to move
 6
   on.
 7
             THE COURT:
                          The question -- he's answered. Go ahead.
 8
    BY MR. BLOCH:
 9
        Mr. Parrott, is it true you have stated that Mein Kampf is
10
   closer to your heart than anything to be found on a mainstream
11
   conservative's bookshelf?
12
        Yes.
13
        And you've also written that it is important to be, quote,
   "a credible threat to global Jewry," right?
14
        A credible political threat, yes.
15
16
        Could we show Mr. Parrott PX-2369, please.
17
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2369 marked.)
18
   BY MR. BLOCH:
19
        Do you recognize this, Mr. Parrott, as a VK post by you in
20
   September of 2017?
21
   Α
        Yes.
22
             MR. BLOCH: I'd like to admit this and publish to the
23
   jury, please, Judge.
24
             THE COURT: Be admitted. You may publish.
25
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2369 admitted.)
```

```
BY MR. BLOCH:
 1
 2
        Mr. Parrott, on September 13th, 2017, one month after
 3
   Charlottesville, did you write, quote, "I exist for three
   reasons alone: One, to tell minorities they can't have my
 4
 5
   shit; two, to tell Jews their Holocaust is fake; and three, to
   tell women to calm down"?
 6
 7
        Did you write that?
 8
        I did write that obvious joke.
 9
        I see. That's an obvious joke to you?
10
        Yes, it follows the rules of three, escalating to the most
11
   outrageous thing you can do, which is tell a woman to calm
12
          That's why it's funny.
   down.
13
        Let's talk about -- you can take that down.
14
        Let's talk about your views regarding black people, okay?
   You think black people have lower IQs than white people, right?
15
16
        IQ is not the measure of a man.
17
        And my question, Mr. Parrott, is you think black people
18
   have lower IQs than white people, right?
19
        On average, yes, though there are plenty of blacks who are
20
   more intelligent than myself.
21
        Now, if we could show Mr. Parrott PX-0604, please.
22
              And if you could show the second page.
23
              Mr. Parrott, is this -- PX-0604, is this a set of
24
   Discord posts including a post by you in the tradworker server?
25
        Yes.
```

1 MR. BLOCH: I would move PX-0604 into evidence and 2 publish to the jury, please, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Be admitted and you may publish. 4 BY MR. BLOCH: 5 Could you -- if we could go to the top, just so we could have some context. This is a conversation in the tradworker 6 7 Discord server, right? 8 Yes. 9 And the top post is by somebody named Kombat-Unit 484. And Kombat-Unit 484 says, "Actually I got really well along 10 11 with the sand N word girl back then, but she f-ing hated N 12 words as well. They torched a car in front of her house." 13 Do you see that? 14 Yes. 15 And then if you go down to your post later in the 16 conversation, you wrote, quote, "I don't hate dogs for being 17 stupid. Most of the hatred of Negroes is rooted in trying to 18 pretend they're something they're not." 19 Did you write that? 20 I did write that. And once again, in context, I am 21 arguing against racial hatred. 22 I see. Your post, "I don't hate dogs for being stupid. 23 Most of the hatred of Negroes is rooted in trying to pretend 24 they're something they're not," that's your idea of arguing 25 against racial hatred; is that your testimony?

1 Within this context where the people above me were arguing 2 for hating people for being less intelligent, I was arguing 3 that it's not proper to hate people for being less intelligent. Okay. And we can take that down. If we could show 4 5 Mr. Parrott PX-2376. 6 (Plaintiff Exhibit 2376 marked.) 7 BY MR. BLOCH: 8 Mr. Parrott, is this a post by you on Gab in September of 2017? 9 10 Yes. 11 MR. BLOCH: I'd move this into evidence, Judge, and 12 publish to the jury, please. 13 THE COURT: Be admitted. (Plaintiff Exhibit 2376 admitted.) 14 BY MR. BLOCH: 15 16 Mr. Parrott, on September 9th, 2017 you wrote, "These two 17 competing visions" -- withdrawn for one second. There's a 18 photograph of Wes Bellamy, correct? 19 Attached to the news article, yes. 20 And he's an African American politician from 21 Charlottesville, correct? Yes. 22 23 And above that picture you wrote, "These two competing 24 visions for America's future are entirely incompatible. There 25 isn't a mutual respect and shared stake necessary for

- 1 compromise and conciliarity. None of this is going to stop
- 2 until people like him fear us again because fear and force are
- 3 the only language they comprehend."
- 4 Did you write that?
- 5 A I did write that.
- MR. BLOCH: We can take that down.
- 7 BY MR. BLOCH:
- 8 Q Now, I want to talk a little bit about your relationship
- 9 with Matthew Heimbach, okay?
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q You've known Matthew Heimbach for at least eight years,
- 12 | correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 ∥Q And you met him at a conference hosted by American
- 15 Renaissance; is that right?
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17  $\|Q\|$  American Renaissance is a white nationalist publication?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And after meeting him at an -- can I call it AmRen? Do
- 20 you know what I mean by that?
- 21 A Yes, I do.
- 22 | Q After meeting Mr. Heimbach at an AmRen conference in 2013
- 23 you became close, right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q The two of you bonded over your shared interest in white

- 1 advocacy, among other things, right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q When you met Mr. Heimbach you believed that he was of the
- 4 view that white people were superior to black people, right?
- 5 A I don't believe that at any time either of us held that
- 6 | view. I do not hold the view. I am a Christian. I believe
- 7 Veveryone is equal in the eyes of God. That doesn't mean we all
- 8 have the same qualities. I do not know exactly where
- 9 Mr. Heimbach was at that time. I don't recall.
- 10 Q Mr. Parrott, you gave testimony previously in this case,
- 11 ||right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q You were deposed?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15 **|**Q Right? And when you gave your deposition you were under
- 16 oath, right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Meaning you had sworn to tell the truth, right?
- 19 **A** Yes.
- 20 Q Just like you've sworn to tell the truth today, right?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 Q And in your deposition in June of 2020 were you asked this
- 23 question and did you give this answer? And I'm referring to
- 24 page 63, line 23, Question: "And how would you characterize
- 25 Mr. Heimbach's view with respect to black people?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Mr. Heimbach when he first arrived was a conservative rainbow confederate who had followed the old white nationalist idea of believing black people are inferior but portending of holding the wrath of fetter view in public. And I encouraged him over the years to have a more nuanced attitude toward ethnic identity." Question: "Just to clarify, when you first met Mr. Heimbach it was your belief that he believed white people were superior to black people, correct?" Answer: "Yes." Did you give that testimony under oath? It appears that I did. You also agree that Mr. Heimbach was antisemitic, right? Depending on the definition, yes. And in that same deposition, on page 64, line 11, were you asked, Question: "Mr. Parrott, is it your belief that Mr. Heimbach in 2017 was antisemitic?" Answer: "Yes." Did you give that testimony? I assume so. I do not -- I do not recall, but it does seem accurate. MR. BLOCH: Judge, could we just confirm the record reflects he gave that testimony. THE COURT: Well, it's in -- no one questions it's in the record and I'll instruct the jury that that's what the

M. Parrott - Direct record shows, that he was asked that question and he gave that 2 answer. 3 MR. BLOCH: Thank you, Judge. 4 BY MR. BLOCH: 5 And to be clear, you didn't say anything in that answer that it depends on the definition of antisemitism, right? 6 7 I did not at that time, no. 8 Or any time, right? 9 I have outside of the court opined on the broad meaning of antisemitism and how it can mean a wide range of things from 10 11 opposing Israeli policy up to an actual hatred of Jews for being Jews. It's not clearly defined and I regret in my 12 13 deposition so simply answering such a difficult question. 14 By 2017, Mr. Parrott, Matthew Heimbach was one of your best friends, right? 15 16 Yes. 17 And at the time you lived about 100 feet from him, right? 18 Α Yes. 19 You saw or spoke to him most days that year, right? 20 Α Yes. 21 Q And he still is a close friend, right? 22 Α Yes. 23 You and Mr. Heimbach are also related through marriage; 24 isn't that true?

It's an extremely complicated situation. We no longer

25

- 1 have any formal relationship of any kind.
- 2 Q Well, Mr. Heimbach used to be married to somebody named
- 3 Brooke Heimbach, right?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And Brooke Heimbach is your stepdaughter, correct? Is
- 6 that right?
- 7 A That is correct.
- 8 Q Therefore, Mr. Heimbach's children with Brooke Heimbach
- 9 are your step-grandchildren; isn't that true?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Now, you and Mr. Heimbach have collaborated on many white
- 12 nationalism causes for a number of years leading up to Unite
- 13 the Right, correct?
- 14 **A** Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$ Q You've attended white nationalist events together, right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17  $\parallel$ Q If we could just show PX-2560, which I believe is already
- 18 admitted, from 1:52 to 1:56.
- 19 Is it already in? Could we publish that to the jury and
- 20 play it.
- 21 (Video playing.)
- 22 Is that you, Mr. Parrott, standing next to Mr. Heimbach?
- 23 **|** A Yes.
- 24  $\mathbb{Q}$  And you have a placard in your hands, right?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q And the placard shows a silhouette of a Jewish person getting lynched, right?
- 3 A That is absolutely false. It is showing the word
- 4 "Zionism." You see to the right of me it says "communism." I
- 5 did not create those signs and I regret holding those signs
- 6 because they're certainly bad optics, but it does not represent
- 7 hanging a Jewish person.
- 8 Q Zionism is another word in your view for organized Jewry;
- 9 | is that right?
- 10 A The majority of the world's Zionists are not Jewish.
- 11 Q Okay. And your problem with this particular placard is
- 12 | that it's bad optics, right?
- 13 A It can be easily, as we see here, misrepresented.
- 14 ||Q And you're standing next to Mr. Heimbach, who is yelling,
- 15 The day of the rope is coming, right?
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17  $\mathbb{Q}$  And he's yelling that at a group of counter-protesters,
- 18 ||right?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And that phrase is a reference to a book about the mass
- 21 lynchings of reported race traitors, right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 MR. BLOCH: Now -- we can take that down, thanks.
- 24 BY MR. BLOCH:
- 25  $\parallel$ Q You and Mr. Heimbach have founded a number of white

- 1 | nationalist organizations together, right?
- 2 A I believe only Traditionalist Youth Network and its
- 3 evolution into the Traditionalist Worker Party.
- 4 Q Okay. So you have founded two white nationalist
- 5 organizations together; is that right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And you cofounded Traditionalist Worker Party, right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q So I just want to talk briefly about some of the
- 10 | fundamental principles of TWP, okay?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q TWP is a national socialist organization, right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14  $\parallel$ Q It was modeled after the Nazi Party in Germany, right?
- 15 A Partially. It had a wide range of influences, including
- 16 Itraditionalism, and cannot be accurately described as merely
- 17 | copying the NSDAP.
- 18 Q So we can agree that the Nazi Party was one influence on
- 19 TWP, right?
- 20 A Yes. Its social and economic policies.
- 21 Q Not the Jew-killing policies?
- 22 A Not the Jew-killing policies. I strongly am opposed to
- 23 that as a Christian.
- 24 Q And TWP advocates for a white ethnostate, right?
- 25  $\blacksquare$ A It advocates for identity and ethnicity for all, for

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 65 of 269 Pageid#.5
                           M. Parrott - Direct
   self-determination for all, up to and including white people,
 1
 2
   yes.
 3
         So just to boil that down, TWP advocates for a white
   ethnostate, right?
 4
 5
         Yes.
 6
         And if we could show Mr. Parrott PX-1841.
 7
         Mr. Parrott, this is a copy of the TWP membership guide,
 8
   right?
 9
   Α
         Yes.
10
         And you cowrote that with Mr. Heimbach in 2016, right?
11
         Yes.
12
              MR. BLOCH: Could we introduce PX-1841 into evidence
13
   and publish to the jury.
              THE COURT: Be admitted, and you may publish.
14
15
              MR. BLOCH: Thank you, Judge.
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 1841 marked.)
16
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 1841 admitted.)
17
    BY MR. BLOCH:
18
19
         Now, when new members joined TWP, they received a copy of
20
   this membership guide, right?
21
   Α
         For a while, yes.
22
         And supposedly this guide encapsulates TWP's principles,
23
   right?
24
         Yes.
   Α
```

And one of the things it makes clear is that TWP as an

25

Q

- 1 organization is proudly antisemitic, right?
- 2 A It might say that, yes.
- 3  $\mathbb{Q}$  And there is a section of the guide devoted to the Jewish
- 4 question, right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6  $\parallel$ Q And that's -- the Jewish question is a popular topic in
- 7 | white nationalist circles, right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And this guide says that Jewish people are a separate
- 10 ethnic group from Europeans, right?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 | Q It says they're a foreign tribe, right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14  $\parallel$ Q And that one of the goals of TWP is to remove Jewish
- 15 people from positions of power and influence, right?
- 16 A It would be to create an independent separate community
- 17 | where they would not be welcomed.
- 18  $\parallel$ Q So if we could go to the top of page 34.
- 19 The guide that you wrote with Mr. Heimbach states, "The
- 20 | Traditionalist Worker Party knows who our enemy is and they
- 21 | will not be forgotten. Both organized Jewry and their gentile
- 22 | collaborators will be removed from their positions of power and
- 23 | influence." Right?
- 24 A That was written, but in the complete context of the
- 25 membership guide, it's clear that it's not speaking of

- 1 persecuting anybody within the overarching American society. 2 Earlier Heimbach spoke of the Native American reservations as a 3 model for ethnic identity within the American context. 4 Did I read that correctly? 5 You did read it correctly, yes. 6 Now --7 MR. BLOCH: We can take that down. Thank you. 8 BY MR. BLOCH: 9 You and Mr. Heimbach tout the fact that TWP is an FEC-registered political party, correct? 10 11 Yes. 12 And in fact, Jewish people are explicitly forbidden from 13 being members of your political party, right? 14 I don't actually recall if that's the case. 15 Could we look at --16 If you could refresh me. 17 -- page 32, the first full paragraph.
- 18 What the membership guide states is, "The Traditionalist
- 19 Worker Party as a party that fights for white working families
- 20 does not believe that Jews should have a place in membership or
- 21 leadership in our movement." Right?
- 22 Okay. Thank you for clarifying.
- 23 MR. BLOCH: We can take that down.
- 24 BY MR. BLOCH:
- 25 Now, I want to talk a little bit about your specific role

- 1 | in TWP, okay?
- 2 You and Mr. Heimbach are co-equal leaders of TWP, right?
- 3 A At the time we were, yes.
- 4 Q In 2017?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And you had a broad range of leadership responsibilities,
- 7 ||right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Mr. Heimbach was primarily responsible for TWP's external
- 10 | communications, right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 | Q Mr. Heimbach acted as TWP's spokesperson, right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14  $\|Q\|$  You were really responsible for almost everything else,
- 15 ||right?
- 16 A That's a bit of a flattering overstatement, though I did
- 17 have many responsibilities.
- 18 Q Fair to say that you -- your responsibilities are less
- 19 social, external, than Mr. Heimbach's?
- 20 A Yes. I'm introverted and -- yes.
- 21  $\mathbb{Q}$  And now, you could, if you wanted to, you had the
- 22 authority to speak on behalf of TWP, right?
- 23 A We tried to make it a habit when speaking on behalf of TWP
- 24 that both of us check the statements. Yes, we could -- we
- 25 could both speak on behalf of TWP, but as a habit, I believed

- 1 that some of his ideas I didn't think were proper party policy
- 2 and he had the same opinion about mine.
- 3 Q But you did have the authority to speak on behalf of the
- 4 | organization, right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q But you thought of yourself more of as what you call an
- 7 underboss for Mr. Heimbach, right?
- 8 A We were co-equal.
- 9 Q But you thought of yourself as an underboss, right?
- 10 A I might have stated that.
- 11 Q You did state that, right?
- 12 A Okay. Yes.
- 13 Q And "underboss" is a Mafia term, right?
- 14 A I suppose so.
- 15 Q Usually the underboss is the second most important person
- 16 in a crime family, right?
- 17 A I did not associate it with organized crime. I just
- 18 thought of it as a person who is very important on the back
- 19 ∥end. I didn't have any criminal connotations with that remark.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q So you did, at times, delegate some of the day-to-day
- 21 decision-making to other TWP members, right?
- 22 **|** A Yes.
- 23 Q But, in essence, the buck stopped with you and
- 24 Mr. Heimbach, right?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Now, you also had responsibilities as TWP's chief
- 2 | information officer, right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And as the chief information officer, you ran the web
- 5 server, right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q You administered TWP's website?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q You managed TWP's emails, right?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 Q You managed TWP's membership management services?
- 12 A To the extent it was managed, yes.
- 13 Q And you handled technology-related issues for the
- 14 organization, right?
- 15 A Generally speaking, yes.
- 16 Q You would communicate internally to TWP members about IT
- 17 Missues, right?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And you created and administered TWP's social media
- 20 Maccounts, right?
- 21 A I don't know if I administered all of them or what the
- 22 Itimeline would be for that, but I was involved in the social
- 23 media of the project, yes.
- 24  $\parallel$ Q And just to be totally clear, you were asked this question
- 25 and gave this answer, page 69, line 11: "And you created and

1 monitored the TWP social media accounts?" 2 "The monitoring was on and off, but yes, I 3 generally created and administered those accounts." 4 Did you give that testimony? 5 Yes. And that's consistent with my previous answer. 6 Now --7 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, it is consistent with his 8 previous answer. Perhaps Mr. Bloch should be sure it's 9 actually contradictory before he tries to impeach the witness 10 with prior testimony. 11 MR. BLOCH: Judge, I object to the commentary in 12 front of the jury. 13 THE COURT: Since he's a party to the case, he can 14 read any former statement that he might have made, and it may be received as evidence in the case. 15 BY MR. BLOCH: 16 17 You, Mr. Parrott, instructed TWP members on how to conduct 18 themselves with regard to social media, right? 19 There was an attempt. 20 That's part of your responsibilities, right? 21 Α Yes. 22 And you had all of those responsibilities in the summer of 23 2017, leading up to Unite the Right, correct? 24 Yes. Α 25 And you worked on TWP matters almost every day over that

- 1 summer, right?
- 2  $\blacksquare$ A That might be an overstatement, but yes.
- 3 Q Now, as you mentioned earlier, optics are important to
- 4 TWP, right?
- 5 A Optics are important to every organization, though when we
- 6 say "optics," we mean behaving better and performing better,
- 7 not misrepresenting what we believe. We have always been
- 8 rather forthright with our positions. You saw in the
- 9 membership guide, we clearly stated our position on the
- 10 sensitive issues and did not pretend to be something we were
- 11 | not.
- 12 Q I see. So when I asked you about optics, you wanted to
- 13 make clear that you weren't presenting deceptive optics; is
- 14 | that right?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16  $\mathbb{Q}$  But to go back to the question, optics were important,
- 17 || right?
- 18 A Sure.
- 19 Q And we will certainly talk more about the rules that were
- 20 purported to be rules in the membership guide, but for now I
- 21 want to ask you: You agree with me that, as one of the leaders
- 22 | of TWP, you wanted to project a certain image of the
- 23 organization to the public, right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q And part of your role was to protect TWP's image, right?

1 As has already been stated, Mr. Heimbach was more of the 2 public-facing member of the organization, though I was involved 3 in those decisions and conversations relating to and around 4 that. 5 So the question, Mr. Parrott, is: Part of your role was to protect TWP's image; isn't that right? 6 7 Yes. It was the job of every member to protect the image 8 of the organization. But it was particularly something you were focused on, right? 10 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, objection, asked and 11 12 answered, like, twice. 13 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. BLOCH: 14 15 Now, you would agree with me, Mr. Parrott, that you can 16 affect an organization's image in a number of different ways, 17 right? 18 Sure. 19 One way is to tell the members of the organization to 20 behave in a certain way in public, right? 21 Α Yes. 22 You could tell your members how to dress, right? 23 Yes. 24 You could tell your members how to conduct themselves,

25

right?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 74 of 269 Pageid#. 4
                           M. Parrott - Direct
 1
                These are independent adults, so they would better
 2
   be understood as suggestions, but yes.
 3
         You could put out statements on the organization's behalf,
 4
   right?
 5
         Yes.
 6
         You did some of that, right?
 7
         Yes.
 8
         And another way to affect an organization's image is to
 9
   write statements after an event to characterize what happened
10
   in the way you want to frame it, right?
11
         Yes.
12
              THE COURT: We'll stop for about 20 minutes now and
13
   take a recess.
14
    (Jury out, 10:30 a.m.)
15
              (Recess.)
16
    (Jury in, 10:52 a.m.)
17
              THE COURT: You may be seated.
18
              You may proceed.
19
              MR. BLOCH: Thank you, Judge.
20
    BY MR. BLOCH:
21
         Mr. Parrott, we were talking about the various ways that
22
   you can affect the image of an organization, right?
```

And I had asked you if another way to affect an

organization's image is to write statements after an event to

23

24

Yes.

MR. BLOCH: Judge, if I could finish the question.

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MR. BLOCH:

22

23

24

25

TWP has a propaganda department, right?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 76 of 269 Pageid#:6
                          M. Parrott - Direct
              THE COURT: Well, that's -- I mean -- go ahead. Go
 1
 2
    ahead.
 3
              Well, the question is so argumentative.
              MR. BLOCH: I can rephrase, Judge.
 4
 5
   BY MR. BLOCH:
 6
        Did the Traditionalist Worker Party have a propaganda
 7
   department?
 8
        Yes, it did.
              MR. BLOCH: Could I show the witness 3379.
 9
   BY MR. BLOCH:
10
11
        Is what's being shown on your screen, Mr. Parrott, are
   these some of the items put out by the propaganda department of
   TWP?
13
14
        Yes.
15
              MR. BLOCH: I would like to move PX-3379 into
16
   evidence and publish to the jury, please.
17
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
18
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 3379 marked.)
19
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 3379 admitted.)
20
    BY MR. BLOCH:
21
        So this is a -- as we noted, an example of some of the
22
   propaganda put out by TWP, right?
23
        Yes.
24
        And if we could go down to page 17 of the document. This
   is one of the approved images for TWP, right?
```

- 1 A Yes.
- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$  And that image depicts a white man doing a Nazi salute,
- 3 ||right?
- 4 A I would consider it a Roman salute, but yes.
- 5  $\parallel$ Q "Roman salute" and "Nazi salute" are fairly synonymous,
- 6 | right?
- 7 | A Yes.
- 8 Q And it would be fair to say TWP generally speaking
- 9 embraced the Nazi or Roman salute?
- 10 A We tried to actually discourage them at our events and
- 11 discouraged them being in Charlottesville because they can be
- 12 provocative.
- 13 Q Right. So you discouraged them publicly, right?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15  $\mathbb{Q}$  And you -- we can take that down. Thanks.
- 16 You actually, as you mentioned, you specifically advised
- 17 TWP members not to do Nazi salutes in public, right?
- 18  $\blacksquare$ A No. We -- in situations where we felt like safety was
- 19 | imperative and we didn't want to escalate a conflict, we would
- 20  $\parallel$ discourage the salute. We weren't trying to hide what we are.
- 21 And what you just presented was right there at the very front
- 22 of our website.
- 23 ||Q Did you not specifically advise TWP members not to do a
- 24 Nazi salute at Unite the Right?
- 25 A I did advise members not to do a Nazi salute at Unite the

- 1 Right.
- 2 Q And so, even though the Nazi salute was a generally
- 3 accepted salute for the party, you advised TWP members not to
- 4 do it at public events like Unite the Right, correct?
- 5 A There were public events where it was done. We considered
- 6 it an informal thing. I always felt it was LARPing. Me and
- 7 Mr. Heimbach had kind of different perspectives on that. But
- 8 at an event of this nature we were careful to not engage in
- 9 that sort of provocation.
- 10 Q You did specifically advise TWP members to be mindful of
- 11 optics at Unite the Right, didn't you?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And I want to talk about some of the rules that TWP had
- 14 | related to optics, okay?
- 15 A Okay.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q If we could go back to the membership guide. I believe
- 17 lit's 1841.
- 18 This is the membership guide we talked about earlier,
- 19 || right?
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  And if we could go to the top of -- just to be clear, this
- 22 | includes some rules of conduct, right, for TWP members?
- 23 A It's been several years, so I can't speak to everything in
- 24 lit.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q Well, let's go to the top of page 13. This talks about

- basic principles of dress and language and conduct at public
  events and demonstrations, right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And then it says, "Members must avoid using racial slurs 5 either in public or private," right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And so on paper, this was made to make it look like TWP 8 was a group that wanted to avoid using racial slurs, right?
- 9 A This was not really a public-facing document. This was
  10 something we printed up for people who had already paid money
  11 to join the party. This was an aspirational, as it is with
- many people's private lives, they try to avoid cursing or saying inadvisable things.
- Q You stated in this sort of official membership guide that one of the rules was to avoid racial slurs either in public or private, right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And this was disseminated to, among other things, all TWP members, right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21  $\|Q\|$  And if we could show PX-0604, please. This is already in.
- 22 We've talked about this earlier. This is a tradworker
- 23 Discord -- this is a post that you're part of in the tradworker
- 24 Discord server, right?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 If you look at Gabriel 5455, Gabriel says, "If a N word 2 gives me a high five, which happens on a daily basis, I have 3 the urge to go wash my hands." Right? It comes as a surprise to absolutely nobody that offensive 4 5 language was used in presumably private conversations within the white nationalist community. But as you see below that, I 7 am trying to discourage what I regard as vulgar hatred. 8 Let's go step by step. My question is, that's what Gabriel said, right? 9 10 Yes, it is what Gabriel said. 11 And we can agree that the N word is a racial slur? 12 Yes. 13 And we can agree that your supposed rule in the membership 14 guide said that members are to avoid racial slurs in public or private, right? 15 16 Yes. 17 And if we go down to -- the post below that is "LOL. I'm 18 in Africa so I have no respite from them," right? 19 Yes.
- Q And then that's where you say, "My hatred really only extends towards Jews and insecure hillbillies" and so on,
- 22 ||right?
- 23 A "Who signal left for elite status." It's very important 24 you finish the sentence because I'm making a political
- 25 statement there.

1 You didn't say something like, hey, don't use racial 2 slurs, right? 3 Not in this particular snapshot, though there's a wealth of evidence of me saying to knock it off and me kicking people 4 5 from the server who were carried away. So you're saying that so-called rule about not using 6 7 racial slurs in public or private, that was a rule that you enforced; is that what you're saying? 8 9 Aspirationally and imperfectly, yes. 10 Isn't it true, Mr. Parrott, that no TWP member was ever 11 disciplined for using a racial slur in private or public? 12 Isn't that true? 13 These are all grown men, and this is an Internet chat 14 server, a gaming chat server. There were people who were kicked from the server for saying inflammatory and 15 16 inappropriate remarks, but I have no -- I have no latitude to 17 spank these men. 18 Turning to your deposition testimony, page 81, line 24, 19 were you asked this question and did you give this answer: 20 Question: "Was anybody ever disciplined in any conceivable way 21 for using a racial slur in public or in private?" 22 Answer: "I don't recall. 23 Did you give that testimony? 24 I appear to have given that testimony, sir.

25

Am I impeached again?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you had just testified that the reason apparently why some of these racial slurs happened was because these are grown men, right, and you have no latitude, I think you said, to spank these men, right? Yes. So it was just a problem you couldn't control, right? We did have relatively good control over that. It was not perfect and we did not aim for perfection. Our concern was to tone it down, to not -- our goal was not to have an organization where everybody says the perfect thing or avoids these magic words the whole time. It was not a grave concern. But our concern was making sure that we weren't centered on racial hatred, that we were centered, like the earlier evidence you showed, that this needs to circle back to being for our people, rather than against other people. So it was just a general attempt to discourage the mentality behind using racial slurs more than some sort of, you know, every time somebody says a racial slur, you know --Could we show the witness PX-2433. This is another Discord post that you posted, right, and produced in a different format, right? Yes. MR. BLOCH: And if we could move this exhibit into evidence and publish to the jury? Judge, may we admit Plaintiffs' --

1 THE COURT: Yes. Be admitted and you may publish. 2 (Plaintiff Exhibit 2433 marked.) 3 (Plaintiff Exhibit 2433 admitted.) BY MR. BLOCH: 4 5 Mr. Parrott, this is a post that you yourself did in Discord on October 27, 2017, where you said, "This is a channel 6 7 where we can and should confirm that Wes Bellamy is a N word," 8 right? 9 Yes. Wes Bellamy is --10 The question is just did you say that? 11 Yes, I did. 12 And just to be clear for the record, the actual text 13 doesn't say N word, right? You actually said --14 I said the meme "Wes Bellamy is a nigger" is part of our 15 political opposition to Mr. Bellamy. It was not intended as a 16 broad racial thing, but yes. 17 You agree, Mr. Parrott, that TWP members repeatedly used 18 racial slurs in public and private, right? 19 "Repeatedly" carries a lot -- is carrying a lot here, but 20 yes. 21 And so can we agree that the so-called rule in this 22 membership guide about avoiding racial slurs was just for show? 23 As previously stated, this membership guide was for 24 members who were already deeply indoctrinated into our world 25 view. And we as an organization engaged in less of that than I

- 1 would argue many of our peers. I believe we were largely
- 2 successful, but our goal, as I said, was not to be the word
- 3 police.
- 4 Q Now, TWP is a corporation, right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q It's a limited liability corporation registered in North
- 7 Carolina, right?
- 8 A At the time it was registered in North Carolina.
- 9 Q And when you say "at the time," you mean at the time of
- 10 Charlottesville 2.0, right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And there is another TWP LLC that was registered in
- 13 Michigan, right?
- 14 A Yeah. We moved the organization to Michigan shortly
- 15 thereafter.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q Now, I want to talk a little bit about some of the modes
- 17 of communication that TWP used, okay?
- 18 You agree that you used various social media platforms to
- 19 discuss white nationalism, right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q TWP had its own Discord server, right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And we've talked about this. It's called tradworker?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q You were the moderator of the tradworker server?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 85 of 269 Pageid#.5
                           M. Parrott - Direct
 1
         A moderator.
 2
         Meaning you were one of the moderators?
 3
         Yes.
 4
         You could view any public posts on the tradworker server,
 5
   right?
 6
         Yes.
 7
         And as the moderator you could delete public posts in the
 8
   tradworker server, right?
 9
         Yes.
10
         Now, the tradworker server had a channel called the lobby,
11
   right?
12
         Yes.
13
         And you understood that posts in the lobby channel of the
   tradworker server could be viewed by anybody, right?
14
15
         Yes.
         Including police, right?
16
17
   Α
         Yep.
         Including journalists, right?
18
19
         And Antifa.
20
         And so you told people in the Discord server to be careful
21
   about what they wrote in the public channel, right?
22
         Yes.
23
              MR. BLOCH: Could we show the witness PX-022.
24
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0622 marked.)
```

25

```
BY MR. BLOCH:
 1
 2
        This is a post by you in the tradworker server, correct?
 3
        Yes.
              MR. BLOCH: I'd move PX-0622 into evidence, Judge.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Be admitted and be published.
 6
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0622 admitted.)
 7
    BY MR. BLOCH:
 8
        And on April 24th of 2017 backstreetgoy wrote, "Nothing is
 9
   gonna get done until we gas all the kikes," right?
10
        He did say that, yes.
11
        And then he follows that with "All of them. Period."
12
   Right?
13
        Yes.
   Α
14
        And just to be clear, the phrase "gas the kikes" is
15
   referring to murdering Jewish people, right?
16
         It had become somewhat of an ironic meme at that time, but
17
   it certainly does refer to that, yes.
18
        And we can agree that "kike" is a slur for Jewish people?
19
        Yes.
20
        And in response, you didn't say something like, hey,
21
   please don't talk about murdering Jewish people, right?
22
   Α
        Right.
23
        And you didn't say, hey, backstreetgoy, the membership
24
   guide prohibits slurs in public or private, right?
25
         Yeah.
   Α
```

BY MR. BLOCH:

Q On January 28th, 2017, you stated, "Am I structuring this correctly? I have this lobby which is public, then I have a

23

24

25

voice and text channel which people who I ascribe the role of 2 'vetted' are able to see." Right? 3 Yes. 4 So you had set up an area of private communications that 5 could be made over the tradworker server in a voice and text channel, right? 6 7 The tradworker channel over here on the left, as you see, 8 was the vetted channel. 9 So my question is: You set up a voice and text channel --10 Yes. 11 -- that was private for vetted people, right? 12 Yes. 13 And the people who you vetted for that private channel 14 were TWP members, right? No. As it states right here, "you now have a vetted role, 15 even though I don't know who you are." 16 17 It was just people who seemed -- we made an arbitrary 18 judgment on that, and certainly can't speak to everybody in 19 every gaming server chat channel. 20 So in your deposition testimony, page 89, line 5, were you 21 asked this question and did you give this answer: "How did you 22 vet people that got into the private area when it existed?" 23 And you answered, "Ours is a subculture where people 24 confirm that they've been around for an extended period of

time. They often show an old social media post or blog post

25

confirming that their identity has been around for a while. 2 Some of them are known, known to me or other principals or 3 major people. It's a fuzzy process." Question: "Who is it that you were trying to only let 4 5 into the private vetted area?" Answer: "Actual members." 6 7 Question: "Of TWP?" 8 Answer: "Yes." 9 Did you give that testimony? 10 Yes, which explicitly says it's a fuzzy process. 11 And at some point you abandoned these private modes of 12 communication on the TWP server, right? 13 I don't recall the exact timeline of that. The general 14 narrative was I was trying to get people away from the Discord server, which I regarded as insecure and inappropriate, and 15 16 they refused to do so because everybody wanted to be on 17 Discord. 18 So just to be clear with my question, the question was: 19 At some point you abandoned the private server, right? 20 At some point, yes. 21 And you're familiar with the messaging platform Telegram, 22 right? 23 Α Yes. 24 And that was another social media platform that was used 25 to discuss white nationalism and various issues, right?

```
1
         I don't believe I was using Telegram during the relevant
 2
   period. But yes, it is -- it is a communication app.
 3
        And you would agree that Telegram is dramatically more
   secure than Discord, right?
 4
 5
        Oh, heavens, yes.
 6
        And by that I mean that it's much harder for third parties
 7
   to get at the messages on Telegram, right?
              MR. SMITH: Objection, calls for speculation.
 8
              THE COURT: Well, if he knows. You may answer if you
 9
   know the answer.
10
11
              THE WITNESS: I think it does promote superior
12
   privacy, yes.
    BY MR. BLOCH:
13
14
        Makes it harder for, for example, law enforcement to
   access the messages, right?
15
16
        I think that's a misrepresentation. It might, yes.
17
        You can set Telegram to a setting so that messages will
18
   automatically delete after they're sent, right?
19
        Yes. And on Discord you can delete messages as well.
20
              MR. BLOCH: If we could show the witness PX-0602,
21
   please.
22
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0602 marked.)
23
    BY MR. BLOCH:
24
        This is a Discord post that you're a part of, right?
25
        Yes.
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 91 of 269 Pageid#:1
                          M. Parrott - Direct
 1
              MR. BLOCH:
                          I'd move 0602 into evidence.
 2
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
 3
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0602 admitted.)
    BY MR. BLOCH:
 4
 5
        And if we could go to page 7. And on January 29th, 2017,
    did you write, quote, "Anybody need to convey any shady shit
 6
 7
   with me can message me on Telegram. Every time I've tried to
 8
   get people to send PGP encrypted emails or even keep shady shit
 9
    on Telegram, they refuse to do it."
10
        Did you write that?
11
        I did write that, yes.
12
              MR. BLOCH: Thanks, Mr. Spalding.
    BY MR. BLOCH:
13
14
        Now, let's turn to TWP's approach to rallies, okay?
15
        Yes.
16
        TWP would attend rallies, right?
17
        Yes.
   Α
18
        And attended a number of rallies before Unite the Right,
19
   correct?
20
   Α
        Dozens.
21
        And you were asked in your deposition, page 102, line 24,
22
   Question: "What was the purpose of rallies generally?"
23
        Answer: "Politics is a primate sport and if you're not
24
   able to assert yourself in your own streets and neighborhoods
25
   then you're politically invisible and powerless." Right?
```

1 Yes. 2 You also believed rallies were important to combat 3 organized Jewry, right? 4 Politically, yes. 5 And regarding violent protests in particular, you were asked this question and you gave this answer, page 124, line 25 7 in your deposition: Question: "Right. It's generally true for TWP that violent protests are opportunities for you to fund-raise, correct?" 9 Answer: "That is correct." 10 11 Did you give that testimony? 12 I wrote, "Any time there is a newsworthy event it is a 13 fundraising event." 14 You seem to be skipping several lines here. And then you write, "Well, the particularly violent events are opportunities 15 16 for fundraising for you; isn't that true?" 17 I write: "That's generally true in any protest." And 18 then you write: "Right. It's generally true for TWP?" 19 So in the deposition, as now, I concede to the fact that 20 any time we were on the news it was technically a fundraising 21 event. You deliberately misrepresented it here and here as 22 that was the goal, was to fund-raise off violence, which was 23 never my intended statement. 24 So why don't we actually read, if you're going to back it 25 up, why don't we read the question that you left out, which

was, line 16, Question: "Do you agree that you saw the 2 violence that occurred at Auburn as a good opportunity to 3 fund-raise for TWP?" 4 Answer: "Any time there's a newsworthy event, it's a 5 fundraising event, yes." Did you give that testimony as well? 6 7 That's utterly consistent with what I just said. 8 Without the question part, right? 9 Sure. 10 Do you agree with me, Mr. Parrott, that the more violent 11 you could make a rally, the more money you could make for your 12 organization? 13 MR. SMITH: Objection, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: I heard two people talking at once. 15 What's your answer? 16 THE WITNESS: That is a despicable misrepresentation 17 of our party's plans and platform. We always put safety at the 18 very first priority above all else at our events. 19 BY MR. BLOCH: 20 Well, in April of 2017 there was an event at Auburn 21 University, right? 22 Yes. 23 And Defendant Spencer was speaking there, right? 24 Yes. Α 25 Mr. Heimbach attended the event for TWP, right? Q

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 94 of 269 Pageid#2.4
                           M. Parrott - Direct
 1
   Α
         Yes.
 2
         As did other TWP members?
 3
         Yes.
 4
         And you actually watched the Auburn event on a livestream,
 5
   right?
 6
         Yes.
 7
         And you posted about it in real time on the tradworker
 8
   server, right?
 9
         Yes.
10
              MR. BLOCH: And if we could show PX-0618.
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0618 marked.)
11
12
              MR. BLOCH: Is this in evidence? If I could --
   BY MR. BLOCH:
13
        This is a Discord post that you're a part of, right,
14
15
   Mr. Parrott?
16
         Yes.
   Α
17
              MR. BLOCH: I would move 0618.
18
              THE WITNESS: Be admitted.
19
              MR. BLOCH: Thank you, Judge.
20
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0618 admitted.)
    BY MR. BLOCH:
21
22
         And you wrote -- this is while the Auburn events are
23
   taking place, right?
24
   Α
         Yes.
25
         And you wrote, "A lot of the folks in the other factions
```

- 1 are getting very excited. Try to remind folks not to throw the
- 2 first punch or be too aggressive. It's important for lawfare
- 3 and optics that we be going about our business and they
- 4 | instigate, " right?
- 5 A I 100 percent stand behind that statement.
- 6 Q Well, just to define some of these terms, lawfare refers
- 7 to litigation, right?
- 8 A Yes. We were very concerned that at some point there
- 9 would be an attempt to perform a strategic lawsuit against
- 10 | public participation to destroy our organization, which has
- 11 been what has occurred.
- 12  $\parallel$ Q So just to go back to my question, lawfare means
- 13 | litigation, right?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$ Q And that can include lawsuits against white nationalists,
- 16 ||right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q It could also include criminal charges against white
- 19 | nationalists, right?
- 20 **|** A Sure.
- 21  $\parallel$ Q And part of your job as the guy who thinks about TWP's
- 22 | image was to think about how to best position yourself for the
- 23 eventual civil or criminal suit that might arise from your
- 24 | activities, correct?
- 25 A Correct. But we're walking very far afield of the quote,

which I explained, the other -- many other factions are getting 2 very excited. Let's turn down the temperature on this. 3 Turn down the temperature. Is that what you wanted to do 4 in this exchange? 5 Yes. Absolutely. That's what it says there. People are getting excited. Let's make sure we do not throw the first 7 punch or be too aggressive. Are we reading the same thing, sir? 8 We are and we'll read more of it. 9 10 Okay. 11 So do you agree that you were telling your followers that it's important for legal and optics purposes that it seem like 13 counter-protesters were the instigators of any violence? Do 14 you agree with that? No. It says "do not throw the first punch or be too 15 16 aggressive." It doesn't say seem to not throw the first punch 17 or seem to not be too aggressive. It's clearly a statement 18 telling them to not instigate or be too aggressive. 19 In your deposition testimony which you gave under oath in 20 2020, page 118, line 7, were you asked this question and did 21 you give this answer, Question: "And you were telling TWP 22 members that it's important for lawfare that counter-protesters 23 be seen to instigate the violence in that post, correct?" 24 Answer: "Yes."

Did you give that testimony?

25

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 97 of 269 Pageid#2.7
                          M. Parrott - Direct
         Yes, I did.
 1
 2
         And you have claimed in court that you wanted the police
 3
   to keep counter-protesters separate from the white
   nationalists, right?
 4
 5
         Yes.
 6
         Because you believe that when the police do their jobs, it
 7
   prevents violence, right?
 8
         Yes.
 9
         And you just wanted to turn down the temperature, right?
10
         Yep. Absolutely, sir.
              MR. BLOCH: If we could show the witness PX-0619.
11
12
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0619 marked.)
   BY MR. BLOCH:
13
14
        And if we could go to the bottom. I'm sorry.
15
         This is a Discord post from the tradworker server that you
16
   were a part of, right?
17
         Yes.
18
              MR. BLOCH: Moving PX-0619 into evidence, and we'd
19
   like to publish it, Judge.
20
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
21
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0619 admitted.)
22
    BY MR. BLOCH:
23
        And if we could go to the bottom of page 3, you at this
24
   point are continuing to monitor what's going on at Auburn,
25
   right?
```

1 Yes. 2 And on April 18th, you wrote: "Looks like the cops are 3 actually doing their jobs this time, unfortunately." Right? Yes. That was clearly in jest. 4 5 And if we could go on to the next page, you follow that with: "Wanted to watch Heimbach chimp out on livestream," 7 right? Yes, we were all watching -- watching the live footage and 8 9 lamenting that it was boring to watch because everything was going safely. That was the joke. 10 11 I see. So can we go back to page 3? 12 Tom, before you said "looks like the cops are doing their 13 jobs, unfortunately," he wrote: "I hope the dancing guy and 14 drummer get punched, " right? He did write that, yes. 15 16 And to be clear, "chimp out on livestream," the phrase 17 chimp out" is a racist term that means to behave irrationally 18 aggressively, right? 19 In this -- in this term, contrary to popular belief, 20 Heimbach is a white man, and I was clearly using it to --21 it's -- a chimpanzee is understood to be a creature that 22 behaves like a chimpanzee. If it's being used against black 23 people, it certainly can be a racial epithet. But to take it 24 in this context, I certainly was not intending any kind of 25 racial slur.

Answer: "A chimp out is when somebody becomes

Question: "Okay. Is there a racial connotation to that

22

23

24

25

phrase?"

irrationally aggressive."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"There is, though for several years it has become a very general term in our community. Like I say, the original connotation comes from the '90s race riots, which were described by white nationalists at the time as 'chimpouts,' describing the black rioters as chimps." Did you give that testimony? I gave that testimony, sir, but if you read your own testimony, you'll see I said that it's become a general phrase for behaving irrationally. I don't understand the rules in this Court, but this -- next question. Now, in fact, there was violence at Auburn, right? I was not -- I was not there at Auburn. I understand one of our people, his jaw was broken by radical Antifa aggressors while he was attempting to attend a permitted event. I see. That's the only violence you're aware of? Like I say, I did not attend the event, and I barely recall the event. But I'm very confident that if there was violence, it was defensive, because if it weren't, we would have been arrested. We do not get the benefit of the doubt like Antifa do. MR. BLOCH: So if we could introduce PX-0675 or show Mr. Parrott. BY MR. BLOCH: And confirm for me that this is a post in the tradworker server that you're a part of.

Q Well, let's talk about Sacramento.

A Let's talk about Sacramento.

22

23

24

25  $\mathbb{Q}$  TWP attended a rally in Sacramento, right?

around harming people for money. It's totally incongruous.

A Yes, we did.

1

- 2 Q This was a white nationalist rally?
- 3 A This rally was to go to the California State House and
- 4 protest how radical Antifa were attacking Trump rallies at that
- 5 time. This was during the primaries and during the election.
- 6 And every time there was a Republican event in California, just
- 7 normal families, concerned families attempting to show up to
- 8 support the presidential campaign, were getting savagely
- 9 beaten. And 30 of our men with a proper permit, attending at
- 10 the proper time, unarmed, attended the State House to protest
- 11 that violence.
- 12  $\|Q\|$  So you agree it was a white nationalist rally?
- 13 A I just explained to you that it was a rally against Antifa
- 14  $\parallel$  violence at the State House. It was -- the goal was -- I'm
- 15 very happy to say that I'm a white nationalist. This was a
- 16 white nationalist group. But it is an improper
- 17 | characterization of the rally to say it was a white nationalist
- 18 rally.
- 19 Q Well, do you agree that a number of counter-protesters
- 20 were stabbed at that rally?
- 21 A That is my understanding.
- 22  $\parallel$ Q And there was a man named Will Planer that went to that
- 23 | rally, right?
- 24 A Yes. Will Planer protected himself, defended himself, and
- 25 we stand behind his -- what he needed to do to get through 300

- 1 people who were trying to kill him.
- MR. BLOCH: So if we could show PX-3840, which I
- 3 believe is already in evidence. And if we could show to it the
- 4 **∥**jury. It's already admitted.
- 5 BY MR. BLOCH:
- 6 Q Mr. Parrott, this is a patch that was created after the
- 7 Sacramento rally, right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q It actually depicts the incident of violence that Will
- 10 Planer was involved in, right?
- 11 **|** A Yes.
- 12 Q And Will Planer in this depiction is the guy in black with
- 13 the stick, right?
- 14  $\blacksquare$ A Yes. Once again, we're taking a snapshot of a melee and
- 15 trying to pretend like that's all that was going on when
- 16 Mr. Planer was fighting for his life.
- 17  $\|Q\|$  I see. And the red person in the patch that you all
- 18 | created was -- depicts the person that Will Planer struck,
- 19 || right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And this actually depicts the actual positioning of those
- 22 I two people when that incident happened, right?
- 23 A This was not a Trad Worker patch, but I suppose it does
- 24 certainly capture that one snapshot of the event, yes.
- 25 Q Right. Well, you've seen that video, right?

- A Yes, I have.
- 2 Q And this -- the way this is depicted, this is Will Planer
- 3 standing behind a counter-protester who was kneeling, facing
- 4 away from him, when Mr. Planer struck him in the back of the
- 5 | head with a stick; isn't that true?
- 6 A That one keyhole snapshot that you're referring to is
- 7 Itrue.

1

- 8 Q And you have described this incident as, quote, "textbook
- 9 self-defense, right?
- 10 A Yes. If you have over 300 people swarming at you with
- 11 weapons to kill you, then whatever you need to do to get out of
- 12 that situation -- this person was an aggressor earlier in the
- 13 day, or mere seconds before this -- yes.
- 14 🛛 Q Mr. Planer was convicted of felony assault charges for
- 15 that, right?
- 16 A Mr. Planer had a hung jury, which was incredible given
- 17 that it was the Bay Area, and then pled to time served, which
- 18 | in my opinion is as close as exoneration as you're going to get
- 19 in the Bay Area of California.
- 20 Q So, to go back to my question, Mr. Planer was convicted of
- 21 | felony assault charges, right?
- 22 A Sure.
- 23  $\|Q\|$  There was another -- we can take that down. Thanks.
- 24 There was another event in Anaheim, right, in February
- 25 2016?

```
1
        We were not at Anaheim in any capacity.
 2
        But you're aware that a number of counter-protesters were
 3
   stabbed at Anaheim, right?
 4
        I'm vaguely familiar with that event. That does not have
 5
   anything to do with Trad Worker, yes.
 6
        Sorry. My question is: You are aware that a number of
 7
   counter-protesters were stabbed?
 8
        Yes.
 9
        And if we could show PX-0607.
10
        Do you recognize this, Mr. Parrott, as a tradworker
11
   Discord post that you're a part of?
12
        Yes.
              MR. BLOCH: Offer PX-0607 into evidence.
13
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
14
15
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0607 marked.)
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0607 admitted.)
16
    BY MR. BLOCH:
17
18
        On January 29th, 2017, you stated: "Sacto was incredible
19
   and it could only happen in California because of the tight gun
20
   laws," right?
21
   Α
        Yes.
22
        And that's a reference to Sacramento, right?
23
        Yes.
24
        And then at the bottom of the next page you write,
25
   "Anaheim was awesome, too," right?
```

1 I wasn't referring specifically to the stabbings. I was 2 referring to the fact that Antifa fucked around and found out. 3 What you were saying was "awesome" was the fact that Antifa, quote, "fucked around and found out"? 4 5 Yes, sir. Antifa were attacking normal and not even political radicals like myself -- they were attacking normal 7 families attempting to attend a mainstream political event. And ever since 2016, this country has done nothing to stop 8 9 radical Antifa from attacking a broad range of the political 10 process, not just including themselves. And at some point, 11 somebody has to do something about that. 12 MR. BLOCH: Your Honor, I'm going to move to strike 13 that answer. 14 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, that was responsive to the 15 question that was asked. 16 THE COURT: Overruled -- wait. Wait. 17 Sustain the objection. Leave the answer. 18 MR. BLOCH: If we could show Mr. Parrott 0601. 19 BY MR. BLOCH: 20 Mr. Parrott, is this a tradworker post that you're a part 21 of? 22 Yes. 23 MR. BLOCH: I offer 0601 into evidence. 24 THE COURT: Be admitted. 25 (Plaintiff Exhibit 0601 marked.)

```
1
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0601 admitted.)
 2
   BY MR. BLOCH:
 3
        In this post, Mr. Parrott, Kombat-Unit says: "There was
   some sort of nationalist presence on the street, but absolutely
 4
 5
   nothing like you're seeing today," right?
        And you write in response: "We're definitely trying to
 6
 7
   mobilize street action, and I understand that's the most
   important thing," right?
 8
 9
        Yes.
        And that was a comment that you made in the beginning of
10
11
   2017, right?
12
        Yes.
13
        Now, staying on the topic of other rallies, after Anaheim
14
   and Sacramento, there was a white nationalist rally in
   Berkeley, California, right?
15
16
        Trad Worker had nothing to do with that event, sir.
17
        So my question is: There was a white nationalist rally in
18
   Berkeley, California, right?
19
             MR. SMITH: Your Honor, objection. We should perhaps
20
   stick to rallies that Trad Worker was somehow involved in.
21
             MR. BLOCH: Judge, or rallies that Mr. Parrott
   commented favorably on.
22
23
             I'm sorry. Judge, did you overrule the objection?
24
             THE COURT: Answer the question.
25
             THE WITNESS: I should answer the question, sir?
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Yes, sir.
 2
             THE WITNESS: Yes, there was a -- I don't know if it
 3
   could be properly described as a "white nationalist rally," but
   there was an event.
 5
    BY MR. BLOCH:
        Well, there was a lot of violence at that event, right?
 6
 7
        As I understand, yes.
 8
        And the most prominent act of violence happened when
 9
   Nathan Damigo punched a woman and knocked her down, right?
10
             MR. SMITH: Objection, Your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: Overruled. It's been in evidence.
12
             MR. SMITH: Yeah, that's fine.
13
             THE WITNESS: Moldylocks -- Moldylocks was there to
14
   attack them, and yes, he did -- he did punch her in
   self-defense.
15
   BY MR. BLOCH:
16
17
       You called her "Moldylocks" because that's the disparaging
   term that white nationalists used to refer to her; is that
19
   right?
20
       It's been used earlier in the case. I was just being
21
   specific. I was not trying to insult the individual.
22
             MR. BLOCH: Now, if we could show Mr. Parrott
23
   PX-2372.
24
   BY MR. BLOCH:
25
        This is a post that you posted, right, on the Trad Worker
```

```
1
   Twitter account?
2
        Yes.
 3
             MR. BLOCH: I move 2372 into evidence and ask to
 4
   publish it to the jury, please.
5
             THE COURT: Be admitted.
 6
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2372 marked.)
 7
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2372 admitted.)
8
    BY MR. BLOCH:
        Mr. Parrott, you wrote on Twitter, after this event in
9
10
   Berkeley: "We congratulate Identity Evropa on their Berkeley
11
   victory. Encourage folks to attend Auburn and hope to see you
12
   in Pikeville, too," right?
13
        Yes.
   Α
14
        And by "victory," you mean victory in a fight, right?
15
        I mean the -- the rally was successful. There are many
16
   ways a rally can be victorious. We considered Pikeville a
17
   rousing victory despite not a single person being harmed.
18
   fact, it was because not a single person -- there was not a
19
   single punch thrown. That was -- we celebrated that even more,
20
   and called -- after Pikeville, we called it "the Pikeville
21
   template" because we were so excited about the police
22
   cooperating and us being able to turn out in large numbers, and
23
   both sides were able to say their piece, including the opposing
24
   side were able to say their piece.
25
        There are a lot of ways an event can be a victory.
```

```
1
        But yeah, if we're attacked and we prevail against that
 2
   attack, that would certainly be a victory as well.
 3
        So I was asking about the Identity Evropa event in
   Berkeley, and you were talking about Pikeville. So my question
 4
 5
   here is: When you said, "We congratulate Identity Evropa on
   their Berkeley victory" --
 6
 7
             MR. SMITH: Objection, Your Honor. Argumentative,
 8
   mischaracterizes testimony.
             MR. BLOCH: By "victory," you meant --
 9
10
             THE COURT: The jury will remember the testimony.
11
             Go ahead.
12
   BY MR. BLOCH:
13
        You meant victory in a fight, right?
             THE COURT: Now, wait. You've already asked him
14
   that.
15
16
             MR. BLOCH: Well, he responded with a speech about a
17
   different event. But I'll move on.
18
             THE COURT: Well, okay --
19
             MR. SMITH: That's on the tweet that is right in
20
   front of him. So yes, he mentioned that.
    BY MR. BLOCH:
21
22
        Mr. Parrott, you have also personally, yourself, punched
23
   counter-protesters, right?
24
        I believe the only time I did that was at the Terre Haute
25
   incident in approximately 2014, when I -- I don't even know if
```

- 1 I did then. I'm quite the physical coward, and typically I'm running around trying to manage things. I don't -- I'm not 2 3 much of a fighter. 4 And referring to that time you punched a counter-protester 5 yourself, you wrote that, quote, "it feels great," right? Yes. He had just attacked an old man who was standing 6 7 there with a sign. He had come up behind him and punched him in the back of the head, knocking him down. And yes, I did 9 disable the gentleman and turned him over to the Terre Haute City Police. 10 11 Every single act of violence that you or any TWP member is 12 involved in, in your mind, is self-defense; isn't that right? 13 MR. SMITH: Objection, Your Honor, argumentative. THE WITNESS: If it weren't, we would have been 14 arrested, sir. There were cameras at all of these events, and 15 16 everyone was aware of that. It's actually -- it seems like an 17 absurd statement, but when you look at how much political 18 opposition there is to us, and how much interest there is in 19 prosecuting us, absolutely. I would go just about that far, 20 sir. BY MR. BLOCH: 21 22 Now, let's talk about your connections to other
- 24 A Sure.

defendants, okay?

23

25  $\parallel$ Q Now, you agree with me that from your tame in the white

- nationalist movement, you've become familiar with a number of other defendants in this case, right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q You know Jeff Schoep, right?
- 5 A Not very well, but yes.
- 6 Q You've known him for about seven years, right?
- 7 A Yes, but I've maybe only had two or three conversations 8 with the man.
- 9 Q Well, isn't it true that you helped him develop the website for Nationalist Front?
- 11 A He had very little input on the website development, but 12 yes, that was one of our conversations.
- 13 Q Isn't it true you were involved in a conversation between
- 14 Mr. Schoep and Mr. Heimbach regarding the long-term goals of
- 15 ∥the Nationalist Front?
- 16 A I'm not denying that I've had conversations with
- 17 Mr. Schoep over the years. I'm happy to own that. In that
- 18 | example, it was very much Heimbach talking to Schoep and then
- 19 Ime talking to Heimbach after he talked to Schoep. That was
- 20 | generally how Trad Worker worked, was Heimbach would go out and
- 21 | communicate with people and coordinate with people, and I would
- 22 Italk to him afterwards and we'd arrive at conclusions about
- 23 what to do.
- 24 Q So when I asked you if you were involved in a conversation
- 25 between Mr. Schoep and Mr. Heimbach regarding the long-term

goals of the Nationalist Front, is the answer to that question 2 yes? 3 I do not know. 4 You don't know the answer to that question? 5 MR. SMITH: Objection. Asked and answered. 6 THE COURT: Sustained. 7 THE WITNESS: I would be happy, if -- if we have any 8 evidence, like I said, I'm not opposed to agreeing to that. 9 just don't want to closely agree to something that's 10 counterfactual and that I don't recall. It's been several 11 years. 12 BY MR. BLOCH: 13 In your deposition on page 135, line 19, were you asked these questions and did you give these answers? 14 15 Question: "And you worked with Mr. Schoep on the 16 development of the Nationalist Front website, correct?" 17 Answer: "Correct." 18 "What does that entail?" 19 Answer: "The Nationalist Front website was an attempt to 20 create an affiliated collection of groups that share similar 21 vision and projects and goals." 22 Question: "What did you do to work on the website with 23 Mr. Schoep?" 24 Answer: "I created the website. He said it looked good." 25 Question: "And did you talk to him about the goals and

```
1
   principles of the Nationalist Front?"
 2
        Answer: "I was involved in conversation between him --
 3
   me, him, and Heimbach about the long-term goals of the
   Nationalist Front, yes."
 4
 5
        Did you give that testimony?
         I gave that testimony, but I interpolated Mr. Heimbach in
 6
 7
   there to indicate that it was a three-way conversation, which
 8
   is consistent with what I just said.
 9
        Now, if we could show PX-2781, please.
10
         Is this the Nationalist Front website, Mr. Parrott?
11
        Yes, it is.
12
              MR. BLOCH: I would move 2781 into evidence.
13
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
14
              MR. BLOCH: Publish to the jury.
15
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2781 marked.)
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2781 admitted.)
16
    BY MR. BLOCH:
17
18
        The website you worked on with Mr. Schoep, if we can go to
19
   the next page -- and the website says: "The Nationalist Front
20
   will leverage the power of solidarity and scale to raise our
21
   voices and our fists against the organized left and the
22
   globalist Jewish oligarchs," right?
23
        Yes.
24
        And this was part of the mission of the Nationalist Front,
25
   right?
```

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Now, you're also familiar with Dillon Hopper, right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Mr. Hopper was the leader of Defendant Vanguard America
- 7 | for part of 2017, right?
- 8  $\blacksquare$ A For part of 2017, yes.
- 9 Q And Vanguard was a member of the Nationalist Front, right?
- 10 A Vanguard was not a member of the Nationalist Front at the
- 11 time of the rally due to their internal divisions, but there
- 12 was a period where Vanguard was a member of Nationalist Front.
- 13 Q You had planned the rally in Pikeville with Mr. Hopper,
- 14 ||right?
- 15 A Yes. They were a member of Nationalist Front at that
- 16 Itime.
- 17 Q I see. So your testimony is that Vanguard was not a
- 18 member of Nationalist Front only for that small period that
- 19 | included Unite the Right, but they were before and after?
- 20  $\blacksquare$ A No. In July or June, somewhere around there,
- 21 | immediately -- shortly before Unite the Right, there was the
- 22 | huge rift where Thomas started making decisions antithetical to
- 23 Dillon, and that rift survives to this day. It wasn't that
- 24 they stopped briefly this permit.
- 25 For instance, Vanguard America attended the torch march,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

which Nationalist Front groups all decided not to go to. They were in direct communication. They did not attend with us on Market Street. They, at the time of Unite the Right, were categorically not behaving or functioning or on any level with Nationalist Front. And at Pikeville, a few months earlier, they were with Nationalist Front. And the leadership shakeup there -- which is out of my wheelhouse, but -- at the time of Pikeville, they were in Nationalist Front, yes. You're also familiar with Defendant Chris Cantwell, right? Yes. And you met him at the rally in Pikeville, right? Yes. And when you met him, your impression of Mr. Cantwell was that he was volatile, right? I did not mean that with any kind of violent connotations. He's a radio shock jock, and he's very much how he is. Some people put on a persona with the radio. He's every bit 100 percent Mr. Cantwell in private, too. I found him an amusing character. Did you find him to be volatile when you communicated with him at Pikeville? I have already answered that question.

25 A The answer is socially volatile.

Is the answer yes?

- 1 Now, you also were familiar with his views on race, right? 2 Vaguely. 3 Well, in 2017, isn't it true that you thought Mr. Cantwell was racist? 4 5 MR. SMITH: Objection, Your Honor. Isn't Mr. Cantwell going to be testifying in the case? Can't they 6 7 ask him what his views on race are? 8 THE COURT: Well, he wants to show what this 9 defendant's impression was. 10 MR. SMITH: Okay. 11 THE WITNESS: I -- vaguely and broadly speaking, I 12 would perhaps say yes, but I can't really speak for 13 Mr. Cantwell's views. And if I did on page 196 of the 14 deposition, then that was narrow on my part. BY MR. BLOCH: 15 16 On page 139 of the deposition were you asked this question 17 and did you give these answers? 18 Page 139, line 12: "Would you say Mr. Cantwell is 19 antisemitic?" 20 "I would say he is antisemitic, yes." 21 "Would you say Mr. Cantwell is racist?" 22 Answer: "He might not be anymore, but at the time, at the 23 relevant time, he was, yes." 24 Did you give that testimony?
- 25 A Yes. And that was an error on my part. I apologize to

1 the Court. 2 An error because now you're in court being accused of 3 being a co-conspirator of his; is that why it was an error? I was being accused of being a co-conspirator of his, too. 4 5 I did not realize during the time I was taking this deposition that there would be this --6 7 That there would be a record of it? 8 MR. SMITH: Can the witness please -- can the witness 9 please -- can the witness please finish? THE COURT: Let him finish his answer. 10 11 THE WITNESS: I did not know that every single jot 12 and tittle would be analyzed to this degree. The word "racist" 13 is very vague, and if I'm being led into a rhetorical trap, then I need to be very careful with my wording. 14 15 I did not understand while giving this deposition 16 that the term "racist" would then be redefined out from under 17 what I meant it in the deposition. BY MR. BLOCH: 18 19 You've also known Defendants Michael Hill and Michael 20 Tubbs, right, for about six years? 21 I don't know either gentleman. I have interacted with 22 them superficially at events. 23 Is it true that you have known them for about six years? 24 Superficially, yes. 25 When you say "superficially," isn't it true that you've Q

attended white nationalist conferences and events with both Michael Hill and Michael Tubbs? 2 3 Yes. 4 And isn't it true that prior to Unite the Right, you had 5 spoken with both of them about TWP and League of the South? 6 I don't know if I actually spoke with them leading up to 7 Unite the Right, but I have spoken with them in the past. I do 8 not recall the specific conversations. 9 You've known Defendant Richard Spencer for at least a decade, right? 10 11 Yes. 12 You actually worked for a website that he ran, right? 13 I don't recall working for a website he ran. 14 I would like to put on the Court record that Mr. Spencer and I have always been frenemies with very different political 15 16 orientations and have not had any meaningful collaboration. 17 Well, there's no question, Mr. Parrott. 18 I asked you if you worked for a website that he ran and 19 you said, "I don't recall that." And then I noticed that 20 Mr. Spencer also shook his head at you; right? 21 MR. SPENCER: I did not. 22 THE WITNESS: Honestly, I don't -- I don't see how 23 that's relevant. I certainly don't care, and I would be --24 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I think Mr. Spencer was

shaking his head because he's puzzled as to what the website

25

```
1
   was. Nobody seems to be sure --
 2
             THE COURT: Let's move past this. What's your
 3
   question other than what --
   BY MR. BLOCH:
 4
 5
        Were you asked this question in your deposition and did
   you give this testimony?
 6
 7
        Question, page 145, line 7: "Have you collaborated with
 8
   Mr. Spencer in any way on white nationalist causes?"
 9
        Answer: "Yes. I was a writer at his original Alternative
   Right website. I have worked with him over the years."
11
       Okay. There's the understanding. I was genuinely
12
   puzzled. I am also an essayist. I'm not just a web designer.
13
   And I did submit a couple posts to his old alternativeright.com
   website about a decade ago. I certainly did not do his IT and
14
   would not have been trusted to do his IT, but I did contribute
15
16
   to that blog.
   Q I see. You submitted a couple of blogs here and there?
17
18
             MR. SMITH: Objection, asked and answered, Your
19
   Honor.
20
             THE COURT: Sustained.
21
   BY MR. BLOCH:
22
        Well, if we go on, page 45, line -- 145, line 14, and the
23
   question is: "How long were you a writer -- I'll withdraw the
24
   question. I believe you're talking about
25
   alternativeright.com?"
```

```
1
        Answer: "Yes."
 2
        Question: "How long were you a writer there?"
 3
        Answer: "I would guess two years, perhaps."
 4
        Did you give that testimony?
 5
        Yes.
 6
        And so when you said no to that and Mr. Spencer shook his
 7
   head at you at the same time, you were both wrong, right?
 8
             THE COURT: All right.
 9
             THE WITNESS: This is writing --
              (Overlapping speakers.)
10
11
             MR. SMITH: Your Honor --
12
             THE COURT: Just a minute. Counsel, you may not
13
   testify. We're getting off on things, these side issues and
14
   things like that.
15
             MR. BLOCH: Understood, Judge. I would ask that
16
   anybody on the defendants' side not gesture to the witness.
17
             MR. SPENCER: I did not --
18
              (Overlapping speakers.)
19
             THE COURT: I didn't see anything. I was looking at
20
   you.
         I don't -- I mean --
21
             MR. BLOCH: Understood, Judge. I'll keep going.
22
    BY MR. BLOCH:
23
        Mr. Parrott, you also became familiar with Mr. Spencer's
24
   views, right, prior to Unite the Right?
25
   Α
        Yes.
```

- Q And you would agree that he was antisemitic?
- 2 A What does it say in the deposition, sir?
- 3 Q Do you need the deposition testimony to answer that
- 4 question?

1

- 5 A Given the nature of this process, this is not what I
- 6 originally presumed, and I -- yes.
- 7 Q On page 147, line 21, Question: "Okay. Would you say
- 8 that Mr. Spencer is antisemitic?"
- 9 Answer: "Yes."
- 10 Did you give that testimony?
- 11 A I did.
- 12 Q You also knew Nathan Damigo prior to Unite the Right,
- 13 | correct?
- 14 A Very superficially.
- 15 Q Well, you had known him for at least five years, right?
- 16 A Very superficially.
- 17  $\parallel$ Q You met him at a private white nationalist event in
- 18 California, right?
- 19 A Yes, in which he showed up, said he didn't want to be
- 20 | there, and then left. And we were perturbed by that, but yes.
- 21  $\|Q\|$  You've attended white nationalist events with Mr. Damigo,
- 22 ||right?
- 23 ||A Private.
- 24 Q Private white nationalist events, is that what you're
- 25 saying?

1 I believe so. I believe -- and if you have countervailing 2 evidence, I believe I've only met him and interacted with him 3 one time. MR. BLOCH: Judge, I'm moving on to another topic. 4 5 Happy to keep going, happy also to take a break, whatever the Court would prefer. 6 7 THE COURT: It's not time for a break. 8 MR. BLOCH: I'm sorry? THE COURT: It's not time for a break. 9 MR. BLOCH: Okay. understood. 10 11 BY MR. BLOCH: 12 Mr. Parrott, let's talk about Unite the Right, okay? 13 Yes, sir. 14 You were one of the main organizers of Unite the Right 15 from TWP, correct? 16 From TWP, for our attendance at Unite the Right, yes. 17 And Matthew Heimbach was another main organizer for TWP, 18 right? 19 Yes. 20 And the two of you made important decisions about Unite 21 the Right together, right? 22 Α Yes. 23 You also involved somebody named Cesar Ortiz in the 24 planning; isn't that true?

25

Α

Yes, it is.

- 1 Q Mr. Ortiz was a TWP member at the time, right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And part of the reason that you chose him to help organize
- 4 Unite the Right was because of his military experience, right?
- 5 A Yes. He had -- he had a tremendous bearing. He was an
- 6 linspiration to the men. And he was, in my opinion, the reason
- 7 that Trad Worker got in and out without any significant issues.
- 8 He did an incredible job.
- 9 Q The way Trad Worker got in and out in your mind you would
- 10 characterize as not any significant issues; is that right?
- 11 A Given the opposition and given the historically
- 12 | unprecedented absence of any law enforcement throughout the
- 13 entire time of our permitted event, the fact that we managed to
- 14 get into the event and then get out of the event when the event
- 15 was over without any major injuries or issues was an incredible
- 16 success for us, yes.
- 17 Q And you -- so you, Mr. Heimbach, and Mr. Ortiz worked
- 18 together to plan Unite the Right, correct?
- 19  $\|A\|$  Yes. Well, we planned our attendance at Unite the Right,
- 20 | okay?
- 21 Q Fair to say you each shared the same objectives for Unite
- 22 the Right?
- 23 A Generally speaking.
- 24 Q And you agree with me that you were actively involved in
- 25 | the planning of Unite the Right with Mr. Heimbach by early June

21 A Yes, I did.

22 Q Yes?

23 **|** A Yes.

Q And that testimony that you gave under oath, was it true?

25 A Yes.

24

1 And you and Mr. Heimbach each had your own set of 2 responsibilities for planning Unite the Right, correct? 3 MR. SMITH: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. The testimony that was read said "planning for Unite the 4 5 Right"; it didn't say "planning Unite the Right." I think counsel is trying to mislead with this line of questioning. 6 7 MR. BLOCH: Judge, I again object to the commentary. THE COURT: All right. Don't -- the witness has to 8 9 listen to the question and answer the question. 10 THE WITNESS: As stated, we were never organizers of 11 Unite the Right. We were attending a preexisting event as 12 invited guests of an event that was planned by others. 13 planned our attendance. And yes, that process began informally 14 and organically. I don't remember any, like, assigned, this is your job, that's your job, at any point before August. 15 16 MR. BLOCH: One moment, Judge. It's very important 17 that we're accurate on this. 18 THE COURT: Don't make such comments. It's all --19 the jury will decide what's important. 20 (Pause.) 21 MR. BLOCH: All right. We'll come back to this. 22 Let's just -- let me just be clear about what you're saying 23 here. 24 BY MR. BLOCH: 25 You're saying, we were attending a preexisting event as

- 1 invited guests of an event that was planned by others, right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Isn't it true that Mr. Kessler texted Mr. Heimbach in May,
- 4  $\blacksquare$ I believe 22nd of 2017, that he wanted to start the
- 5 conversation about Charlottesville 2.0? Isn't that true?
- 6 A That is correct, yes.
- 7  $\parallel$ Q And that's Matthew Heimbach, your best friend and
- 8 cofounder of TWP, right?
- 9 A Codirector, yes.
- 10 Q And isn't it also true that, among other things,
- 11 Mr. Heimbach created a TWP channel in the Charlottesville 2.0
- 12 server, which included Jason Kessler on June 5th, 2017; isn't
- 13 that true?
- 14 A I believe it is true. Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$ Q You agree with me that Mr. Heimbach handled many of TWP's
- 16 | external communications about Unite the Right, correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And that included communicating with Defendant Jason
- 19 Kessler, right?
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21  $\parallel$ Q Mr. Heimbach was actually in charge of coordinating with
- 22 | law enforcement, right?
- 23 A I don't recall.
- 24 Q And in addition to handling external communications,
- 25 Mr. Heimbach helped you and Mr. Ortiz coordinate the

- 1 on-the-ground plans for Unite the Right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Your responsibilities focused more on managerial matters;
- 4 would that be fair to say?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q You were tasked with things like vetting who would attend
- 7 the event from TWP, right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q You gave internal directions to TWP members, right?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 Q You gave TWP members directions about how to conduct
- 12 | themselves before, during, and after the rally, right?
- 13 A Probably.
- 14  $\parallel$ Q And you worried about things like optics and lawfare,
- 15 ||right?
- $16 \parallel A$  Everyone in the organization worried about those two
- 17 | things.
- 18 Q Well, in other words, one of the things that you were
- 19 | actively considering while planning Unite the Right was that
- 20 you might be sued after Unite the Right, correct?
- 21  $\blacksquare$ A Yes. We were -- Unite the Right was distinct because we
- 22  $\parallel$  had the ACLU going in, and we thought this was an excellent
- 23 popportunity to show up in a large contingent. And with the
- 24 National Guard there, we were very confident that there would
- 25 | not be any major violence and it could be a turning point where

Antifa realized that attacking our events would not be successful.

We had to prove that attacking our events would not work.

And Unite the Right was the largest gathering of Antifa, and we saw this as an opportunity to work along with the ACLU and city and state government to properly plan this with a lot of advance lead time. They had months and months to plan this through. And to this day, I do not understand why the National Guard were there if they weren't there to maintain order.

MR. BLOCH: Judge, I move to strike everything in that answer after the word "yes."

MR. SMITH: No, Your Honor, I think that was a responsive answer.

THE COURT: Mr. Bloch, you let the witness go on and on and on. We've heard it now. Stop him if he's answered your question. The question -- I mean, he's explaining his answer, I guess. I don't know what he's doing. So if you do, stop him in time.

MR. BLOCH: I was trying to be respectful.

BY MR. BLOCH:

- Q Well, in planning for Unite the Right, Mr. Parrott, you took specific steps to prepare for the possibility that you and your group may be sued, right?
- 24 A Yes.

 $\parallel$ Q And one of the things you did was put Cesar Ortiz in

1 charge, right? 2 We did not do that to stop from being sued. We did that 3 because we trust him to perform an excellent job, which he did. Isn't it true that part of the reason you put Cesar Ortiz 4 5 in charge is because you felt he understood and spoke in the language of legal concerns? Isn't that true? 6 7 I don't exactly recall that, but he was very mindful of 8 the need to have a strictly safe, defensive event. 9 In your deposition, page 181, line 4, were you asked this question and did you give this answer: "And why was Mr. Ortiz 10 11 chosen to help organize Unite the Right?" 12 "Mr. Ortiz is a very intelligent and level-headed Answer: 13 man who commands the respect of people following him, and he 14 has military experience which gives him the bearing that makes him an effective leader, and he also understood and spoke in 15 16 the language of legal concerns." 17 Did you give that testimony? 18 Yes. And I fully stand behind that testimony, yes. 19 Would it be fair to say -- do you agree with me, 20 Mr. Parrott, that you had actually specifically worked out a 21 plan for how to help white nationalists who got arrested at 22 Unite the Right? 23 I believe we had the Charlie plan for potential civil 24 disobedience. It wasn't really executed. I don't recall. Maybe you can help me. 25

1 Well, in your testimony, page 236, line 7, were you asked 2 this question and did you give this answer. Question: 3 there a plan in place for people who got arrested at Unite the Right?" 4 5 Answer: "I don't recall exactly what that plan would be." 6 Question: "Okay. But you remember that there was a plan 7 worked out ahead of time for people -- for white nationalists 8 that got arrested, correct?" 9 Answer: "Yes." 10 Did you give that testimony? 11 Yes. I gave that testimony. 12 Now --13 I mentioned in the follow-up that that was referring to the Charlie teams, as I had discussed earlier. 14 15 So if we could show the witness PX-0685. 16 Mr. Parrott, is this a Discord post in the tradworker 17 server including conversations by you? 18 I am only introducing the two people and then I do not 19 participate in the conversation. 20 Okay. But this is a Discord post in the tradworker server 21 including communications by you? 22 Yes. 23 MR. BLOCH: I move 0685 into evidence, Judge. 24 THE COURT: Be admitted. 25 (Plaintiff Exhibit 0685 marked.)

1 (Plaintiff Exhibit 0685 admitted.) 2 BY MR. BLOCH: 3 Mr. Parrott, this is a series of posts in the tradworker server, right? 4 5 Yes. In the intel channel on the tradworker Discord 6 server, yes, sir. 7 Right. Thank you. And the -- you kick it off at the top by saying in April 21, 2017, "This channel is for intelligence 8 9 discussions. It contains only the directors and @Dr.Cocopuff who's old school trusted and shares Max Macro's gift for 10 intelligence gathering," right? 11 12 Yes. 13 And "directors" refers to directors of TWP, right? Yes. 14 15 And that means you, Mr. Heimbach, Mr. Macro, and somebody 16 named Derrick Davis, right? 17 I don't believe Derrick Davis was a director. Maybe he 18 was. I don't remember. 19 He was the regional coordinator for Virginia, wasn't he? 20 Yeah, and that's not a director. That's why the 21 confusion. I do recall this and I do see him showing up later. 22 For whatever reason, he was certainly in there. 23 And Dr. Cocopuff is a guy named Cory Smith who was a TWP 24 member in 2017, right?

25

Α

Yes.

- 1 Q And you go on to say, "The presence of this group is never
- 2 to be discussed outside this group. We must have more mature
- 3 and effective intelligence and we need a game plan for
- 4 manifesting that," right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And you later say, "Whether you or Max or you and Max wish
- 7 to take the lead on fleshing that out, you'll have the party's
- 8 | full support, " right?
- 9 A I did say that.
- 10 Q And Max Macro at the time was TWP's chief financial
- 11 officer; isn't that right?
- 12 A Yes, it is.
- 13 Q And we talked about Derrick Davis also shows up in this
- 14 | channel, right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q And in addition to being the regional director for TWP for
- 17 Virginia, he was TWP's quartermaster, right?
- 18 A Which was inclusive of flag design, yes.
- 19 Q And he actually marched with you and Mr. Heimbach at Unite
- 20 the Right, correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q He was Mr. Heimbach's body man at Unite the Right,
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A No. He was merely with Mr. Heimbach. Mr. Heimbach has
- 25 never had a bodyguard.

- Q So -- but he marched with the two of you guys that day, right?
  - A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q And you created and moderated this intel channel; isn't
- 5 that true?

3

- 6 A Yes, I did.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$  And if we could go to the bottom of page 2, you all are
- 8 discussing at this point gathering intelligence; is that right?
- 9 A I ceased participating in this conversation, and once I
- 10 found out about the conversation later, I was like, oh, mercy,
- 11 this is not productive, and closed the channel. But yes.
- 12 Q Okay. So the question is: You were -- at this point, the
- 13 folks in the channel that you moderated and created were
- 14 talking about intel gathering, right?
- 15 A Yes, they were.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q And at the bottom of page 2, Max Macro says, "At some
- 17 point we gotta exploit internal factional rivalries via false
- 18 If lag attacks based on said humiliating info," right?
- 19 A Yes, he did say that.
- 20  $\mathbb{Q}$  And a false flag attack is an operation where an act is
- 21 | committed by one party but designed to make it look like it was
- 22 committed by somebody else, right?
- 23  $\blacksquare$ A That is what the term -- yes.
- 24 Q And in this case what he's talking about is attacks that
- 25 | are made to look like they were done by Antifa; isn't that

1 right? 2 MR. SMITH: Objection, Your Honor. That's 3 argumentative. 4 THE COURT: Overruled. 5 THE WITNESS: Especially in this context, I don't care to speculate on what Mr. Macro was actually thinking. 6 7 BY MR. BLOCH: 8 Okay. Well, why don't we look at his actual words. 9 go to the bottom of page 2 -- I'm looking for where he says, "That should be the basic game plan." 10 11 Might be on the next page. 12 Try the next page. Max Macro says, "Yeah, I think that 13 should be the basic game plan, pool intel on Antifa then start 14 a bunch of infighting through false flags, take them out that way city by city," right? 15 16 Yes, that's what he said. 17 So what he's talking about when he says false flag attacks 18 is creating the impression that Antifa was behaving violently; 19 isn't that right? 20 He's clearly talking about starting drama within Antifa 21 private circles, I believe, as I understand it. But once 22 again, what he's referring to there is really out in deep water 23 for me. This was not a productive conversation and it wasn't a 24 conversation I participated in. And to my knowledge, nothing 25 from this conversation ever manifested in reality.

1 Well, we'll talk about that, too, but --2 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, objection. Can we -- the 3 commentary in between questions. Your Honor reminded counsel 4 about this already. 5 THE COURT: He said something about talking later. Go ahead. 6 7 BY MR. BLOCH: If we can go to the bottom of page 9. At the bottom of 8 page 9, in this channel that you created and moderated, that you just claimed you bailed out of or something, Max Macro on May 2nd says, "Oh, yeah, @MatthewHeimbach, @parrott, make sure 11 12 to include in the writeup how Antifa threatened to ambush us 13 but pussed out," right? 14 Yes, he did say that. 15 And then if we could go on, he says, "even if it 16 technically wasn't Antifa," right? 17 He did say that. Α 18 And the tag, when he specifically tags you and 19 Mr. Heimbach, that gives you and Mr. Heimbach a notification, 20 right? 21 I don't think I'm alone here in saying that I have never 22 been one to check all of my notifications. 23 Well, can we agree that what Mr. Macro is effectively 24 telling you to do here is to do a writeup that includes false 25 information about Antifa's violence at a particular event?

1 He was talking about how Antifa --2 I'm sorry? 3 He was referring to how Antifa weren't violent. Antifa threatened to ambush us and then didn't. He's 4 5 claiming -- and I'm speaking inappropriate here because I'm trying to get inside this man's mind, but he's saying that 6 7 we're going to write up articles about how Antifa didn't attack 8 He's saying the exact opposite of what you're suggesting. 9 THE COURT: All right. We'll stop here for lunch for 10 an hour. 11 Judge, may I follow up with one question? MR. BLOCH: 12 THE COURT: Go ahead. 13 BY MR. BLOCH: 14 Did you give this testimony, Mr. Parrott, under oath, page 192, line 15: Question: "Well, if you continue on in the 15 16 channel, if we go to the bottom of page 9, Max Macro writes, 17 'oh, yeah, Matthew Heimbach, Parrott, make sure we include in 18 the writeup how Antifa threatened to ambush us but pussed out 19 even if it technically wasn't Antifa.'" 20 Answer: "I do see he stated that." 21 Question: "And he's effectively telling you to do a 22 writeup that includes erroneous information about Antifa's 23 violence at a particular event, correct?" 24 Answer: "That appears to be the statement, yes." 25 Did you give that testimony, Mr. Parrott?

1 Sir, if you read here, it says erroneous information about 2 Antifa violence. And this is erroneous information about 3 Antifa not being violent. The two statements are perfectly 4 congruous. 5 So my question, Mr. Parrott, is: Did you give that testimony? 6 7 Yes, I did. 8 THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, we'll 9 take a lunch recess now. Do not discuss the case with anyone or allow anyone to discuss it with you or remain within hearing 10 11 of anyone discussing the case at lunch. We'll recess until 12 1:20. (Jury out, 12:20 p.m.) 13 (Recess.) 14 15 THE COURT: All right. The jury has said they will 16 cooperate and work Thursday because they want to get out. 17 They're expecting -- they want to finish. 18 MS. KAPLAN: That doesn't surprise me at all, Your 19 Honor. We see that as a great development. We will finish our 20 case we hope on Thursday. 21 The one question that we didn't have answered that 22 would be extremely helpful is if Mr. Smith, who I don't see, 23 hasn't told us who and -- whether he intends to call his own 24 clients in this case and what else he intends to do. So it's 25 hard to budget with that.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, anyway, we're going to --1 2 MS. KAPLAN: One more point, Your Honor, is 3 Mr. Schoep, Defendant Schoep was unable to be here on Thursday so we'll have to do him on Friday. We apologize for that. 4 5 Mr. ReBrook couldn't get him here for Thursday. 6 THE COURT: Okay. We're going Thursday. You all can 7 think about this, about the Rule 50. The Supreme Court of the United States has pointed out that very few judges grant the 8 Rule 50, I would say, in the middle of the trial -- at the end 9 of plaintiffs' evidence. And they discourage it. They say 10 11 that it's best that the judge take it under advisement and 12 decide it at the end. 13 One time I have -- in 24 or 25 years on this Court, I have done that, where I've granted a Rule 50 motion at the end 14 15 of the plaintiffs' case, and that was where the expert flipped 16 on the plaintiff. So I'll just tell you that I would 17 anticipate not giving a ruling on the Rule 50 motion, if that's 18 going to influence anybody's thinking. 19 Okay. We can go ahead. 20 Call the jury. 21 (Jury in, 1:26 p.m.) 22 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated. 23 You may proceed. 24 MR. BLOCH: Thank you, Judge. 25 BY MR. BLOCH:

- 1 Q Mr. Parrott, we were looking at Plaintiffs' 0685, which
- 2 was the #intel channel that you moderated and created, right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And turning to page 10 of that document, Max Macro, who is
- 5 the TWP -- I believe he was chief of staff?
- 6 A CFO.
- 7 0 CFO?
- 8 A He handled a lot of our financial paperwork.
- 9 Q He writes at the top: "Oh, yeah, here's a psyop idea,"
- 10 ||right?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 Q And "psyop" refers to a psychological operation which is
- 13 manipulating people psychologically, right?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$ Q And what he suggests is using "false flags, smear and
- 16 | troll attacks to start fightings between patriotard militias
- 17 | and Antifa, "right?
- 18 A He did write that, yes.
- 19 **||**Q And then Mr. Smith agrees with him. He says -- that's
- 20 ||Dr. Cocopuff -- "Not a half bad idea." And then he says: "The
- 21 | idea of making fake propaganda for them would be great, like
- 22 | brutally anti-white stuff, " right?
- 23 A That is what they say.
- 24 Q And if I could just review your deposition testimony
- 25 | interpreting these posts, you were asked these questions and

1 you gave these answers on page 193, line 25. 2 Question: "Okay. So what Dr. Cocopuff and Max Macro are 3 discussing in this channel that you've created is putting out fake propaganda to make it seem as if Antifa is stating violent 4 5 intentions, fair to say?" And you answered: "Yes, that's their conversation." 6 7 Right? 8 Yes. That was my answer. 9 And so if we go to page 11, in the middle of the page, Max Macro says: "So team white's gotta start targeting them while 10 pretending to be Antifa," right? 11 12 By "targeting," I believe he was talking about the psyops 13 targeting, but yes. 14 Right. That's what Max Macro said, "pretending to be Antifa," right? 15 16 That's what he said, yes. 17 And then if we go to page 15. And at the top, Max Macro 18 says: "Do you guys think it would be good to make generic 19 Antifa pages, or maybe something more local and focused like a 20 fake Pikeville Antifa page, then using that to antagonize the 21 militias, which feeds into false flagging this Pikeville For 22 Unity and Equality rally," right? 23 That is what they said. 24 And then he goes on to say -- Dr. Cocopuff agrees: "Dude, 25 yes. I had a fake Antifa Facebook and was going around on

- 1 churches and gun shops/gun clubs and harassing them, " right?
- 2  $\blacksquare$ A That is what he said.
- 3 Q And Facebook -- "FB" refers to Facebook?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q So we can agree that Mr. Smith was stating that he
- 6 | pretended to be Antifa and he targeted and harassed people in
- 7 gun shops and gun clubs, right?
- 8 A That is what he is stating, yes.
- 9 Q Then if we go to the top of page 19 --
- 10 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I'm kind of wondering what
- 11 the relevance of this is. Dr. Cocopuff isn't a defendant in
- 12 this case, as far as I know.
- 13 THE COURT: Well, he can ask the questions.
- 14 BY MR. BLOCH:
- 15 Q So, just going back to 19, this is the channel you
- 16 created, moderated, and participated in?
- 17 A It is incorrect to say that I moderated this conversation.
- 18 | I was a moderator, but I clearly was not participating in this
- 19 | conversation.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q At then the top of page 19, Commander Davis -- that's
- 21 | Derrick Davis, right?
- 22 A Yes, it is.
- 23 Q And on May 24th, 2017, he writes: "Southern Virginia is
- 24 my Antifa honeypot. Feel free to use for intel gathering,"
- 25 ||right?

1 And then Dr. Cocopuff says: "Okay. I'm trying to make 2 this dank operation up for tactical trolling and the subversion 3 of Antifa, some COINTEL pro-type shit," right? That is what they said. 4 5 And then Mr. Davis responds to the link that Dr. Cocopuff sent around and he says: "Can now send out Antifa mobs in 6 7 Charlottesville," right? That is what he stated. 8 9 And he said that on June 2nd, 2017, right? 10 Yes. 11 And that is a week after Mr. Kessler talked to

Mr. Heimbach about collaborating on planning Charlottesville,

14 A I don't know.

right?

13

- Q And just to be clear, Derrick Davis is discussing the prospect of using deception to create the appearance that Antifa is going to be violent at Charlottesville, correct?
- 18 A That is what -- appears to be what he is saying, yes.
- 19 Q Now, you knew, Mr. Parrott, that there would be 20 counter-protesters at Unite the Right, correct?
- 21 A Yes, I did.
- 22 Q And you understood that the counter-protesters likely to 23 show up, generally speaking, would be supporters of racial and 24 religious minorities, right?
- 25 A The opposition is a wide range of Marxists, leftists, and

other groups. There certainly was a lot of multiculturalism 2 and stuff, yes, but it was a statue and heritage event. It was 3 not a white people versus black people event. 4 Now, you also gave this testimony on page 249, line 11, 5 question: "Do you agree with me, Mr. Parrott, that the alt-right showed up to Unite the Right prepared to fight?" 6 7 Answer: "Yes." 8 Did you give that testimony? 9 Yes. You and Mr. Heimbach decided that TWP members should bring 10 11 shields to Unite the Right, correct? 12 Yes. 13 And you also participated in discussions with TWP members 14 about whether to bring weapons, right? 15 There was a lot of Second Amendment talk about what --16 whether concealed or open carry could or could not be used. We 17 attempted to discourage that altogether. 18 Well, you stated in your deposition testimony, page 172, 19 line 17, question: "And you said you were opposed to TWP 20 members bringing weapons to Unite the Right? You agree that 21 position did not prevail, correct?" 22 "That position did prevail, and to my knowledge 23 not a single person brought an offensive or a weapon to Unite 24 the Right."

Question: "Not a single person from TWP brought a firearm

25

```
1
   to Unite the Right?"
 2
                 "That's correct."
        Answer:
 3
         "Not a single TWP member brought a club to Unite the
   Right?"
 4
 5
        Answer: "I do not recall for certain. I do not believe
 6
   they did."
 7
        Did you give that testimony?
        Yes, I did.
 8
 9
              MR. BLOCH: Can we show PX-2373?
   BY MR. BLOCH:
10
11
         Is that, Mr. Parrott, a photograph of you on August 12th?
12
        Yes, it is.
13
              MR. BLOCH: I move this exhibit into evidence and ask
14
   to publish it to the jury.
15
              THE COURT: Be admitted and published.
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2373 marked.)
16
17
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2373 admitted.)
    BY MR. BLOCH:
18
19
        Mr. Parrott, that's you at Unite the Right, and behind you
20
   is a TWP member holding a club, right?
21
              MR. SMITH: Objection, Your Honor.
22
              THE WITNESS: I don't see the TWP insignia.
   doesn't appear -- I do not recognize him. He's not wearing the
23
24
   same style of helmet that we purchased in bulk. I don't recall
25
   seeing him there, but he -- if you look on his right shoulder,
```

left -- your left, he has some kind of other insignia. 2 cannot say one way or another who that gentleman is. 3 BY MR. BLOCH: So the guy standing in all black with the black 4 5 construction helmet, surrounded by other TWP members, that's not a TWP member; is that your testimony? 7 I do not know. He is not wearing the standard outfit that 8 everyone else has worn. I do not recognize him. I cannot say 9 one way or another. 10 Okay. We can take that down, Mr. Spalding. 11 Now, you're familiar with the term "RaHoWa," right? 12 Yes. 13 And "RaHoWa" is short for Racial Holy War, right? Yes. It is a cringe '80s meme. 14 15 And in your deposition testimony at page 61, line 2, were 16 you asked this question and did you give this answer? 17 "And there are white nationalists that believe the race 18 war was going to continue at Unite the Right, correct?" 19 Answer: "There are white nationalists who arrived at 20 Unite the Right with that impression, yes." 21 Did you give that testimony? 22 Yes, I did. 23 Now let's talk about Charlottesville 2.0 itself. 24 TWP rented a cabin near Charlottesville for Unite the

25

Right, correct?

- A Correct.
- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$  And you stayed in that cabin on the 11th and 12th?
- 3 A Yes.

- 4 Q Along with a number of other TWP members, right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And on the morning of August 12th, you went to a store in
- 7 | a strip mall, right, before heading to the park, JoAnn Fabrics?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q You met up with other defendants at JoAnn Fabrics?
- 10 A Yes. We met up with the Market Street crew, League of the
- 11 South, and NSM.
- 12 Q Right. And also, you met with members of the Hammerskins,
- 13 ||right?
- 14 A I do not recall. It's a possibility.
- 15  $\parallel$ Q Turning to page 222 of your deposition testimony --
- 16 page 22, line 17, question: "The Hammerskins were there,
- 17 ||right?"
- 18 Answer: "Yes."
- 19 Did you give that testimony?
- 20 ||A I did. that's a slightly separate question than what I was
- 21 | just asked, but I'm not contending that the Hammerskins weren't
- 22 at JoAnn Fabrics, nor at the event.
- 23 Q So just to be clear, the question I asked you was: You
- 24 also met up with members of the Hammerskins at JoAnn Fabrics,
- 25 ||right?

1 MR. SMITH: Objection, asked and answered. MR. BLOCH: Judge, may I be heard? 2 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 Answer the question, sir. 5 THE WITNESS: I do not recall the Hammerskin Motorcycle Club being at JoAnn Fabrics. I'm not disputing it. 6 7 I simply do not recall. BY MR. BLOCH: 8 And does seeing your deposition testimony to that effect 9 refresh your recollection that they were, in fact, there? 10 11 Well, at JoAnn Fabrics versus at the event are two 12 separate questions. I am confused as to which one you're 13 asking. 14 I was aware. I don't think I actually ever even saw them, 15 or know exactly what they looked like. And I might have 16 remembered better years ago when I did this deposition. 17 don't know -- if it says in here -- what the status was on that. 18 19 So when you say you did this deposition years ago, you did 20 the deposition on June 26, 2020, right? 21 Α Yes. 22 And by the way, to be clear, you were represented by a 23 lawyer at that deposition, right? 24 Yes, I was. Α 25 And you've had the opportunity to review your deposition

transcript since then, correct? 2 I have, though it's -- between this and for corporate, we're talking about several hundred pages here, and I have not 4 fully. 5 And for a year since you did the deposition, you've had the opportunity to make any corrections that you want to the 7 testimony, correct? 8 Yes. 9 And you have not corrected the testimony one word, correct? 10 11 I have not availed myself of that opportunity. 12 So --13 Am I actually contradicting myself on the motorcycle club? I don't know where I am. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. Let's just clear this up. 16 The record is what it is, and you don't dispute the 17 record. You just -- you can -- the record speaks for itself. 18 BY MR. BLOCH: 19 You agree with me, Mr. Parrott, that the Hammerskins are a 20 skinhead organization with a well-established reputation for 21 street violence, right? 22 They are associated with a skinhead subculture. 23 don't -- I believe their reputation for violence is a bit 24 exaggerated.

25 Q Did you testify that the Hammerskins have a

1 well-established reputation for street violence? I don't recall what I testified. 2 3 Page 227, line 18, were you asked these questions and did you give these answers? 4 5 "Okay. Now who are the Hammerskins?" 6 "The Hammerskins are a venerable old skinhead 7 organization." 8 Question: "And you agree they've got a well-established 9 reputation for street violence, right?" Answer: "Yes." 10 11 Did you give that testimony? 12 It appears I did. 13 Now, from JoAnn's -- JoAnn Fabrics, you went to the Market 14 Street garage, correct? 15 Yes. 16 And the plan was for the groups in the parking garage to 17 march from the parking garage to Emancipation Park, right? 18 Yes. 19 And I'm going to read your testimony on this point from 20 your deposition, page 221, line 4. 21 Question: "Well, when you were in the garage, did you 22 have any awareness that there were counter-protesters around 23 Emancipation Park?" 24 Answer: "Yes." 25 Question: "And how did you get that information?"

1 Answer: "Everybody had cell phones. Everybody was 2 talking to everybody else. I believe it might have been 3 visually apparent." 4 Question: "So while you were in the garage, at the Market 5 Street garage, you were aware that there were 6 counter-protesters assembling in your path to Emancipation 7 Park?" 8 Answer: "Yes." 9 Question: "Did you do anything with that information?" 10 "No. We assembled as planned for that 11 contingency, to have our shields at the front and push through 12 the Antifa into the park." 13 Question: "Now, when you were in the Market Street garage 14 and you learned of this information, you didn't know 15 specifically who was in the path to Emancipation Park, 16 correct?" 17 Answer: "Correct." 18 Did you give that testimony under oath? 19 I did. 20 And then you lined up in the garage with Matthew Heimbach 21 at the front with Michael Hill and Michael Tubbs, right? 22 Yes. 23 And you began to march towards the counter-protesters, 24 right? 25 We began to march towards our permitted event, sir.

1 Now, to be clear about timing, this march into the park 2 happened before unlawful assembly had been declared, right? 3 Yes. 4 And you were somewhere in the middle of the pack? 5 Yes. 6 And as you got closer to the counter-protesters, you heard what you described as, quote, "a full-throated rebel yell," 8 right? Yes, I did. 9 10 And that was a war cry that came from League of the South, 11 correct? 12 That's how I described it, yes. 13 And at that point, as planned, Michael Tubbs led the 14 charge through a crowd of counter-protesters, correct? I did not actually witness that event. My description was 15 16 based on hearsay, but as I understand it, the League of the 17 South succeeded in entering our permitted event despite people 18 who were illegally disrupting our freedom of movement. 19 You gave this testimony under oath last year, page 226, 20 line 2. 21 Question: "And at that point, isn't it true that Michael 22 Tubbs led a charge through the counter-protesters?" 23 Answer: "Yes." 24 Question: "And you stated at that point that the League

fighters with shields 'put their training to work,' correct?"

1 Answer: "Yes." 2 Question: "And what training are you referring to there?" 3 Answer: "I'm referring to basic blocking tactics, lining up a shield wall, and pushing through -- pushing through the 4 5 opposition." Question: "Okay. What's a shield wall?" 6 7 Answer: "A shield wall is when the shields are lined up 8 to stop any projectiles or fists or anything else getting 9 through to harm any of our members." 10 "And is it also true that the shield walls are Question: 11 used to break through groups of counter-protesters?" 12 "Yes." Answer: 13 Did you give that testimony? Yes, I did. 14 15 And at that point, while there is fighting taking place, 16 do you agree with me that Identity Evropa sent a detachment of 17 fighters to assist you and relay intelligence to Jason Kessler? 18 MR. SMITH: Objection. What's the foundation for 19 this question? 20 BY MR. BLOCH: 21 Mr. Parrott, were you present on August 12th --22 MR. SMITH: Excuse me. I don't believe the judge has ruled. 23 24 THE COURT: Are you laying the foundation? 25 MR. BLOCH: Judge, he just testified he's in a group

1 of white nationalists marching through Market Street to break 2 through the counter-protesters lined up outside Emancipation 3 Park. MR. SMITH: The question was already saying that 4 5 there was fighting going on. So somehow counsel jumped ahead. Judge, I can rephrase if it's helpful. 6 MR. BLOCH: 7 THE COURT: Repeat the question. 8 BY MR. BLOCH: 9 After the point at which Michael Tubbs and others led the charge into counter-protesters -- do you know where I'm talking 10 about in time? Do you understand? 11 12 I understand. These were from watching videos, open 13 source videos and speaking to other people. And that was the 14 basis for my deposition, yes. Okay. And isn't it true that Identity Evropa sent a 15 16 detachment of fighters to assist you and relay intelligence to 17 Jason Kessler? Isn't that true? 18 That was after the event was already secured, after we had 19 achieved the shield wall at Market Street. Our goal was to get 20 in, put the shield wall behind us, and that way we're in our 21 permitted event. 22 Identity Evropa did send people to assist. 23 colorful language to call them "fighters" or whatever. 24 there was communication with Identity Evropa to figure out what

was going on at southeast Market Street, yes.

```
1
             MR. BLOCH: One moment, Judge.
 2
              (Pause.)
 3
    BY MR. BLOCH:
        Mr. Parrott, did you write that: "Michael Tubbs, an
 4
 5
   especially imposing League organizer, towered over and pushed
   through the Antifa like a Tyrannosaurus among raptors as League
 6
 7
   fighters with shields put their training to work"? Did you
   write that?
 8
 9
        I did write that, yes.
10
        And then did you go on -- you talk about Cesar Hess, and
11
   then you say: "While most of the Identity Evropa men were
12
   occupied with other fronts, they sent a detachment of fighters
13
   to assist us and to relay intelligence to Jason Kessler and
14
   other organizers. They offered more fighters, but we had our
15
   positions amply covered."
16
        Did you write that?
17
        I did write that.
18
        Now, turning to your testimony at page 225, line 6, were
19
   you asked, question: "And you said that, quote, 'We all,
20
   united, decisively won the fight, 'correct?"
21
        Answer: "Yes."
22
        Did you give that testimony?
23
        Yes, I did.
24
        You also testified on page 228, line 21, question: "Did
25
   you once write, Mr. Parrott, after Unite the Right, regarding
```

1 Unite the Right, that you, quote, 'beat the living shit out of your enemies, ' end quote?" 2 3 Answer: "I did write that, yes." 4 Did you give that testimony? 5 I gave that testimony about the event. I did not lay a hand on anybody during the entire event. 6 7 Now, at some point the police declared an unlawful 8 assembly and ordered everybody to leave Emancipation Park, 9 correct? 10 Yes. 11 And you intentionally disobeyed that order, right? 12 Yes. We had -- in the email dispatches we sent, we had 13 spoken about --14 So Mr. Parrott, my question was: You intentionally disobeyed that --15 16 MR. SMITH: Can the witness please finish answering 17 the question, Judge? 18 THE COURT: He said yes. 19 BY MR. BLOCH: 20 And you were then arrested for failure to disperse, correct? 21 22 Very peacefully and respectfully with the officer, yes. 23 And -- well, you looked at the officer at that point and 24 said, "We will not be replaced," correct? 25 MR. SMITH: Objection, argumentative.

1 THE WITNESS: We did say that. 2 THE COURT: No, that's --3 BY MR. BLOCH: And you were ultimately convicted of that crime, right? 4 5 Yes. 6 You were aware of an assault that took place in the Market 7 Street garage, correct? 8 I was not aware of that until much later. 9 At some point, you became aware of it, and watched the video of it, right? 10 11 Yes. 12 And one of the people that beat up Mr. DeAndre Harris was a guy named Daniel Borden, right? 14 Yes. 15 And Daniel Borden was a TWP member who marched with you 16 that day, right? 17 I do not know whether he was actually with us on the 18 Market Street thing. I presume he was. 19 So my question was: Daniel Borden was a TWP member that 20 marched with you that day, right? А 21 I believe so. 22 MR. BLOCH: And I would like to play, Judge, for the 23 witness the DeAndre Harris video so that he can identify Daniel

THE WITNESS: May we play it from the very beginning?

Borden, the TWP member in the video.

24

1 THE COURT: Can you put a clip of it up there? 2 MR. BLOCH: Like a screenshot? 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MR. BLOCH: Sure. I think so. 5 Matt, if you pause it at the 0:06 mark. BY MR. BLOCH: 6 7 So I'm showing PX-2368, which is now paused on the screen. 8 You agree with me, Mr. Parrott, that Daniel Borden is the 9 guy in the flannel shirt with the white construction helmet with the board raised over his head, about to strike DeAndre 10 11 Harris? 12 Well, this has always confused me about this situation. I 13 believe he was a dues-paying member, but he was clearly not 14 wearing the Trad Worker uniform or with Trad Worker. So I'm honestly, sincerely just confused about what his status was at 15 16 that time. 17 Okay. So my question is just about: Who is he? You 18 agree that --19 Yes. 20 -- I've identified Daniel Borden correctly? 21 Α Yes. 22 And if we could just -- I guess let's look at PX-3545. 23 Is that a different photograph of Mr. Borden on 24 August 12th? 25 Yes, it is. Α

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 159 of 269 Page 1413
                           M. Parrott - Direct
 1
              MR. BLOCH:
                           I would offer PX-3545, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
 3
              MR. BLOCH: And published, please.
              THE COURT: Admitted.
 4
 5
               (Plaintiff Exhibit 3545 marked.)
 6
               (Plaintiff Exhibit 3545 admitted.)
 7
    BY MR. BLOCH:
 8
         This is another photograph of Mr. Borden from August 12th,
 9
    correct?
10
         Yes.
11
         And he's wearing that white construction helmet that
    appears to say "Commie Killer" on the front, right?
13
         It does.
    Α
14
         We can take that down.
15
         Now, you supported Mr. Borden while criminal charges were
16
    pending against him, right?
17
         Yes, I did, because I watched the entire video.
18
         And you said that you felt a sense of moral responsibility
19
    to support him; is that right?
20
    Α
         Well, yes.
21
         You helped arrange counsel for him, right?
22
    Α
         Yes.
23
         You hosted fundraisers for his legal defense?
24
         I didn't directly host the fundraisers, but I was
25
    supportive of that process.
```

```
1
        And if we could show PX-0340, please. This is a Discord
   post that you're involved in, right, Mr. Parrott?
 2
 3
        Yes. Where I say "Dan Borden was one of the men who
   fought back during the parking garage incident"? That line
 4
 5
   right there?
        Right. That's what I'm focused on, actually.
 6
 7
             MR. BLOCH: If we could just offer this into
 8
   evidence.
 9
             THE COURT: Be admitted.
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0340 marked.)
10
11
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 0340 admitted.)
12
    BY MR. BLOCH:
13
        And so, Mr. Parrott, you described in this post
   characterizing what Mr. Borden did as fighting back; is that
14
   right?
15
16
        Yes. Mr. Harris had brutally assaulted an elderly
17
   gentleman who had not been engaged in any combat immediately
18
   before the video that's been repeatedly shown.
19
       DeAndre Harris was tried and acquitted; isn't that right?
20
             MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to object. Your
21
   Honor has already ruled on the admissibility of that fact.
22
             THE COURT: I have. I sustain the objection.
23
    BY MR. BLOCH:
24
       Now, later on you state in that same post -- somebody
25
   named Zebo says, "A couple of the other guys involved in that
```

- altercation reached out to me. I'm going to try and remember 2 their names and contact info," right? 3 Yes. Are you aware that Mr. Kessler by this point was going by 4 5 "Zebo" on Discord? 6 Yes. 7 What you wrote in response was, "I have a very bad memory and do not write down any names of people involved." Is that 8 9 right? 10 I did write that, yes. 11 Something you didn't want to keep a record of, fair to 12 say? 13 I don't remember my exact state of mind at the time. 14 Now, if we could show PX-1875. Is this a tweet that you posted -- that you tweeted, Mr. Parrott? 15 16 I cannot possibly endorse this tweet strongly enough, sir. 17 So is the answer yes? 18 Yes. 19 MR. BLOCH: If we could offer 1875. 20 THE COURT: Be admitted. 21 (Plaintiff Exhibit 1875 marked.) 22 (Plaintiff Exhibit 1875 admitted.) 23 BY MR. BLOCH: 24 What you stated, Mr. Parrott, was, "As with Heather Heyer,
- 25 the DeAndre affair was a case in which the police deliberately

provoked violence and stood down with the expectation that 2 nationalists would be harmed or worse. Sue Charlottesville, 3 DeAndre. We were just trying to get to our cars." 4 Is that right? 5 Yes. MR. BLOCH: We can take that down, Matt, thank you. 6 7 BY MR. BLOCH: 8 Now, you've stated, Mr. Parrott, that your objectives for 9 Charlottesville were for it to be nonviolent, right? 10 Yes. 11 You claim that you wanted a peaceful and successful event? 12 Yes. Like the event immediately before it and immediately 13 after it which had large crowds and no violence. 14 MR. BLOCH: Judge, I'm going to move to strike everything after "yes" and ask that the witness just answer the 15 16 question so we can move this along. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. Strike everything after 18 "yes." 19 BY MR. BLOCH: 20 Now, you agree with me, Mr. Parrott, that Unite the Right 21 was anything but peaceful, right? 22 It is my opinion that relative to the complete absence of 23 police and the number involved, that it could have gone way 24 worse than it did.

Now, you wrote -- if we could show the witness PX-2379.

Do you agree with me, Mr. Parrott, that you wrote on September 15th, "Aside from having a couple men unfairly held behind the wire, Charlottesville was a tremendous success"? Our participation in Charlottesville was a success. Heather Heyer incident, the helicopter incident, and the torch march had nothing to do with us and does not -- we have -that's outside of the scope of what we achieved. We went through Market Street. We got to our event without any significant injuries or incidents, and then we got back. So you don't -- just to be clear -- I misstated a word. Let me be clear about what you wrote. "Aside from having a couple men unfairly held behind the wire, Charlottesville was a tremendous victory." Did you write that? Yes, I did. And if we could -- you said, "It was a culmination of the momentum which began with the successful Battle of Sacramento and led up to our decisively defeating the single greatest mob of anti-white radicals in American history in a brutal street battle." Did you write that? I did write that. You said, "The alt-right is not a pathetic and faceless Internet fad, but a fearsome street-fighting force which the state and the media now understand to be the greatest enemies." Did you write that?

25 **|** A I did.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
Okay. We can take that down. Can we show the witness
 1
 2
   PX-2378.
 3
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2378 marked.)
   BY MR. BLOCH:
 4
 5
         Is this a Gab post by you?
 6
        Yes.
 7
              MR. BLOCH: I offer this into evidence, Judge, and
 8
   ask that it be published.
 9
              THE COURT: Be admitted and published.
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2378 admitted.)
10
11
    BY MR. BLOCH:
12
        Did you post, Mr. Parrott, on August 22, 2017, "We
13
   demonstrated strength and were chased off by the National
14
   Guard, not the radical -- not the leftist radicals.
15
   achieved our prerogatives in Charlottesville and aren't
16
   actually dependent on money and media favor the way our
17
   opponents are anyway." Did you write that?
18
         I did. And it's an accurate statement.
19
        Now, these writings and posts that you -- I just asked you
20
   about, those occurred before you were sued in this case, right?
21
   Α
        Yes.
22
        And you were sued in October of 2017, right?
23
   Α
        Yes.
24
        And you've posted a number of things about this incident
25
   on social media after you were sued as well, correct?
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 165 of 269 Page 14 Page 165 of 269 Page 14 Page 165 of 269 Page 14 Page 165 of 269 Page 165 of 260 Page 165 of 26
                                                                               M. Parrott - Direct
    1
                           Yes.
    2
                           So let's put up PX-1892. This is a tweet by you, right,
     3
            Mr. Parrott?
     4
                           Yes.
    5
                                           MR. BLOCH: And on -- I ask that this be admitted,
    6
             Judge, and shown to the jury, please.
    7
                                           THE COURT: What are you waiting for?
    8
                                           MR. BLOCH: I'm sorry. I just asked that this
    9
             exhibit be admitted and published.
 10
                                           THE COURT:
                                                                            Okay.
                                           (Plaintiff Exhibit 1892 marked.)
 11
 12
                                            (Plaintiff Exhibit 1892 admitted.)
            BY MR. BLOCH:
 13
 14
                          Mr. Parrott, did you write on Twitter on December 3rd,
           2018, "we weren't" -- well, just to be clear, just for context,
 15
 16
             the top tweet is "C'ville was an AmNat scheme from day one with
 17
          IE leading the charge. Nationalist Front all knew that it was
 18
            a bad idea to venture to a town that blue, but got caught up in
 19
            their call for unity."
 20
                         I did write that.
 21
                           Then you go on to say, "We weren't even invited until the
 22
            last minute when it became clear that it was possibly going to
```

25 Q When you say in this tweet that we weren't even invited

involve physical risk," right?

Yes. I wrote that.

23

until the last minute, that's not true, right? 2 I guess it's -- I don't know exactly when we were clued in 3 on the process. We were always a little bit on the outside of the process. But yeah, I think that statement might be 5 inaccurate. Well, you do know when you were clued in, right? Wasn't 6 7 it May 22nd by text from Jason Kessler to Matthew Heimbach? 8 I did not know about that at the time. Based on the 9 information I have now after researching all this for the past few weeks, yes, this statement is incorrect. 11 Your best friend who lived 100 feet away from you and your partner in white nationalist causes didn't tell you that he was 13 talking to Jason Kessler in May about the biggest white 14 nationalist event in American history? Either he didn't tell me or I don't recall him telling me 15 16 in this context. 17 So let's put up PX-2410, please. 18 Mr. Parrott, is this another tweet by you? 19 I can only read the bottom one, but yes. That's the one I'm focused on. Is the tweet at the bottom 20 21 by you? 22 Yes. 23 MR. BLOCH: I offer 2410 into evidence, Your Honor, 24 and ask that it be published?

THE COURT: Be admitted. Publish.

1 (Plaintiff Exhibit 2410 marked.) 2 (Plaintiff Exhibit 2410 admitted.) 3 BY MR. BLOCH: 4 Mr. Parrott, you also tweeted on December 19th, 2018: 5 "I'll never understand how Unite the Right, which we grudgingly agreed to at the last minute and had no control over, has gone 6 7 down in movement lore as pretty much my idea," right? 8 I did write that, yes. 9 Now, you and your friend Matthew Heimbach claim that TWP had a separate plan from the rest of the organizers, right? 11 Yes. 12 And specifically this supposed separate plan was to 13 separate TWP from Defendants Kessler, Kline, and Identity Evropa, right? 14 It wasn't to separate from them. It was because we did 15 16 not like their plan. There were certainly personality 17 conflicts involved, but ultimately I had a decade of experience 18 with political organizing at the time, and the other 19 Nationalist Front groups had a wealth of experience. 20 Mr. Schoep has been doing this for decades as well. We felt 21 like we wanted to do it our way, and we did not -- we did not 22 like the way that the other groups were doing it. 23 So the Nationalist Front, the Market Street crew, did 24 their own thing. 25 And just focusing on who you claim this plan was separate

1 from, it was separate from Defendants Kessler, Kline, and 2 Identity Evropa, right? 3 It was separate from them, yes. And the supposed separate plan primarily involved a 4 5 different means of entering the park; isn't that right? Arriving entirely separately and autonomously, yes. 6 7 To enter the park, right? 8 Yes. 9 And now, Nathan Damigo was the head of Identity Evropa at the time, right? 10 11 Yes. 12 And isn't it, in fact, true, Mr. Parrott, that Mr. Damigo 13 actually walked into Emancipation Park with you and the 14 Traditionalist Worker Party? I was not aware until I was shown that video in my 15 16 deposition. It was clear that he had snuck in that way. 17 was difficult to get into the park because of the Antifa 18 presence. I assume, without having spoken to him, that he used 19 a crowd of nationalists to rapidly weave his way through to 20 ensure his safety against the Antifa mob. 21 Just to sneak in to be walking in with TWP? 22 Yes. 23 Can we show PX-3394. And I'm looking specifically at 9 24 seconds.

25 (Video playing.)

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 169 of 269 Page 14 Page 169 of 269 Page 14 Page 169 of 269 Page 169 Page 169
                                                                                      M. Parrott - Direct
     1
                              This is you in that video, correct, Mr. Parrott?
     2
                             Yes, I believe that's me right here.
     3
                                              MR. BLOCH: Judge, I would ask that 3394 be admitted
             into evidence and published to the jury from the 9 second part
     4
     5
             to 1:13.
     6
                                              THE COURT: All right. Be admitted. You may
     7
             publish.
     8
                                               (Plaintiff Exhibit 3394 marked.)
                                               (Plaintiff Exhibit 3394 admitted.)
     9
                                               (Video playing.)
  10
  11
                BY MR. BLOCH:
 12
                             If we could just pause it right there. That's you, right,
  13
            Mr. Parrott?
  14
                             Yes, it is.
 15
                             And you're shepherding people into Emancipation Park,
  16
            right?
  17
                             Yes.
             Α
  18
                             If we could keep going.
  19
                                               (Video playing.)
  20
                              If we could pause it right there. Sorry. You'll see once
  21
             the bald head disappears, that's a TWP member. We're still in
  22
              the midst of TWP members walking into the park, correct?
 23
                             Yes.
  24
                              (Video playing.)
```

We can pause it right there. So the gentleman -- well,

25

Q

```
yeah, the circle is Nathan Damigo, right?
 2
        Yes.
 3
        And the person right in front of Mr. Damigo is another TWP
 4
   member?
 5
        Yes.
        And if we could keep going.
 6
 7
        (Video playing.)
        If we could pause it right there. Can we pause it, Matt?
 8
 9
        Sorry. These are more TWP members, right? You can tell
   by the insignia on the shirt, right?
11
        Very peacefully walking into the park.
12
        This is after the charge through the counter-protesters,
   right, just in terms of time?
14
        Immediately after, yes. Our goal was to peacefully walk
   into the park and not provoke conflict, as can be seen in this
15
16
   video.
17
        And if we can just finish the video, Matt.
18
        Okay. We can pause right there. And you also walked in
19
   with Mr. David Duke, correct?
20
        David Duke walked in with us without our foreknowledge or
21
   permission. I am sure he wasn't at the parking garage and I am
22
   positive that we did not plan to enter together. It's clear
23
   what happened here, which is that they needed to get into the
24
   park, and go in --
25
        So my question is that --
```

```
1
             MR. SMITH: Could the witness please finish answering
 2
    the question.
 3
             MR. BLOCH:
                          Judge, I'm trying to save time here.
 4
             MR. SMITH: I don't think you are.
 5
             THE COURT: Okay. Don't talk to each other. All
 6
    right. Were you finished with your answer?
 7
             THE WITNESS: Yes, I am finished with my answer.
 8
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
 9
             MR. BLOCH: We can take that down, Matt, thanks.
    BY MR. BLOCH:
10
11
        Just returning to this concept of a so-called separate
12
   plan, if we could introduce PX-1028. Now, is this a post by
13
   you, Mr. Parrott, in the Charlottesville 2.0 server?
14
        Yes.
15
             MR. BLOCH: And that's -- if we can move this in and
16
   publish it to the jury, please?
17
             THE COURT: You may admit it.
18
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 1028 marked.)
19
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 1028 admitted.)
20
    BY MR. BLOCH:
21
        Mr. Parrott, you posted in the Charlottesville 2.0 server
22
   on August 13th, 2017, "Can anybody confirm Heimbach's safety?"
23
   Right? And Jason Kessler responded, "Heimbach was okay when I
   talked to him earlier today," right?
24
25
        Yeah.
   Α
```

1 And you wrote, "Excellent. Thank you, Mr. Kessler," 2 right? 3 Yes. 4 And so it seems apparent that Mr. Kessler had spoken to 5 Mr. Heimbach that day even before you were in touch with Mr. Heimbach; isn't that right? 6 7 That is correct. 8 And you also -- we can take that down. Thanks, Matt. 9 We discussed the fact that you were arrested at Unite the Right, correct? 10 11 Yes. 12 And on August 14th, two days after the rally, you reached 13 out to Mr. Kessler about that, right? I believe -- I don't know. I don't recall. 14 Do you recall giving testimony that you spoke to 15 16 Mr. Kessler on August 14th? 17 I have a strong feeling that I did. What page are we going to? 18 19 236. 20 236, line 25, Question: "I see. So there was -- you had 21 a conversation after Unite the Right regarding a shared legal 22 response with Mr. Kessler and Mr. Spencer; is that right?" 23 Answer: "With only Mr. Kessler. I was just aware that 24 Mr. Spencer had been arrested."

Question: "Okay. But you had a conversation after Unite

1 the Right with Mr. Kessler about a shared legal response?" 2 And you answered "Yes," correct? 3 Yes, I did. And does that refresh your recollection that you had a 4 5 call with Mr. Kessler and it was on August 14th, 2017? I honestly -- I straight up forget it, but I stipulate to 6 7 the accuracy of the conversation. 8 And just returning to the concept of the separate plan, if we could show the witness PX-2370. Is this something you 9 posted on Gab on August 19th, 2017, Mr. Parrott? 11 Yes, it is. 12 MR. BLOCH: I would move this into evidence, Judge, 13 and ask that it be published to the jury. THE COURT: Be admitted and published. 14 (Plaintiff Exhibit 2370 marked.) 15 16 (Plaintiff Exhibit 2370 admitted.) BY MR. BLOCH: 17 18 Mr. Parrott, you posted on Gab on August 19th, 2017, 19 quote, "I still stand with Jason Kessler," right? 20 I did write that, yes. 21 And if we could show the witness PX-2436. Is this a 22 Discord post by you, Mr. Parrott? 23 Yes. 24 MR. BLOCH: And I move 2436 into evidence and ask 25 that it be published, Your Honor.

```
1
             THE COURT: Be admitted. You may publish.
 2
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2436 marked.)
 3
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2436 admitted.)
    BY MR. BLOCH:
 4
 5
        Now, Mr. Parrott, did you write on November 5th, 2017,
   quote, "We're debuting the Charlottesville defense fund next
 6
 7
   week which will include Vanquard, Trad Worker, Borden, Goodwin,
   and Kessler as part of a unified legal defense project
 8
 9
   inclusive of the criminal defense of the arrested men, the
   civil defense of the organizations and individuals being sued
10
   and the prospective counterclaims." Did you write that?
11
        I did write that. I don't know --
12
13
             MR. SMITH: Your Honor, objection. What's the
14
   relevance of this? They were already sued. Of course they're
   going to have a legal defense.
15
16
             THE COURT: Overruled.
17
             MR. CANTWELL: Wasn't there a motion in limine about
18
   fundraising?
19
             MR. SMITH:
                          There was.
20
             MR. BLOCH: Judge, I do not see the relevance of any
21
   of these stray comments.
22
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
23
             MR. BLOCH: Thank you, Judge.
24
    BY MR. BLOCH:
25
        Just to clarify, I think we know who Vanguard, Trad Worker
```

- and Kessler are. Borden is Dan Borden and Goodwin is Jacob 2 Goodwin and those were two people that were arrested in the 3 beating of DeAndre Harris, right? 4 In defending themselves against DeAndre Harris, yes, sir. 5 Both were convicted, right? THE COURT: I thought I ruled that all these 6 7 convictions were not admissible in evidence. 8 MR. BLOCH: Judge, I'm responding to the witness 9 claiming it was self-defense. 10 THE COURT: Well, it's not proper. And I've ruled 11 that and you should not bring it up. 12 Members of the jury, disregard whether anyone was 13 arrested, convicted or what. This case is to be tried on the 14 evidence that you hear in the courtroom when you assess fault, not what some other court might have done. 15 16 MR. BLOCH: We can take that down, Matt, thank you. 17 BY MR. BLOCH: 18 Mr. Parrott, initially you, Mr. Kessler, Mr. Heimbach, 19 TWP, Identity Evropa, and Nathan Damigo all shared the same 20 counsel in this case; isn't that right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22  $\mathbb{Q}$  And that's no longer the case, right?
- 23  $\blacksquare$ A That is no longer the case.
- 24  $\mathbb{Q}$  Now, if we could show the witness PX-2375.
- 25 Mr. Parrott, is this a Gab post that is sharing a Facebook

18 Q I'm focused on the first sentence which is the \$14.88 that

19 you donated to Mr. Cantwell's account, right?

20 A Yes.

21 Q What's the significance of donating \$14.88 to his account?

22 A That's a meme to signal to him that I'm a fellow white

23 nationalist. 14 stands for the 14 Words, and 88 stands for

24 Heil Hitler. It was a light-hearted, joking way to let him

25 know that he had allies on his side.

- Q You also wrote about James Fields, right?
- 2 A Yes.

- 3 Q You wrote after Unite the Right that you fully sympathize
- 4 with and support him, right?
- 5 A Yes, I also sympathize with the victims.
- 6 Q And you posted about Mr. Fields on Facebook after Unite
- 7 the Right as well; isn't that true?
- 8 A Repeat the question, please.
- 9 Q Isn't it true that you also posted on Facebook that
- 10 Mr. Fields was a TWP member for life?
- 11 A Immediately after the incident I did post that as a show
- 12 of support for a man I believed at the time was being
- 13 wrongfully accused.
- 14 Q Now, Mr. Parrott, I'd like to talk to you now about the
- 15 | evidence that you were required to turn over in this case,
- 16 okay?
- 17 | A Okay.
- 18 Q Now, you were sued in October of 2017, right?
- 19 **|** A Yes.
- 20 ||Q And as of that time you were aware that evidence was going
- 21 to be important, right?
- 22 **|** A Yes.
- 23 Q And in January of 2018 you received requests for documents
- 24 from the plaintiffs, right?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And you knew that you had a legal obligation to comply
- 2 | with those requests, right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And the requests asked for, among other things, all
- 5 documents in your possession regarding Unite the Right,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8  $\parallel$ Q And that specifically included a request for documents
- 9 from your social media accounts, right?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 Q It specifically included electronic content from your
- 12 cellphone, correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And you were specifically instructed that you were under a
- 15  $\parallel$ legal obligation to preserve all documents related to Unite the
- 16 | Right, correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Now, you had an active Facebook account in 2018, right?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q You were Facebook friends with other organizers of Unite
- 21 | the Right?
- 22 | A I do not recall. I don't even know if I had an active
- 23 Facebook account at that time. At some point I was
- 24 deplatformed from Facebook, but I do not recall when.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q If we could show PX-2093. And if we could -- is this a

21 A Yes.

Q And you're aware, Mr. Parrott, that after you posted that on Facebook, a host of electronic evidence in this case went

24 missing?

25

A I don't think that's an accurate representation of what's

going on. I was fully compliant with the discovery process. 2 After sending this, I was advised that this was an ignorant 3 thing to say to tell people to disable their accounts because it creates the situation we have here. And I became fully 4 5 compliant with the discovery process. 6 Well, we will certainly talk about whether or not you were 7 fully compliant with the discovery process. But what I'm 8 focused on right now --9 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I'd like to request a sidebar. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 (Sidebar.) 13 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, the discovery failures in this case were Mr. Heimbach, not Mr. Parrott. There were zero 14 15 motions for sanctions filed for Mr. Parrott or TWP. 16 their chance to ask Mr. Heimbach those things. They passed it 17 up in favor of asking stupid things like what he said in a 18 tweet five years ago. 19 MR. BLOCH: That's absolutely not true. 20 MR. SMITH: That's exactly what happened, Judge. 21 THE COURT: What do we have -- have we got the order 22 on Parrott? 23 MR. BLOCH: There is a host of document destruction 24 by Mr. Parrott. 25 THE COURT: Did Judge Hoppe hear anything regarding

1 sanctions against Mr. Parrott? 2 MR. SMITH: There were never any sanctions imposed 3 against Mr. Parrott. There was never a motion for sanctions 4 against Mr. Parrott. 5 THE COURT: Did you file any sanctions against Mr. Parrott? 6 7 MR. BLOCH: There were no sanctions. We didn't move 8 for sanctions, but there's a host of document destruction. We 9 chose --Okay. 10 THE COURT: 11 MR. BLOCH: -- not to move for sanctions, but we'll 12 move right now if that's what we need to do to ask questions about it. 13 THE COURT: Go ahead. He can show if he -- it 14 doesn't make any difference whether it's in the report. 15 Ιf 16 he's got it now, he can bring it --17 MR. SMITH: He wants to ask about Mr. Heimbach and 18 his situation as opposed to Mr. Parrott. 19 THE COURT: Well, he can ask about Mr. Parrott. He 20 can ask about Mr. Parrott. He's the witness. 21 MR. SMITH: Sure. Yeah. Of course. Yeah. 22 MR. BLOCH: Judge, my plan is to ask Mr. Parrott, but 23 I also plan to ask him about his awareness about other people's 24 document destruction as well, including his best friend who he 25 texted with, Mr. Heimbach.

```
1
              MR. SMITH: This is an attempt to try to get it in
 2
   another way. They had an opportunity to question Mr. Heimbach
 3
   directly about it. They passed it up. They shouldn't be able
 4
   to.
 5
              MR. BLOCH: We did.
 6
              MR. SMITH: No, you didn't, actually.
 7
             MR. BLOCH: Yeah, we did.
 8
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
 9
              (Sidebar concluded.)
    BY MR. BLOCH:
10
11
        Mr. Parrott, you're aware that after you posted --
12
   withdrawn.
        You're aware, Mr. Parrott, that every single social media
13
   account that Matthew Heimbach was on that concerned Unite the
14
15
   Right has been either disabled or deleted since you were sued
16
   in this case; were you --
17
              MR. SMITH: Objection, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Overruled.
19
              (Reporter clarification.)
20
              MR. BLOCH: Let me ask it again without the
21
   objection.
22
   BY MR. BLOCH:
23
        You're aware, Mr. Parrott, that every single social media
24
   account that Matthew Heimbach was on that concerned Unite the
25
   Right has been either disabled or deleted since you were sued
```

```
in this case? You're aware of that?
 1
 2
        The term "deleted" is carrying a lot of water here, as
 3
   Mr. Heimbach is Mr. Heimbach. And he routinely was
   deplatformed from his services.
 4
 5
        Okay. So my question was whether you're aware that every
   single social media account that he was on that concerned Unite
 6
 7
   the Right has been deleted or disabled since --
 8
             MR. SMITH: Objection, Your Honor. That's false and
 9
   mischaracterizes, well, several aspects of this proceeding that
10
   have already been --
11
             MR. BLOCH: Judge, I'm going to ask that --
12
             MR. SMITH: I'm allowed to object.
13
             MR. BLOCH:
                         Judge, can we approach?
             THE COURT:
                         No. I'm not going to approach again.
14
             Ask the question.
                                 The witness can answer the
15
16
   question. We don't need to talk about it.
17
    BY MR. BLOCH:
18
        Are you aware, Mr. Parrott, that your best friend, Matthew
19
   Heimbach, gave sworn deposition testimony to the effect that
20
   every single social media account that Mr. Heimbach was on that
21
   concerned Unite the Right that has been either disabled or
22
   deleted -- sorry -- has been either disabled or deleted?
23
             MR. SMITH: Objection. Mischaracterizes
24
   Mr. Heimbach's testimony.
25
             THE COURT: Overruled.
```

1 MR. BLOCH: Judge, Mr. Smith cannot keep giving false 2 impressions to the jury. It's not true. 3 THE COURT: I overruled the objection. And you go ahead. Please. 4 5 MR. BLOCH: Understood. One moment, Judge. BY MR. BLOCH: 6 7 You're aware, Mr. Parrott, that all of Mr. Heimbach's electronic devices that he used to communicate about Unite the 8 Right have disappeared since you were sued in this case? I do not know if that's a fair characterization or not. 10 11 Were you aware that Jeff Schoep's phone fell in the toilet? 12 13 I had heard a rumor about that. 14 How about Dillon Hopper's phone was electrocuted? Did you hear about that in the beginning of 2018? 15 16 I did not hear about that. That's a far cry from 17 disabling your social media accounts, though. 18 Now, about a month after you posted what you posted on 19 Facebook, you also --20 MR. BLOCH: Could we show the witness PX-2476? BY MR. BLOCH: 21 22 Is that post by you on Gab, Mr. Parrott? 23 Yes. 24 MR. BLOCH: I move 2476 into evidence and ask that it 25 be shown to the jury, please.

And you added, "To clarify, the information was scrubbed

on account of widespread concern about the data's security. It

was a practical security step, not a political act," right?

23

24

1 I did post that. 2 Now, you also texted -- we can take that down. 3 You texted with your best friend, Matthew Heimbach, at 4 least on a weekly basis about Unite the Right, correct? 5 I do not recall how frequently I texted him. Well, in your deposition on page 160, line 2, were you 6 7 asked these questions and did you give these answers? 8 Question: "Now, how often did you text Mr. Heimbach about 9 Unite the Right prior to the rally?" 10 "I believe it was very minimally." 11 Question: "Well, would you say once a week?" 12 "I would guess once a week." Answer: 13 Did you give that testimony? 14 Yes, I gave that guess. 15 And those text messages with Matthew Heimbach, you know 16 that you were required to preserve and produce to the 17 plaintiffs in this case, right? 18 Yes. 19 And instead of producing them, you, in fact, deleted all 20 text messages between you and Mr. Heimbach, right? 21 I did, due to the context of the situation here, and not 22 due to this case. 23 And as you know, Mr. Heimbach, too, destroyed his cell 24 phones before producing any documents, right?

I don't believe it's an accurate characterization that he

- destroyed his cell phones.
- 2  $\blacksquare$ Q Do you agree with me that his cell phones disappeared
- 3 before any content could be recovered?
  - A I believe that's accurate, yes.
- 5  $\mathbb{Q}$  And as a result of your mutual behavior, this jury --
- 6 MR. SMITH: Objection. "Mutual behavior" sounds like
- 7 he's testifying.

- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 9 **∥** BY MR. BLOCH:
- 10 Q As a result, Mr. Parrott, this jury will not see a single
- 11 text message between you and Matthew Heimbach, correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Now, your ex-wife, Jessica Parrott, was also a member of
- 14 TWP, right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q And you deleted all of your text messages with her, right?
- 17 A Yes, because there was a dramatic domestic incident in
- 18 which I deleted my messages from both my wife and her lover,
- 19 | which was Mr. Heimbach at that time. It had nothing to do with
- 20 | this case, and I did not intend to interfere with the discovery
- 21 process in this case. It was a grave error.
- 22 Q You also didn't provide any text messages you exchanged
- 23 with TWP member Derrick Davis about Unite the Right, correct?
- 24 A I believe we didn't have any text messages.
- 25 Q You also didn't produce any text messages with Tony

Hovater, right? 2 I don't know if I texted. You have the phone apps with 3 Discord and everything on your phone. It's not customary to use a telephone messaging service for these things. 5 I don't -- I did not at any time attempt to erase any information pertaining to this trial. I -- after the domestic 6 7 incident, I deleted Mr. Heimbach and my wife at the time from 8 my phone. And that was the scope of it. 9 Well, let's talk about whether it was the scope of it. You also maintained a definitive attendance list of TWP 10 11 members and supporters, right? 12 As stated, we had the Stripe account and we were 13 deplatformed from Stripe, and that's what we had used to manage 14 our membership services. And there were attempts and half-gestures, but we never got a proper membership management 15 16 service back online in the relevant time frame. 17 So the question is: There was a -- you maintained a 18 definitive attendance list of TWP supporters and members, 19 right? 20 No. I just answered that question, that there was -- the 21 membership list was broken at the time of Unite the Right. 22 On page 164 of your deposition testimony which you gave 23 last year, were you asked this question and did you give this 24 answer?

"Okay. There was a definitive attendance list, correct?"

- A Okay. I apologize. I was thinking membership list.
- 2 Yes. There was a -- the attendance list for Unite the
- 3 Right was in the Mailchimp mailing list, and which I -- I made
- 4 every effort to try to attain that from Mailchimp, and
- 5 Mailchimp did not cooperate with my repeated attempts to try to
- 6 retrieve that information for you guys.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$  So to be clear, the definitive attendance list was also
- 8 deleted, right?
- 9 A By Mailchimp, not by me, sir.
- 10 Q Now, one of your responsibilities in this case was to
- 11 produce TWP documents in addition to your own documents, right?
- 12 A Yes.

- 13 Q And you claim that you contacted Max Macro. Remember Max
- 14 Macro? He was the guy who was creating the fake Antifa
- 15 | accounts?
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17 | Q You claim that you contacted Max Macro to ask him for TWP
- 18 | documents, right?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And you claim that you asked him for TWP communications on
- 21 his electronic devices, right?
- 22 **A** Yes.
- 23 Q Max Macro refused to produce any of the TWP communications
- 24 that he had on his devices, right?
- 25  $\|A\|$  I assume so. I don't exactly recall his status on that.

- 1 Q Tony Hovater was also a high-ranking member of TWP at the 2 time of UTR, right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And he also refused to cooperate with the discovery
- 5 process, right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q In fact, instead of complying with court orders to produce
- 8 TWP documents to plaintiffs, he, Mr. Hovater, resigned from
- 9 TWP, right?
- 10 A Yes. We leaned on him to the maximum of our ability to
- 11 attempt to achieve his cooperation, and up to and including
- 12 essentially firing him. And that's the most I could do.
- 13 Q Now, you had mentioned earlier Mailchimp, rate?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And you used a system called Mailchimp to send emails to
- 16 TWP members, right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And you used Mailchimp for the TWP newsletter service,
- 19 ||right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And you used Mailchimp to contact TWP members on the Unite
- 22 the Right attendance list throughout the summer of 2017, right?
- 23 **|** A Yes.
- 24 Q And you just talked about how the definitive attendance
- 25 | list was deleted because Mailchimp had been disabled and you

```
1
   just couldn't recover it, right?
2
        Yes. Mailchimp deplatformed us, and that's a prevailing
 3
   theme throughout this trial, is attempting to comply with
   discovery while the different services are actively deleting
4
5
   our accounts.
        Right. Including Mailchimp, right, which you said was
 6
7
   responsible for deleting documents such that you couldn't
8
   recover the attendance list, right?
9
        I repeatedly attempted to get Mailchimp's legal department
10
   to cooperate with me and failed to do so.
11
        Well, in August of 2017 you sent -- this is prior to the
12
   rally -- you sent what you called five official dispatches
13
   about Unite the Right, right?
14
        Yes.
15
        And if I could -- these are the emails that your counsel
16
   spoke about in his opening statement, right?
17
        Yes.
   Α
18
             MR. BLOCH: And if I could just offer PX-3870, 3871,
19
   3872, 3873, and --
20
             THE CLERK: Mr. Bloch, I'm sorry. I didn't know you
21
   were going to start.
                         Could you please start those over?
22
             MR. BLOCH:
                          I'm sorry. Do you want the numbers?
23
             THE CLERK:
                          Yes, please.
24
             MR. BLOCH:
                         PX-3870 all the way to 3874.
25
             THE CLERK:
                          Thank you.
```

And somehow, even though you weren't able to recover any

other documents from the Mailchimp account, you managed somehow

to preserve these five emails; isn't that right?

22

23

24

25

Yes.

1 Absolutely correct, sir. Those are emails that were sent 2 out. So the administrative functionality of the Mailchimp 3 where the attendance list would be was deleted, while I still fully complied with my entire massive Gmail account, which 4 5 included -- I did not pull those. You'll see that they're from my Gmail service. They are not from the Mailchimp 7 administrative interface, which I did not have access to. 8 Well, we just established that you sent emails to other 9 people throughout the summer of 2017, right? 10 Yes. 11 And so all of a sudden, for some reason, it was only these 12 five emails that you managed to preserve from all of the other 13 documents that were destroyed; is that --14 MR. SMITH: Objection. That absolutely mischaracterizes testimony, the facts, everything. 15 16 going on? 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 All right. The jury will understand, remember the 19 evidence, and decide -- work that out. 20 THE WITNESS: There were other Mailchimp emails 21 delivered, but yes, it is a natural thing that when you lose 22 your mailing list provider, you still have in your email inbox 23 copies of the emails that were sent. They don't get deleted. 24 Like, when somebody deletes their account, it doesn't delete 25 the emails they had already sent. I've produced gigabytes upon

- gigabytes data for you, sir. BY MR. BLOCH: 2 3 So, to be clear, these were the only documents that 4 survived the Mailchimp purge; isn't that right? 5 You have my entire Gmail account, sir. I understand that, Mr. Parrott. I'm focused on the 6 7 documents that you claim were preserved -- were sent out over 8 the Mailchimp system. And you agree with me that these are the only five documents that survived; isn't that right? 10 I believe there were other Mailchimp posts from earlier 11 that would be in the Gmail. I don't -- I don't recall exactly 12 the scope of how much we used Mailchimp. I'm pretty confident 13 that there were other Mailchimp emails. I can follow up on 14 that question. Were you asked this question and did you give this answer 15 16 under oath last year? 17 Question, page 315, line 18: "And other than those five 18 official dispatches that you produced, are you aware of any 19 other documents from the Mailchimp system that were produced to 20 plaintiffs in this case?" 21 Answer: "I am not." 22 Did you give that testimony? 23 I did give that testimony, though any email that went out 24 would have a copy in my Gmail account.
- 25 Q Isn't it true, Mr. Parrott, that when you drafted these

1 emails in the week leading up to Unite the Right, you did so 2 with eventual litigation in mind? 3 I had eventual litigation in mind at all times, sir, except for on the night of the incident. 4 5 So let's look at some of these emails. If we could show PX-3870. 6 7 This is an email sent on August 3rd, 2017, right? 8 Yes. 9 And this was written by Cesar Ortiz, right? 10 Yes. 11 And he's the guy you had on the team because he understood and spoke the language of legal concerns, right? 13 Yes. Α And then this email announces a series of rules for 14 behavior, right? 15 16 I believe so. 17 And you said, "There will not be any chanting"? 18 Well, Cesar wrote it and I edited it. Everything that 19 went through here, whether it was for me, Heimbach, or Cesar, 20 was edited or proofed by myself. I don't know if this is the 21 email that says that. I don't see it yet. But I know one of 22 them -- I do recall one of them says that. 23 So if you go down to where we are -- there. 24 "There will not be chanting of any sort or exchanges of 25 vulgarities," right?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 196 of 269 Page 14 Page 1
                                                                                   M. Parrott - Direct
     1
                             Yes.
     2
                             And so you were telling TWP members that there was,
     3
             essentially, no cursing allowed at Unite the Right?
     4
                             Yes.
     5
                                             MR. BLOCH: If we could just show PX-2540 -- show the
     6
             witness.
                                            Sorry.
     7
                                             If you go past the first -- starting there.
            BY MR. BLOCH:
     8
     9
                             Do you recognize that, Mr. Parrott, as a man standing
  10
             amongst TWP folks on August 12th?
  11
                             Yes.
  12
                                             MR. BLOCH: I move 2540 into evidence.
                                             THE COURT: Be admitted.
  13
                                              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2540 marked.)
  14
  15
                                              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2540 admitted.)
  16
               BY MR. BLOCH:
                             If we could just publish this to the jury. I'd just note
  17
              that there's a second or two at the beginning that is a
  18
 19
             different scene that I'm not focused on, because the audio
  20
             carries over.
  21
                             Understood.
 22
                                              (Video playing.)
  23
                             And so this is a group of TWP folks hanging around, it
             looks like, right, on August 12th?
  25
            Α
                             Yes.
```

- Q And this person is wearing a TWP shirt, right?
- A I don't believe he is.
- 3 Q Could we --
- 4 (Video playing.)
- 5  $\parallel$ Q If we could pause. Just real quick, Mr. Parrott --
  - A I see the F right there. Yes, he is wearing a Trad Worker
- 7 shirt.

1

2

6

- Q Okay. And he was saying that one of the values that you
- 9 all were standing for was killing Jews; is that right?
- 10 A He was clearly doing the same rule of thirds comedy we had
- 11 referred to earlier, where you say increasingly absurd things.
- 12 He was making a joke, one that was discouraged by leadership,
- 13 but at no point were any Jews gassed during the Unite the Right
- 14 rally.
- 15 Q Do you mean other than the five or six that Mr. Azzmador
- 16 | bragged about having, quote, "gassed" on the morning of
- 17 August 12th?
- 18 A I'm not familiar with that. To the best of my knowledge,
- 19  $\parallel$ absolutely no Jews were gassed during the entire event.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q Okay. Now, going back to this email, there was a rule
- 21 that there was no chanting, right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Can we agree that there was, in fact, chanting at Unite
- 24 | the Right?
- 25  $\blacksquare$ A I would be surprised if there was chanting. As the

- 1 events -- people got swept up in the moment, I'm sure the
- 2 policies were broken here and there by individuals, but I do
- 3 not personally recall any chanting.
- 4 Q Is it similar to the membership guide that says nobody
- 5 should use racial slurs? Sort of a similar idea?
- 6 A The similar idea is that you aspire to something and then
- 7 the human experience is what it is. And it doesn't always
- 8 quite...
- 9 Q So if we can go to the next email, 3871 --
- 10 A Wait. Was there chanting?
- 11 Q Well -- yeah, let's talk about chanting.
- By the way, is it your testimony that you're not sure
- 13 whether there was chanting or not at Unite the Right?
- 14 A By -- I don't recall any organized chanting by Trad Worker
- 15 members.
- 16 Q And would that have been inappropriate, in your view, by
- 17 Trad Worker members?
- 18  $\blacksquare$ A We were trying to discourage that kind of thing.
- 19  $\parallel$ Q So if we look at the email that was sent out four days
- 20  $\parallel$ later, this is another one of these so-called five official
- 21 dispatches, right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23  $\parallel$ Q And if you go down to the fourth paragraph, it says,
- 24 There will be a series of chants given in rounds of threes
- 25 | that will be given to rally attendees prior to the event,"

right?

- 2 A Yes. I don't remember what those were or if they even
- 3 happened.
- 4 Q And so you said that even though you guys were, in your
- 5 words, trying to discourage that kind of thing?
- 6 A Well, we wanted to avoid any bad optics chants that might
- 7 spontaneously break out. And I guess there was some difference
- 8 between me, Cesar, and Heimbach over whether to pre-approve
- 9 chants or have no chants. And yeah -- yeah, you have found an
- 10 inconsistency in our communications.
- 11 Q This so-called official dispatch also says that -- this is
- 12 where we see that "the Roman salute should not be used," right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14  $\parallel$ Q And then there was a directive that TWP members were to
- 15 | smile?
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17 Q And according to these official emails, the reason why you
- 18 wanted everybody to smile was because you hoped that the smiles
- 19 would intimidate your opponents, right?
- 20  $\parallel$ A I don't recall that. We wanted to project a positive
- 21 | image. We wanted to look like we were winning. And we wanted
- 22 Ito win. Smiles have a radiance about them, sir.
- 23 Q And so am I right that what it says is: "Nothing
- 24 intimidates our opponents more than confidence and each and
- 25 every attendee needs to radiate positivity, which is

```
1
   contagious, after all. Smile, " right?
 2
        Yes.
        And so it was the smiles that the TWP leadership was
 3
 4
   hoping would intimidate the counter-protesters --
 5
             MR. SMITH: Objection. The text of the document
   speaks for itself, Your Honor.
 6
 7
             MR. BLOCH: If I could just finish the question.
 8
             THE COURT: Well, you've got it -- do you have to
 9
   just keep on going with this?
10
             MR. BLOCH: Understood, Judge. I'll move on.
11
    BY MR. BLOCH:
12
        There's also a reminder in this email that there are no
13
   swastikas or Third Reich symbols, right?
14
        Yes.
15
       And if we could just go -- so if we could go to the end
16
   of -- is there a next page? And so that directive comes in the
17
   second-to-last paragraph: "No Third Reich symbols," right?
18
        Yes.
19
        And about -- we can go to the next page, about five lines
20
   later, in the same email, it ends with: "Hail victory," right?
21
   Α
        Yes, it does.
22
        And "hail victory" is the English for the Third Reich
23
  slogan "Sieg Heil," right?
24
        We don't consider that an explicitly German phrase, but
   yes, "hail victory" was something we commonly did.
25
```

1 So if we could go to the August 9th official dispatch, 2 this August 9th email from Cesar Adolfo, this is another one of 3 the five emails that survived the mass destruction of TWP 4 messages and documents --5 MR. SMITH: Objection. Counsel is testifying. 6 THE COURT: Sustained. 7 BY MR. BLOCH: 8 Now, even though these documents are supposedly the official dispatches, you make reference in this email to a phone tree that you're creating so that -- to allow mass texts 10 with everybody who is attending, right? 11 12 Yes. We never implemented that. I was working on 13 implementing that. As we discussed in the deposition, I had announced that we would do that, and we did not pull that 14 together in time for the event. 15 16 Okay. Well, what you say is: "Please respond to this 17 email with your mobile phone number to be included on that list," right? 18 19 Yes, I do say that. And you did receive responses -- email responses, right? 20 21 Α I assume. 22 And those emails didn't survive the purge, right? 23 Those emails are on the tradworker server, which was 24 handed over to you in its entirety.

Now, you also say in this email that you have three

25

Q

1 categories of rally attendees, right? 2 Yes. 3 Alpha teams are anyone who is female, under 18, elderly, disabled, dox-sensitive, or unwilling to fight, right? 4 5 Yes. 6 And then a Bravo team is for people willing and able to 7 fight if it comes to it, right? 8 Yes. And then the Charlie team are people willing to risk 9 arrest, right? 10 11 I was the only member of Charlie team. Yes. 12 And you say at the end of this email, this supposedly 13 official dispatch, you say, quote, "It will be crawling with 14 Antifa terrorists all weekend and they're reportedly seeking opportunities to ambush us individually," right? 15 16 Yes. 17 And you agree with me that even though the official 18 dispatch says there were Antifa terrorists seeking to ambush 19 you, you did absolutely nothing with that information, right? 20 What do you mean, I did absolutely nothing with that 21 information? 22 You did -- well --23 That's why we had shields and helmets, sir. 24 THE COURT: All right. We'll take a 20-minute recess 25 now.

I learned later that it had been a false statement and I

23

24

25

in fact, false?

needed to correct that, yes.

1 Nevertheless, the declaration contained false information, 2 did it not? 3 Yes, it did. 4 And you signed your name to that declaration? 5 Can you refresh my memory on what the declaration referred 6 to? 7 Well, we don't really need to know the contents of it. 8 Just you did sign the declaration? I think it matters a lot whether this is --9 10 THE COURT: You just said -- is there only one that 11 you've done this? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. It was later shown to be false, 13 despite my best recollection at the time. BY MR. KOLENICH: 14 Very well. So you'll agree with me that just because you 15 16 write something down and then sign your name to it does not 17 mean that the information in such a document is true, correct? 18 Unfortunately, yes. 19 So you spoke with Mr. Bloch about an article that you 20 wrote wherein you said IE sent fighters and other such things, 21 correct? 22 Yes, I did. 23 But just because you wrote it and signed your name to it, 24 as we've just established, doesn't make it true; is that right,

25

Mr. Parrott?

1 Well, that wasn't something I was being deposed on and it 2 was definitely a flowery explanation of Mr. Kessler -- perhaps 3 speculatively, there were people from IE who asked us how we were doing, and it was described in this flowery manner. 4 5 don't believe it was a false statement, but it was 6 definitely -- it was for the website. It was not intended to 7 be a legal document, yes. 8 Could I ask you to clarify that, Mr. Parrott. Specifically how does asking how you're doing turn into sending fighters? 10 11 We were trying to stop the Market Street -- to hold the line on Market Street and he sent people. I understood them to 13 be people to help us hold Market Street. That was an 14 assumption on my part that I don't have any firm base for. Are you aware that Mr. Heimbach has already testified in 15 16 this trial? 17 Yes. Α 18 Were you in the room when he testified? 19 Yes, I was. 20 Do you recall Mr. Heimbach testifying that Identity Evropa 21 is not part of the hard right? 22 Identity Evropa is not part of the hard right. 23 In fact, Mr. Heimbach admitted that he would never count 24 on Identity Evropa in a fight; did he not testify to that?

25

Α

He did --

```
1
             MR. BLOCH: Objection, leading.
 2
             MR. KOLENICH: It's an adverse witness, Your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
 4
             THE WITNESS: He did testify to that.
 5
    BY MR. KOLENICH:
 6
        Is it also your understanding that TWP would not count on
 7
   Identity Evropa in a fight situation?
 8
        Yes.
 9
        And the reason for that being that they are not part of
   the hard right, they are not fighters?
10
11
        That is not how I would characterize it.
12
        How would you characterize it?
13
        The hard right have decades of experience with these sorts
   of events, whereas Identity Evropa and similar groups have a
14
   very different perspective and different understanding of event
15
16
   organizing. We simply were not on the same page socially,
17
   strategically.
18
        Understood. Do you know who Justin Burger is?
19
        I do not recall.
20
        Might that have been an online handle for a TWP member?
21
             MR. BLOCH: Objection to the leading.
22
             THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
23
             THE COURT: Sustain the objection. He's answered.
24
             MR. KOLENICH: Your Honor, may I approach the witness
25
   to attempt to refresh his recollection?
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 207 of 269 Page d. P. Page 207 of 269 Page 207 of
                                                                                M. Parrott - Direct
    1
                                           THE COURT: Yes.
    2
               BY MR. KOLENICH:
     3
                           Mr. Parrott, would you have a look at the text messages on
     4
             the screen?
    5
                           Yes.
     6
                                           MR. BLOCH: I'm sorry, Judge, could we see --
     7
                                           MR. KOLENICH: That's the only copy I have.
    8
                                            (Overlapping speakers.)
    9
                                           MR. BLOCH: -- what Mr. Parrott is being refreshed
            with?
 10
 11
                                           THE COURT: Yes.
 12
                                           MR. KOLENICH: All right. You've seen it?
 13
                                           THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.
                                           MR. KOLENICH: (Showing counsel documents.)
 14
 15
               BY MR. KOLENICH:
 16
                           Mr. Parrott, does what you viewed on the screen refresh
 17
           your recollection?
 18
                           It doesn't actually refresh my recollection at all.
 19
          not remember that conversation. I do not remember him.
 20
                         You don't remember Justin Burger?
 21
           Α
                           I don't.
 22
                           Very well. Do you recall a so-called coup, C-O-U-P, chat
          involving yourself, Mr. Heimbach, a person named Hannah, and
 23
 24
            the subject matter being Jason Kessler?
 25
                           I don't remember that.
```

1 MR. KOLENICH: Your Honor, could I have just a moment 2 to get some additional recollection information? 3 Your Honor, may I approach the witness? 4 THE COURT: You may. 5 MR. KOLENICH: (Showing counsel computer screen.) 6 BY MR. KOLENICH: 7 Go ahead, Mr. Parrott. Please hang onto the machine and 8 scroll up. 9 I'm sorry. I'm trying to be fast with this. I do not see myself in this. Am I in this? 10 11 Mr. Parrott, yes, I believe you are a participant in that conversation; however, your name does not appear. Screen names 12 13 are used. 14 I don't use aliases. So I don't know what other alias I 15 would use. 16 I do know there was definitely some division over 17 respecting Mr. Kessler's decision-making, and there was 18 certainly drama. 19 Fair enough, Mr. Parrott. Could you please describe the 20 drama and the division regarding Mr. Kessler and TWP working 21 with him? 22 We tried to keep it under wraps because we didn't feel it 23 was good, but we did not like Mr. Kessler's decision-making. 24 We did not like the planning and coordinating decisions they 25 were making. We felt like we were smarter than him and we were

```
going to do it our own way.
 2
        So to be clear, you were not working with or taking
 3
   direction or cooperating with Mr. Kessler in the runup to Unite
   the Right?
 5
        There are, like, messages that, like -- that were shown
   earlier that show me working with him on ancillary matters, but
 6
 7
   we were definitely -- we made a decision, the Market Street
 8
   crew made a decision to not listen to Mr. Kessler and to do our
   independent autonomous approach and departure from the event.
        Okay. So when the Market Street crew -- that is, the
10
11
   Nationalist Front groups; is that correct?
12
        Yes.
13
        -- walked into a crowd of people that the video has
14
   already been shown --
15
   Α
        Yes.
16
        -- that was --
17
              MR. BLOCH: Objection to the characterization.
18
   BY MR. KOLENICH:
19
        When the League of the South met and the rest of the
20
   Nationalist Front --
21
              THE COURT: Excuse me.
22
              Overruled. Go ahead.
23
              MR. KOLENICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
    BY MR. KOLENICH:
25
        When the League of the South members and the Nationalist
```

Front group encountered the people with their arms linked at the Market Street entrance, that was contrary to what 2 3 Mr. Kessler had told you guys to do? I think "contrary" would be an incorrect characterization, 4 5 but Mr. Kessler had nothing to do with that incident. He did not tell you to go into that entrance, did he? 6 7 He did not tell us to go into that entrance. 8 He told you to go into a completely other entrance, didn't 9 he? 10 MR. BLOCH: Objection to the leading. 11 THE COURT: Well, it seems at this point they are 12 adverse to one another and maybe together in other areas. But 13 in this regard, he's an adverse witness. 14 Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Okay. Can you repeat the question? 15 16 I'm sorry. BY MR. KOLENICH: 17 18 Mr. Kessler told you --19 MR. KOLENICH: I'm sorry. Can the court reporter 20 read back the question? 21 (The requested portion of the record was read back.) 22 THE WITNESS: I don't believe he told us to go into 23 the other entrance. I believe at that time that he understood 24 that we were no longer answering to him. He did not tell us to do something else. I don't -- like I say, Mr. Heimbach did a 25

lot of the communication, and I'm not really -- I don't really 1 2 understand how, play-by-play, that all worked out, other than 3 knowing that the Market Street crew were doing their own thing. BY MR. KOLENICH: 4 5 Fair enough. Last question, Mr. Parrott. 6 7 Earlier, you were speaking to Mr. Bloch about a video 8 showing Mr. Damigo and a person named David Duke walking into 9 the park amongst TWP members; do you recall that video? 10 Yes, I do. 11 You attempted to explain that video and Mr. Bloch 12 curtailed your answer because he considered it nonresponsive. 13 Would you like to complete your answer now, sir? 14 My answer, as I was attempting to explain, was that we had no event coordination with Mr. Damigo, with Mr. Duke. I did 15 16 not even know Mr. Duke was going to be there. I don't recall 17 them at the garage, and I feel like that would have definitely 18 stuck out, if Dr. David Duke were at the garage, or Nathan 19 Damigo, for that matter. 20 It is -- I am very confident in stating that at no point 21 did we conspire to enter the park with Mr. Damigo or Mr. --22 Dr. Duke. 23 MR. KOLENICH: Thank you. No further questions. THE COURT: All right.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

24

BY MR. SPENCER: 1 2 Hello. 3 Hello. 4 My name is Richard Spencer, and I'm acting on my own 5 behalf. So earlier today, mention was made of the website 6 7 alternativeright.com? 8 Yes. 9 Could you tell us a little bit about what you know of that website? 10 11 Alternative right.com was the first flagship of the alternative right, I'm thinking in 2010. It might have been 13 earlier than that. It might have been -- it was a long time 14 before the event. And it was a -- very much an intellectual, for the most part, outlet for ideas, for alternative right-wing 15 16 ideas, and contained mostly big brain think peaces. 17 Who founded that website? 18 I believe it was yours truly -- or you. 19 Right. In his examination of you and during the 20 deposition and here today, there was -- mention was made of 21  $\parallel$ your working for that website. Could you clarify what -- how 22 you participated in some way? 23 Okay. I submitted a couple -- I do not know the exact 24 number -- perhaps two or three; I don't recall -- blog posts 25 that were published. I was published at alternativeright.com,

- 1 but I had no involvement whatsoever with the leadership, with
- 2 the technology, with any other aspect of the right, than
- 3 submitting posts that ended up being published.
- 4 ■Q Were you paid to be a writer?
- 5 A I don't believe so. I very rarely got paid to write.
- 6 Q Have I ever paid you as a worker? I as Richard Spencer,
- 7 have I ever paid you as a worker of mine in any capacity?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q I want to discuss just a little bit about the
- 10 Traditionalist Worker Party. Was I, Richard Spencer, ever a
- 11 member of Traditionalist Worker Party?
- 12 **A** No.
- 13 Q Did I ever participate in your Discord server for TWP?
- 14 | A No.
- 15 Q Did I ever participate in the Discord server for the
- 16 | Charlottesville Unite the Right rally, to your knowledge?
- 17 A To my knowledge, no.
- 18  $\parallel$ Q Who invited Traditionalist Worker Party to participate in
- 19 the Charlottesville Unite the Right event?
- 20 A I understand that Mr. Kessler directly engaged
- 21 Mr. Heimbach at some point in June. I do not know the exact.
- 22  $\|Q\|$  In terms of the broader Nationalist Front, that included
- 23 Traditionalist Worker Party, Jeff Schoep and the National
- 24 Socialist Movement, and maybe something else?
- 25 A Vanguard --

- Q Vanguard America. Okay.
- 2 A -- had their situation in July and were not members of the
- 3 Nationalist Front or coordinating with --
- 4 Q Okay. Not terribly important.
- 5 Was I ever involved in the formation of the Nationalist
- 6 Front in any capacity?
- 7 A No.

- 8 Q Mention was made of a unified legal defense project -- do
- 9 you remember those wordings?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 Q -- that, I guess, resulted after the Unite the Right
- 12 | rally?
- 13 A There was talk of that, but it did not come together as it
- 14 | had been described. There was a backchannel attempt to put
- 15 something together that did not succeed.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q You mentioned a few organizations and names.
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Was Richard Spencer among them?
- 19 A I don't believe so.
- 20 Q To your knowledge, was I arrested during the Unite the
- 21 Right rally?
- 22 A I don't remember.
- 23 Q Okay. So there has apparently been some kind of dispute,
- 24 on August 12th and in testimony, about groups going their own
- 25 | way.

1 You entered the park as part of, in your words, a Market 2 Street crew, and other people entered the park in other ways? 3 MR. BLOCH: Judge, I'm going to object to the form of this narrative question. 4 5 THE COURT: Well, it's just a preface to another question, I would think. 6 7 Go ahead. 8 BY MR. SPENCER: 9 During your entrance to the park, did you ever see me, Richard Spencer, at any point? 10 11 No. 12 In determining that you were going to go your own way, was 13 I ever involved in any kind of decision-making with that? 14 No. 15 Did you feel like you needed to inform me of your 16 decision-making? 17 No. 18 Do you remember seeing me at any point on August 12th? 19 I don't believe I saw you the entire day. 20 Did we have any communication -- during the period of May 21 2017 with Charlottesville 1.0 and Charlottesville 2.0, 22 August 11th and 12th, did we have any kind of communication? 23 I don't believe we had any communication at all. 24 Any face-to-face?

25

Α

No.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 216 of 269 Page 2#.6
                           M. Parrott - Direct
 1
         Did I come to your villa?
 2
         No.
 3
         Did you -- okay.
         No communication?
 4
 5
         No communication. No interaction.
 6
         Who was -- who was the organizer of Unite the Right?
 7
         On paper, it was Mr. Kessler.
 8
              MR. SPENCER: No further questions.
 9
              THE COURT: Sir?
10
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
11
    BY MR. CAMPBELL:
12
         Good afternoon, Mr. Parrott.
13
         Prior to August 12th, did you know the name James Fields?
14
         No, I did not.
15
         Ever met James Fields before August 12th?
16
         No, I did not.
17
         All right. And in the follow-up news coverage and
    internet and whatnot following August 12th, I'm sure you saw
19
   his picture many times, in many different forms?
20
         Yes, I did.
21
         Did that refresh your recollection, or do you ever recall
22
    seeing James Fields at any white nationalist event?
23
         No.
24
         And I think you had indicated that you had organized such
```

events for decades and had dozens of rallies, correct?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 217 of 269 Page d#.
                           M. Parrott - Direct
 1
    Α
         Yes.
 2
         Ever seen Mr. Fields at any of those events?
 3
         No, sir.
 4
         In your mind, when was the Unite the Right rally over?
 5
         When the state of emergency was called.
 6
              MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, sir. I don't have any more
 7
    questions.
 8
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 9
              Do you mind waiting for Mr. ReBrook? We've got him.
10
              MR. JONES: That's fine.
11
              THE COURT: Thank you.
12
              Okay. Mr. ReBrook, you may proceed.
              Is he there?
13
              THE CLERK: He was.
14
15
              THE COURT: Mr. ReBrook?
16
              Okay. Mr. Jones?
17
              Tell him to stand by.
18
              THE CLERK: Okay.
19
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MR. JONES:
20
         Good afternoon, sir. I represent Michael Hill, Michael
21
22
    Tubbs, and the League of the South.
```

You mentioned during your testimony a rally in Pikeville?

23

24

25

Α

Yes.

Good afternoon.

- Q And you referenced the Pikeville template?
- 2 A Yes, I did.

1

- 3 Q What did you mean by "the Pikeville template"?
- 4 A The Pikeville template is where -- and a lot of it had to
- 5 do with the total event management experience. We wanted it to
- 6 be safe, legal, and fun.
- 7 In previous rallies, we had had people show up and then
- 8 they go home. And we wanted to make sure we had, like,
- 9 festivities before and after to make it more of a positive
- 10 total experience for the members. But central to the Pikeville
- 11 narrative was coordinating with the police, with a large group,
- 12 with a stadium-style strategy, to where there would be no
- 13 actual meaningful interface between the two -- between the
- 14 popposing sides, like you had in Pikeville, and then also in
- 15 Shelbyville, which was also...
- 16  $\parallel$ Q Was the League of the South present at Pikeville?
- 17 A Yes, it was.
- 18 Q Did you see Michael Hill?
- 19 A I saw him.
- 20 Q As far as you know, was James Fields there?
- 21 A No.
- 22 ||Q| Was anybody injured in any car attacks in Pikeville?
- 23 A No.
- 24  $\parallel$ Q There was some discussion about -- on Discord where some
- 25 of Traditionalist Worker Party members were discussing

MR. BLOCH: Objection, Judge.

BY MR. JONES:

Q What about this person?

THE COURT: Just a minute.

THE COURT: You're asking a leading question.

MR. BLOCH: Argumentative, leading.

25 Rephrase your question.

23

24

```
BY MR. JONES:
 1
 2
        To your knowledge, did you psychologically manipulate that
 3
   person into obstructing this public roadway?
              MR. BLOCH: Objection.
 4
 5
              THE WITNESS: I did not.
 6
              THE COURT: That's still leading. That's still
 7
   leading. You can ask him what he did -- what he knows, what he
   did; what he knows about that.
 8
    BY MR. JONES:
 9
        Do you know whether you, in fact, psychologically
10
11
   manipulated this person into standing in the public roadway?
12
        I did not --
13
              THE COURT: That's leading, too, because you're
14
   putting the information into it and asking for a yes answer,
15
   suggesting a yes answer, or no.
16
              MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
    BY MR. JONES:
17
18
        Were you deposed in this case?
19
        Yes. Twice.
20
        Were those both a full day?
21
   Α
        Yes.
22
        Did plaintiffs show you a single instance from August 12th
23
   where you psychologically manipulated an Antifa
24
   counter-protester?
25
              MR. BLOCH: Objection, Judge.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, let's -- do you know what he means when he says "psychologically manipulating"? Mr. Parrott, do you understand what he's asking you when he asks did you psychologically manipulate any person? THE WITNESS: Yes. I fully understand. THE COURT: Tell us if any persons at that rally, that you had anything to do with psychologically, or if you attempted in any way to manipulate anyone. THE WITNESS: I have seen no evidence whatsoever, throughout this expansive thing, of --MR. BLOCH: Judge, I object to his characterization of all of the evidence he has seen, and I move to strike that answer. MR. JONES: I think that's within his personal knowledge. He can testify to that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yeah, he can testify -- well, we don't know what all he's seen. So just testify as to what you did with regard to impressing upon -- activities upon persons who were not part of your group, but were of the opposition. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have a rule to never be clever, and I did not engage in psyops to pretend to be Antifa or to manipulate Antifa at any time. BY MR. JONES:

- 1 Q There was a part of the DeAndre Harris Market Street
- 2 garage video shown to you. Do you recall that, earlier today?
- 3 A Yes, I do.
- 4 Q Now, you referenced earlier parts of that video; is that
- 5 ||right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7  $\blacksquare$ Q Have you seen earlier parts of that video?
- 8 A Yes, I have.
- 9 Q There was also a reference to an email I think you sent
- 10 out to Traditionalist Worker Party members about smiling --
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q -- do you recall that?
- Okay. Do you recall whether anyone was injured by
- 14 observing somebody smile at the rally?
- 15  $\blacksquare$ A Not to my recollection.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q Did you conspire with Michael Hill, Michael Tubbs, or the
- 17 | League of the South to commit racially motivated violence by
- 18 smiling at the rally?
- 19 A I did not.
- 20 Q Did you keep track of whether any of the Traditionalist
- 21 Worker Party followed your directive to smile at the rally?
- 22  $\blacksquare$ A I kept no formal data on that matter.
- 23 Q Did you discipline anybody for not following that
- 24 directive?
- 25 A No, I did not.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 223 of 269 Page 2#.
                           M. Parrott - Direct
 1
              MR. JONES: Thank you. That's all the questions I
 2
    have.
 3
              THE COURT:
                           Is Mr. ReBrook back? If he's not --
 4
              THE CLERK: He is.
 5
              THE COURT: Have we got him?
 6
              Mr. ReBrook?
 7
              MR. REBROOK: Judge, can you hear me?
 8
              THE COURT: Yes.
 9
              MR. REBROOK: Very good. My apologies for the
    technical mishap.
10
11
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
    BY MR. REBROOK:
13
         Mr. Parrott, how are you this afternoon?
         I'm doing very well, sir.
14
15
         Excellent. I just have a few brief questions.
16
         Was Jeff Schoep in any way involved in planning the Unite
17
    the Right rally with you?
         I do not believe me and him did any of the planning
18
19
    together, no.
20
         Did you witness Mr. Schoep perpetuate any act of physical
21
    violence during the Unite the Right rally?
22
         I did not --
23
              MR. BLOCH: Objection, foundation.
24
              THE COURT: Were you in a position to see Mr. Schoep
```

25

at the rally?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 224 of 269 Page 0#.
                           M. Parrott - Direct
 1
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I was.
 2
    BY MR. REBROOK:
 3
         Did you at any time during the rally see Mr. Schoep engage
    in acts of physical violence?
 4
 5
         I did not.
 6
         Did you see any member of the NSM engage in acts of
 7
    physical violence?
 8
         I do not recall seeing that.
 9
              MR. REBROOK: I have no further questions.
10
              THE COURT: Thank you.
11
              All right. Mr. Cantwell?
12
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MR. CANTWELL:
13
         Mr. Parrott, how are you?
14
15
         I'm doing very well, Mr. Cantwell.
16
         There was a joke referenced during your direct examination
17
    which I'm hoping you can ruin by explaining. There was
    something about -- something about threes. Do you know what
18
19
    I'm talking about?
20
         Yes. That came up twice during my direct examination,
21
    oddly enough.
22
         What's the bit?
23
         Well, here is a --
24
         You know what? I'm sorry.
```

MR. CANTWELL: Could we -- I believe Plaintiffs'

25

- Exhibit 2369 is already in evidence. Can we publish this and 2 show to it the jury, please? 3 THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. CANTWELL: 4 5 All right. So if you could, read that initial post there, and then let's ruin the joke by explaining it, please. 6 7 Yes, sir. "I exist for three reasons alone: To tell minorities that 8 9 they can't have my shit, to tell Jews their Holocaust is fake, and to tell women to calm down." 10 Now, what's so funny about that, Mr. Parrott? 11 12 Well, you're expecting an escalation. And then the final 13 one is to tell women to calm down. As a husband and a family 14 man, that is the most awful thing that you can possibly do, and that's -- that's the joke. 15 16 Okay. And are you familiar with an art form called haiku? 17 Yes. 18 Could you describe what a haiku is? 19 I don't remember the exact structure, the specific
- structure of haikus, but it's a highly structured meme
  template, if you will, that is very similar to -- you know,
- 22 each haiku has a structured format that you work within.
- 23  $\mathbb{Q}$  A meme template. There's a rhythm to it?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q And without -- and if you fall into the rhythm and you

know the joke, fair to say that there's -- therein the punch 2 line lies, right? 3 Yes. So somebody who is not initiated in the culture might find 4 5 that a little bit odd, fair to say? 6 MR. BLOCH: Judge, I'm going to object to the 7 leading. 8 MR. CANTWELL: Okay. 9 Now, we saw another exercise of something similar, I believe in what was -- well, wait a second. What was the file 10 11 name on that? 12 There was a video file that you played -- plaintiffs' 13 counsel played. I have this -- when I pull this up here, it says "State of Emergency Declared After White Nationalist 14 15 Gathering in Charlottesville." Does plaintiffs' counsel know 16 which video I'm talking about, which plaintiffs' exhibit file that is? 17 18 MR. BLOCH: No. THE WITNESS: I do recall what Mr. Cantwell... 19 20 MR. CANTWELL: Bear with me one moment, folks. I'm 21 very sorry. 22 Does plaintiffs' counsel recall a video they played 23 where a gentleman said, "three, kill Jews"? 24 MR. BLOCH: Yes. 25 MR. CANTWELL: Can you tell me what exhibit number

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 227 of 269 Page 227.
                           M. Parrott - Direct
    that is?
 1
 2
              MR. BLOCH: 2540.
 3
              MR. CANTWELL: 2540. All right.
              I have Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2540 pulled up. I believe
 4
 5
    this is already in evidence. I've got it cued up to that
    moment, and I'd like to publish this and show it to the jury.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: All right.
 8
               (Video playing.)
     BY MR. CANTWELL:
 9
         Now, real quick, did he say "Republican values"?
10
11
         Yes.
12
         Are you at all familiar with the platform of the
13
    Republican party?
14
         I am.
15
         Do you know of anything in there about killing Jews?
16
         No.
17
         Now, does that line fit the format of that joke you were
18
    describing to us a moment ago, Mr. Parrott?
19
         Yeah.
               It's inverted, where he does banal things, and then
20
    he ends it with a -- yeah, it's a similar.
21
    Q
         Right. The -- our identity, free markets --
22
         And then the punch line, yes.
```

Q There we go. All right. Well, that's -- that's good.

MR. CANTWELL: And pardon my note-taking, but

23

24

25 plaintiffs' counsel also pulled up an image of a Discord post

about -- where Mr. Parrott had said "this is the Discord where 2 we say Wes Bellamy is a nigger." Can we -- can I get that 3 exhibit number? I'm sorry. BY MR. CANTWELL: 4 5 While we wait for that, Mr. Parrott, do you -- plaintiffs' counsel asked you if I was a racist; do you recall that? 6 7 Yes. Α 8 And he asked you if I was an antisemite? 9 Α Yes. Do you think I care if anybody calls me a racist or an 10 11 antisemite? 12 MR. BLOCH: Objection to what this witness thinks 13 Mr. Cantwell cares about. 14 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. CANTWELL: Okay. Fine. 15 16 Two, three, four -- 244 -- 2433. Thank you. 2433. 17 BY MR. CANTWELL: 18 I believe Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2433 is already in evidence. 19 Okay. Great. We published it to the jury. 20 So, Mr. Parrott, on October 27, 2017, you say, "This is 21 the channel where we can and should confirm that Wes Bellamy is 22 a nigger." 23 What channel was this? 24 I believe that was the first post in the private vetted 25 tradworker channel. It was the -- the implied joke is that you

- 1 can say more -- you can speak more freely.
- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$  And so in a tradworker channel, why would it -- why would
- 3 saying Wes Bellamy is a nigger imply more freedom of speech?
- 4 A Using that kind of language, it's racially insensitive and
- 5 lit's not something for public speech. And even now I'm
- 6 sensitive to the fact that it could be hurtful to people, and
- 7 that's not my intent with that. I have a problem with
- 8 Mr. Bellamy. There were probably more professional ways to
- 9 deal with my problem with Mr. Bellamy.
- 10 Q Was it unusual in alt-right circles to say Wes Bellamy is
- 11 | a nigger?
- 12 A That was a standard meme.
- 13 Q Okay. So fair to say that what comes across here as you
- 14 just being cruel had a prior reference?
- 15 A Yes, that Mr. Bellamy has a long history of vitriolic
- 16 anti-white statements.
- 17 Q Do you remember some of those?
- 18 A I do not recall them right offhand, but they were quite
- 19 choice and he definitely was fair game for ribald humor.
- 20 Q Do you recall if Wes Bellamy's tweets about white
- 21 people --
- 22 MR. BLOCH: Objection, Judge.
- 23 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 24 Q -- had anything to do with the motivation for the Unite
- 25 I the Right rally?

THE COURT: What was the objection? 1 2 MR. BLOCH: Relevance and hearsay. He's testing 3 about the content of somebody else's tweets. 4 MR. CANTWELL: My question is about the motivations 5 for the rally. 6 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. 7 THE WITNESS: On Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2533 we have a 8 video that clearly explains our motive for the rally that was cowritten by Mr. Heimbach and I, in which we explicitly claim 9 that we were attending to rally because of the Confederate 10 11 statues, because of the heritage issue. My great, great, 12 great-grandfather Henry Clay Parrott fought in the 48th 13 Virginia Infantry Regiment, and we were very sincerely there for the statues. 14 15 MR. CANTWELL: Can we take my screen away from the --16 unpublish my screen. Thank you. BY MR. CANTWELL: 17 I don't think we need to publish this one, but Plaintiffs' 18 19 Exhibit 2376 is a Gab post from you where you say on an article 20 about Wes Bellamy, you say, "These two competing visions for 21 America's future are entirely incompatible. There isn't a 22 mutual respect and shared state necessary for compromise and 23 conciliarity. None of this is going to stop until people like 24 him fear us again because fear and force are the only language 25 they comprehend."

- 1 Do you recall making that post? Yes, I do. 2 3 Mr. Parrott, do you understand the process that we're going through right now, do you understand this to be 4 5 voluntary? I'm not voluntarily here. I'd rather be home with my 6 7 family, like many of us. 8 Do you understand government action generally to be a 9 voluntary or compulsory institution? 10 MR. BLOCH: Objection. 11 THE COURT: Overruled. 12 THE WITNESS: It's a compulsory institution. BY MR. CANTWELL: 13 So when we're talking about political power, what are we 14 ultimately talking about? 15 16 We're talking about making people do things under the law. 17 And Wes Bellamy was the mayor of Charlottesville, right? 18 Α Yes. 19 So he had political power? 20 Yes. And if one were to read the article, I was very much 21 speaking about him politically. This could be misrepresented 22 as me saying black people need to fear us, which was not -- not 23 my statement.
  - Q Do you remember posting on August 1st -- I don't have the date here. Well, do you see the post on your screen there?

24

25

```
1
        Yes, I do.
 2
        Do you recall when that is from?
 3
        August 1st.
 4
        And do you recall what year that's from?
 5
        I assume 2017 before the event.
 6
        Okay.
 7
        Yes. Definitely.
 8
        Do you remember making this post?
 9
        Yes, I do.
10
              MR. CANTWELL: Can we publish this and show to it the
11
   jury? This is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2133.
12
              THE COURT: Has it been admitted?
13
              MR. CANTWELL: Can we move it into evidence, publish
   it and show to it the jury, please.
14
15
              THE COURT: All right. Be admitted.
16
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2133 marked.)
17
              (Plaintiff Exhibit 2133 admitted.)
18
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
19
        Could you read that to me, please?
20
        Yes. "There's this impression that the Unite the Right is
21
   a white nationalist event. This is false. Unite the Right is
22
   a broad unity event for every single faction of the right with
23
   the balls to stand and fight for our heritage against the
24
   nightmare swarm of Marxist degenerates. It just happens that
25
   only white nationalists got the balls to hold the line when the
```

- media tries to divide and conquer."
- 2 Q And so the Unite the Right rally has been described almost
- 3 exclusively as a white nationalist event, right?
- 4 A Yes, and that's absurd. There were people of color on our
- 5 side. I remember being beside a black gentleman with the
- 6 Alt-Knights who was fighting for our heritage and identity as
- 7 well.
- 8 Q You know the name Mike Cernovich?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Do you remember if he was planning on attending at some
- 11 point?
- 12 A I don't recall what the play-by-play was on that.
- 13 Q Okay. Do you remember any alt-lite figures who punched
- 14 | out before the event went down who were planning on attending?
- 15 A I believe Gavin McInnes bowed out.
- 16  $\mathbb{Q}$  And what is the alt-lite?
- 17 A The alt-lite would be people who like to sort of have
- 18 contrarian objections to mainstream politics without actually
- 19 ||coming out and being explicitly in favor of anything, like
- 20 Mr. McInnes uses irony very heavily. He doesn't stand for
- 21 | anything. You try to pin him down on any issue and it's like
- 22 Intrying to catch slime. And that's my opinion of the alt-lite.
- 23 Q Wasn't the goal of the Unite the Right rally -- or was it
- 24 the goal of the Unite the Right rally to unite these two sides?
- 25 **|** A | It was.

- Q Did that work out?
- 2 A It doesn't appear to have succeeded.
- 3 Q Okay. Thanks.

1

- 4 MR. CANTWELL: I believe Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2371, I
- 5 believe this is already in evidence, right? It's a Gab post, I
- 6 believe. I'd like to publish this and show to it the jury.
- 7 THE COURT: You may.
- 8 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 9 Q Could you read that post for me, Mr. Parrott?
- 10 A "Sorry about all the obfuscation and intellectualizing on
- 11 Facebook. Fuck the Jews. They're the problem."
- 12 Q Is this front-stage or backstage behavior, Mr. Parrott?
- MR. BLOCH: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 15 THE WITNESS: This was on my Gab.
- 16 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 17 Q Is your Gab public, Mr. Parrott?
- 18  $\blacksquare$ A Yes, it is.
- 19  $\parallel$ Q So you knew that people were going to read this?
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21 | Q Were you trying to hide your political views when you
- 22 ||stated this?
- A No, I do not attempt to hide my political views. Never be
- 24 clever.
- 25  $\mathbb{Q}$  The TWP membership guide, that was backstage behavior,

- 1 ||right?
- 2 MR. BLOCH: Objection, leading.
- 3 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 4  $\mathbb{Q}$  Who was the TWP membership guide intended to be seen by?
- 5 A The TWP membership guide was printed for members and
- 6 mailed to new members.
- 7 Q Was it published on the website?
- 8 A I don't actually recall whether it was. It might have
- 9 been, but I don't believe making a special post of it.
- 10 Q You said you had read Mein Kampf when you were questioned
- 11 by plaintiffs' counsel, right?
- 12 A 20 years ago, yes.
- 13 Q 20 years ago.
- 14 Plaintiffs' counsel read to you a quote about poison gas;
- 15 do you recall that?
- 16  $\parallel$ A Yes. And I did not recall that from *Mein Kampf*.
- 17 Q Do you recall if Hitler had ever inhaled poison gas?
- 18 A I believe he had.
- 19  $\parallel$ Q Do you recall him talking about that in *Mein Kampf*?
- 20 A It's been 20 years, but it seems consistent with it, with
- 21 his World War I veteran status.
- 22 | Q Plaintiffs' counsel asked you about your views about race
- 23 and IQ; do you recall that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Have you ever read Charles Murray's The Bell Curve?

1 I have. 2 And does that come across as a racist screed to you? 3 It is not a racist screed. It is an Ivy League scholarly review of the peer-reviewed scientific literature on the 4 5 matter. Was there any profanity in that book? 6 7 There was not. 8 Was there any advocacy of violence in that book? 9 Α No. Was there any advocacy of criminal behavior in that book? 10 11 No. 12 What did you believe the thrust of the book to be? 13 The thrust of the book was that there are broad average 14 differences in g, which is a general intelligence factor. made very clear to point out that g is not everything, and that 15 16 there is a lot of diversity within those ranges. It's a 17 complicated statistical matter. It's a very long book. And 18 the takeaway simply that white people are better than black 19 people would grossly mischaracterize his work. 20 Is it your opinion, Mr. Parrott, that white people with 21 low IQs are useless? 22 MR. BLOCH: Objection, leading. 23 THE COURT: Overruled. 24 THE WITNESS: It is not my opinion that white people 25 with low IQs are useless.

- 1 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 2 Q Is it your opinion that all white people have high IQs?
- 3 A It is certainly not.
- 4 Q You mentioned to plaintiffs' counsel that there are black
- 5 folks out there that are smarter than you, I think?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$  Do you want to name a couple?
- 8 A I don't know. Clarence Thomas?
- 9 THE COURT: I don't think you need to go there.
- 10 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 11 Q Does the name Thomas Sowell ring a bell?
- 12 A Yes, he's a very bright gentleman. I've read much of his
- 13 work. This is cliche, but I have black friends who are smarter
- 14 I than me on social media who I engage with routinely and with an
- 15 attitude of mutual respect.
- $16 \parallel Q$  I think it came out during questioning that you met
- 17 Mr. Heimbach at the American Renaissance Conference, or one of
- 18 | them?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q And is that put on by a man by the name of Jared Taylor?
- 21  $\mathbb{I}$ A Yes, it is.
- 22  $\mathbb{Q}$  Do you know Jared Taylor to use a lot of profanity?
- 23 A He does not.
- 24 Q Do you know Jared Tyler to advocate violence?
- 25 MR. BLOCH: Objection, Judge, to leading and the

1 relevance of this line of questioning. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Cantwell is not a lawyer and these 3 questions are so innocuous. I mean, to go back and reframe them, I mean, is it that prejudicial to you? Well, don't 4 5 object unless it's something prejudicial. I mean -- go ahead. Ask the question. 6 7 BY MR. CANTWELL: 8 Do you know Jared Taylor, the guy who runs American 9 Renaissance, do you know him to advocate violence? 10 Never. 11 Do you know him to have an unkind word about anybody? 12 Not to my knowledge. 13 Could you characterize -- what sort of event is the American Renaissance Conference? 14 15 The American Renaissance Conference is essentially about 16 white identity. It is not accurately described as a white 17 nationalist conference. It is an academic conference that 18 explicitly eschews any kind of political program. 19 THE COURT: Did this come up on direct? 20 MR. BLOCH: No. 21 MR. CANTWELL: Plaintiffs' counsel said that they met 22 at the American Renaissance Conference. 23 THE COURT: Yeah, but that's not -- you've talked 24 about it enough.

MR. CANTWELL: Okay. I'll move on.

25

- 1 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 2 Q Mr. Parrott, what role if any did I have in your plans for
- 3 the Unite the Right rally?
- 4 A None.
- 5 Q Did you attend an August 11th leadership meeting at
- 6 McIntire Park?
- 7 A I did not.
- 8 Q Have you and I ever exchanged a text message?
- 9 A I don't believe so.
- 10  $\parallel$ O Was I in the TWP channel on the Charlottesville 2.0
- 11 Discord?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Was I in the tradworker Discord?
- 14 A I don't believe so, no.
- 15 Q Max Macro talked about these false flag attacks in the
- 16 | intel channel. Did you ever carry out any of those attacks?
- 17 A Absolutely not.
- 18 Q Did you have an Antifa flag at the site of James Fields's
- 19 | car crash?
- 20 A I did not.
- 21 Q Did you ever have an Antifa flag made up?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Does the TWP have a lot of resources?
- 24 A No, sir.
- 25 Q You posted on social media that people should disable

1 their social media; do you recall that? 2 I did post that, yes. 3 And have you ever disabled a Facebook profile before? Yes, I have disabled a Facebook profile before. 4 5 Does disabling a Facebook profile delete the data? 6 MR. BLOCH: Objection. 7 THE WITNESS: No, it does not. THE COURT: You can ask him -- I mean --8 BY MR. CANTWELL: 9 Is it your understanding, Mr. Parrott, that disabling 10 11 Facebook deletes the data? 12 It absolutely does not. I have disabled my Facebook and 13 then re-enabled it. It is not -- it is not destruction of data. 14 15 So when you posted for people to disable their social 16 media, were you telling them to destroy evidence? 17 Α I was not. 18 MR. CANTWELL: Thank you, Mr. Parrott. No further 19 questions. 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. SMITH: 23 How many rallies has TWP, Trad Worker, put on either --24 how many rallies has TWP held or participated in over the 25 years?

- 1 A I believe roughly a dozen as Traditionalist Worker Party.
- 2 It gets fuzzy because Trad Youth was a different organization
- 3  $\blacksquare$  and I held rallies with different organizations before then.
- 4 Council of Conservative Citizens...
- 5 Q Okay. Let's just stick with --
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q -- Trad Worker. How many rallies would you say that Trad
- 8 Worker held?
- 9 A I don't know the exact number. I'm thinking around ten.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A Maybe more.
- 12 Q Let's talk about some of those. What was the first rally
- 13 that you recall?
- 14  $\parallel$ A The first rally as Traditionalist Worker Party would have
- 15 been around 2015. They all blur together. I know one of the
- 16 Ifirst rallies of Traditionalist as me and Heimbach
- 17 | collaborating would be the pro-Syrian rally against the Syrian
- 18 civil war in Michigan in 2013.
- 19 Q Was that rally, in your opinion, a success?
- 20 A Yes, very much so.
- 21 Q Why was it a success?
- 22 A Because we had a couple dozen people show up. We had a
- 23 good turnout. We did get some small-time media coverage of our
- 24 event that we believed was favorable to our position. We got
- 25 the word out that we were protesting America's involvement in

- 1 the Syrian civil war.
- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$  This was back in which year again?
- 3 A I believe 2013-ish.
- 4 Q So after that, did you hold any other -- did Trad Worker
- 5 | hold any other rallies?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. Give me another example?
- 8 A Oh, jeez. Wide open like that, there were so many. There
- 9 was a Columbus Day event in Philadelphia where we went to a
- 10 Christopher Columbus statue. There was a large Antifa presence
- 11 there and there was a large nationalist presence there. We
- 12 were one group among many.
- 13 Q Which year was this?
- 14  $\blacksquare$ A I believe that was 2015. There were others in between
- 15 there. Unless I have a proper list, I can't --
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A I know I'm missing some. There were a lot.
- 18 Q We'll get to that list that you were talking about.
- 19 So this Columbus Day rally in Philadelphia, would you say
- 20 | that rally was a success?
- 21 A Oh, huge success, yes.
- 22  $\mathbb{Q}$  Why would you say that?
- 23 A We celebrated Columbus Day, had great fellowship
- 24 afterwards. Had relatively positive media coverage. And
- 25 despite the large turnout of -- well, it was mainly leftists

- more than Antifa. There was an Antifa presence, but it was
  more liberal counter-protesters, there was no violence. There
  was no -- there was no incident with that. Everybody had a
- 5 Q After that Columbus Day rally in 2015, what other rallies 6 did Trad Worker put on?
  - A I mean --
- 8 Q You said like ten. Just give me another one.

good time. It was definitely more fun.

- 9 A Well, I'm trying to think. All I can think about because 0 of this deposition is Pikeville, Shelbyville, and Unite the
- 11 Right.

7

- 12 Q Well, let's talk about Pikeville. You said Pikeville was
- 13 a big success, right?
- 14 A Oh, absolutely.
- 15 Q And the reason for that was because there was no violence at Pikeville, right?
- MR. BLOCH: Objection to the leading.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 BY MR. SMITH:
- 20 Q Why would you say -- so did you believe that Pikeville was
- 21 a success?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23  $\mathbb{Q}$  And why did you think that?
- 24 A Pikeville was a success because a large number of our
- 25 people, hundreds of our people, gathered in Pikeville with

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

great fellowship, great media exposure, got our message out, and there was a canned food drive associated with Pikeville that was very successful. And there were even -- there was a -- there were even some locals who climbed over the barricade to be with our group, which was one of the more inspiring moments for me where you actually achieved real community outreach. Did you participate -- Did Trad Worker hold a rally in 2015 in Cincinnati? I think -- I think there were two in Cincinnati. was a white lives matter rally about some criminal case. don't remember the details of it, but I remember it went successfully and safely and funly. There was also -- they had attempted to have a BLM event, like a media event, and we, quote unquote, crashed it by being behind the cameras. That was a lot of fun and that was definitely a positive event. Was there an event in 2015 in Bloomington, Indiana? I'm not sure if that was 2014 or 2015. Perhaps 2015. Yes. Tim Wise, an anti-white speaker, was speaking on the campus, and we attended to peacefully protest his event. There was a large Antifa turnout on Kirkwood. There was a large leftist turnout. But the police successfully managed the event and nobody was -- nobody was hurt. Was there also another Tim Wise related protest in 2013?

1 Yes. At that one, where I had -- it was referenced 2 earlier as the one where I threw a punch. A small contingent 3 of Antifa radicals came down from Chicago and attacked us with lock in sock, beat up the old man we were with, and we managed 5 to disable one of them. But we were there holding signs and peacefully protesting Tim's campus event, and were assaulted. 7  $\parallel$ It went rather well. The man recovered and he ended up joining 8 us later for drinks. 9 That's good. 10 Was there a protest that Trad Worker held in 2013 that related to Boxcar Books? 11 12 Yeah, that's the one with the terrible sign, with the 13 Zionism sign beside the communism sign. That was a leftist, Marxist bookstore at Indiana University Bloomington that we 14 15 went to protest. 16 Was there any violence at that? 17 There was no violence whatsoever. 18 Another peaceful event? 19 Yes. 20 Let's see. In 2013 was there a pro-Assad rally that 21 you --22 We already mentioned that, up in Michigan, against the 23 American involvement in the Syrian civil war. 24 Forgive me. There's just so many peaceful events Trad

25

Worker put on I lost --

1 MR. BLOCH: Objection to the commentary, Judge. 2 MR. SMITH: Withdrawn. 3 BY MR. SMITH: In 2011 was there a protest that Trad Worker held 4 5 regarding Senate Bill 590? Well, that was before I met Mr. Heimbach. So it would 6 7 probably be before the relevant term. But it was at the 8 statehouse and there was certainly no violence. Let's talk about some of these other events that we've 9 heard about, specifically Sacramento. 10 11 Yes. 12 MR. SMITH: At this time I'd like to request a 13 sidebar. There's an evidentiary issue, Judge. Okay. 14 THE COURT: 15 (Sidebar.) 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, we've recently come into some 18 evidence on October -- on October 21st, one of the defendants 19 in this case, Nathan Damigo, received a document from the 20 California Highway -- from the Department of California Highway 21 Patrol in California that related to the Sacramento event back 22 in 2016 pursuant to a Freedom of -- California Public Records 23 Act request, FOIA request. The document demonstrates a 24 conspiracy to commit racially motivated violence against 25 members of the Traditionalist Worker Party by Antifa. And it

```
1
   lays it out in great detail. We'd like to introduce this as
 2
   evidence, Judge.
 3
             THE COURT: What could possibly be --
             MR. SMITH: First of all, this investigation, this is
 4
 5
   what a conspiracy to commit racially motivated violence against
   permitted rally-goers looks like.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Any objection to that?
 8
             MR. BLOCH: Oh, yeah.
 9
             MR. SMITH: Of course there would be objections.
             THE COURT: Under what rule of evidence is it
10
   admissible?
11
12
             MR. SMITH: Well, these are public records, Your
13
   Honor.
14
             THE COURT: Well, have they been presented to anybody
15
   before today?
16
             MR. SMITH: Your Honor, the earliest that this could
17
   have been presented was October --
18
             THE COURT: But this is the first time it's been
19
   presented, right?
20
             MR. SMITH: We just came into it, Judge.
21
             THE COURT: Okay. Well, it's too late.
22
             MS. KAPLAN: It was emailed to us literally
23
   20 minutes ago.
24
             MR. SMITH: The document --
25
             THE COURT:
                          Too late.
```

```
MR. SMITH: -- only came to Mr. Damigo on the 21st,
 1
 2
    Judge. It didn't exist before that.
 3
             THE COURT: Well, the 21st is -- what's today?
 4
   November-something?
 5
             MS. KAPLAN: Today is November 9th.
 6
             THE COURT: It's too late.
 7
             MR. SMITH:
                         It goes to state of mind, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: State of mind?
 8
 9
             MR. SMITH: Yes. This is what TWP has to deal with,
   with every single event they put on.
10
11
             THE COURT: Okay. He can testify about his state of
12
   mind, not the state police's state of mind. He can testify as
13
   to his state of mind. But he didn't have that. He just got
          So it didn't affect his state of mind back in August.
14
   that.
15
             MR. SMITH: Well, the events that occurred there --
16
             THE COURT: Look I'm ruling it's not admissible.
17
   It's too late. It's not proper.
18
             MS. KAPLAN: To the extent there are documents that
19
   he's had since October 21st --
20
             MR. SMITH: No, no, I didn't have this since
21
   October 21st.
22
             MS. KAPLAN: All the parties in this case have to
23
   produce documents that they want to use.
24
             THE COURT: Right. I'm ruling.
25
             MS. KAPLAN: Okay.
```

```
1
              (Sidebar concluded.)
 2
    BY MR. SMITH:
 3
        You spoke about the Sacramento event?
 4
        Yes.
 5
        Tell me everything you know about the Sacramento event.
 6
        What I know --
 7
              THE COURT: Well, not everything. Something that --
   you have to steer him to something relevant to this case.
 8
   BY MR. SMITH:
 9
        Was TWP, Trad Worker -- I'm sorry, was there violence
10
11
   committed against Trad Worker at the Sacramento event?
12
        Yes, premeditated violence.
13
              MR. BLOCH: Objection.
   BY MR. SMITH:
14
15
        Can you tell me about that, please?
16
        Yes. The Antifa planned and executed to --
17
              MR. BLOCH: Objection, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: What's the objection?
19
              MR. BLOCH: Among other things, foundation.
20
   testifying to the supposed mindset of a cause, Antifa, as to
21
   what they planned and executed with no basis.
22
              THE COURT: Sustain the objection. He can testify
23
   somebody got hurt, but he can't testify about motivation.
24
   BY MR. SMITH:
25
        Do you know who committed the attacks against Trad Worker
```

```
members?
 1
 2
             THE COURT: He has to have a foundation for knowing.
 3
   He has to have perceived something in order to testify about
   it.
 4
 5
             THE WITNESS: Several of our members were --
 6
             MR. BLOCH: Objection.
 7
             THE COURT: What is the question?
 8
    BY MR. SMITH:
        Were there any Trad Worker members that were attacked by
 9
   Antifa at this event?
10
11
             MR. BLOCH: Objection.
12
             THE COURT: He can testify if any were attacked that
13
   he saw, and he can describe those persons. If he doesn't know
   someone was Antifa, he can't call it. He can describe the
14
   person --
15
16
             MR. SMITH: Okay. That's fine.
17
             THE COURT: -- that might have done it.
18
             MR. SMITH: I can rephrase the question.
19
    BY MR. SMITH:
20
        Were there any Trad Worker members that were attacked by
21
   anybody?
22
        Yes.
23
   Q Okay. Tell me about that.
24
             MR. BLOCH: Objection, Judge. Mr. Parrott was not
25
  present for that rally. So any testimony is by definition
```

```
1
  based on hearsay.
 2
             THE COURT: Well, that's what I said. He had to have
 3
   perceived it. Was he there?
 4
             THE WITNESS: I was not there.
 5
             THE COURT: Okay. That ends it.
 6
    BY MR. SMITH:
 7
        Were you present at any rallies at which Trad Worker
 8
   members were attacked?
 9
        Oh, yes.
10
        Okay. So tell me about that.
11
             MR. BLOCH: Objection.
12
             MR. SMITH: It seems like they're trying to --
13
             THE COURT: You have to ask a person a question so
   that the other side knows what type of evidence you're trying
14
15
   to elicit so they will know if they have need to object to it.
    BY MR. SMITH:
16
17
        At which rallies were you present where Trad Worker
18
   members were attacked?
19
        Well, the Unite the Right, Trad Workers were attacked. At
20
   Terre Haute, Trad Workers were attacked. There -- I said there
21
   were no incidents that --
22
             THE COURT: What does Terre Haute mean? When was
23
   Terre Haute?
24
             THE WITNESS: 2014, sir.
25
             THE COURT: Okay.
```

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: At the Bloomington event, our people were attacked repeatedly, though there were no major -- there were no injuries, and we regarded it as an event. Everybody recovered and partied the next night with their ice packs. BY MR. SMITH: But they were still attacked? Yes. Do you know who attacked them? It was -- they were definitely wearing the clothes and accoutrements of Antifa. Okay. And that was --MR. BLOCH: Object to the foundation of that, Judge, and move to strike. MR. SMITH: There's been substantial foundation. THE COURT: He can describe what they were wearing and what he knows about what they were wearing. BY MR. SMITH: Just to be clear, were we talking about Bloomington or Terre Haute? Well, both, but I was speaking about Bloomington. We had already spoken about Terre Haute, how we were attacked with the lock and socks. So Trad Worker -- with this history of Trad Worker members being attacked at these events, Trad Worker needs to take safety very seriously, correct?

1 MR. BLOCH: Objection to the commentary and the 2 leading. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. 4 BY MR. SMITH: 5 What's Trad Worker's position on safety? Safety first. Safely go have fun. 6 7 Can you expand on that? 8 Yes. We were very sensitive to, around 2015, as the Trump primaries were building up, the Antifa radicals, the opposition 9 to us, became far more aggressive, well-funded, and --10 11 MR. BLOCH: Objection, Judge. 12 THE WITNESS: They were attacking us from --13 MR. BLOCH: There's no foundation. 14 THE COURT: Don't answer. 15 A question like "expand on" is not a proper question. 16 BY MR. SMITH: 17 How was Trad Worker concerned about safety? 18 Trad Worker was concerned about our safety based on having 19 been attacked at multiple events. 20 Okay. And how does it take precautions to deal with that 21 safety problem? 22 We were wearing shields and helmets and staying together 23 in a group. We were discouraging people from going anywhere 24 solo. We were very cognizant of transportation issues to make 25 sure nobody got stranded. The shields, the helmets, and the

- gathering all together was the foundation of it.
- 2 Q Okay. Were you present for anything involving DeAndre
- 3 Harris?
- 4 A I was not present during that, no.
- 5 Q Okay. Let's talk about those emails that you wrote.
- 6 One second, please. Sorry.
- 7 So that first email, "Charlottesville event cooperation"
- $8 \parallel \text{is the subject}?$
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q You're familiar with it. I believe plaintiffs' counsel
- 11 has introduced those as PX-3870 to 3874.
- 12 A I don't recall the exact number. I presume.
- 13  $\mathbb{Q}$  So in the first email -- hopefully this comes up on the
- 14 screen.
- Now, I don't know how well you'll be able to see this.
- 16 A I can read it very clearly.
- 17  $\|Q\|$  Great. Would you read that email to us, please?
- 18  $\blacksquare$ A "This will be the first of a series of emails that will be
- 19 sent in reference to Charlottesville. First of all, I want to
- 20  $\parallel$ address the basics for the upcoming event and what will be
- 21 | required of us within the Nationalist Front and Traditionalist
- 22 Worker Party ranks. Our uniform will be head-to-toe black.
- 23 Pants can be fatigues or black slacks, footwear comfortable and
- 24 also black, boots preferable for males, with the party shirt.
- 25 | Flags and other official party regalia are also allowed and

- shall be addressed here shortly in better detail. Please be 2 advised that if you need a shirt or if the one you currently 3 have is not in serviceable condition that we will need your 4 sizes and quantities." 5 So this is uniform stuff, right? 6 Yes. 7 And it goes on, still talking about the uniform. 8 there is this thing about the shield squad. 9 Can you read that paragraph for us? 10 Yes. 11 "TWP will have a full shield squad as well as have our own 12 security detail and medical team. As it stands, those 13 designated for those roles will be wearing helmets, shields, 14 and other security-related items at all times and will be under 15 direct command of a squad leader from our own ranks. 16 medics will be marked as medical with the pertinent armband and 17 helmet. We also have helmets to give others that will be 18 detailed on the spot for response teams, and those shall be 19 addressed on the upcoming days." 20 Okay. So security detail, medical team, medics? 21 Yes. 22 Okay. What is that paragraph in there about Virginia 23 being an open carry state? 24 There was --
- 25 Q Can you read that for us?

- A Yes. Excuse me.
- 2 "Virginia is an open carry state and weapons are allowed
- 3 within our ranks. I do ask" -- it bounced on me.
- 4 Q Oh. Sorry.

- 5 A "I do ask that if you have a concealed permit that you
- 6 conceal at all times. If you carry a rifle, do so slung
- 7 properly and securely."
- 8 Q Keep going.
- 9 A "This is a peaceful event and we ask that you think it
- 10 through before you carry your arms into the event grounds. We
- 11 are trying to not only be peaceful, but to give that impression
- 12 to all gathered. There will not be chanting of any sort or
- 13 exchanges of vulgarities with Bolsheviks or neoliberals. We
- 14  $\parallel$  will not devolve the rally into a shouting match. The use of
- 15 the Roman salute is completely" --
- 16  $\parallel$ Q Okay. You can stop. We already heard about that.
- 17 | And this particular email was written by Cesar?
- 18 A Yes. Edited and delivered by me.
- 19 Q Okay. Now, that's August 3rd. So that was nine days
- 20 | before Unite the Right?
- 21 **|** A Yes.
- 22 Q Okay. Now, let's go to this second email that was sent
- 23 out, and the date of that is August 7th, 2017. So four days
- 24 | later.
- 25 And, again, these all went to Trad Worker members, right,

- all these emails?
- 2  $\blacksquare$ A These went to the attendees.
- 3  $\mathbb{Q}$  Okay. So what is the -- can you start with the paragraph
- that says: "As a remainder to all attendees"? Do you see
- 5 | that?

- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Can you read that paragraph for us?
- 8 A "As a reminder to all attendees, if the enemy comes to
- 9 oppose us, we must under all circumstances follow the law and
- 10 work to deescalate conflict. Do not bring any weapons, tools,
- 11 or implements that are illegal. Comrades who have concealed
- 12 carry permits that are valid in Virginia are allowed to carry.
- 13 If we are attacked, we will follow the law and defend ourselves
- 14 and our comrades, but under no circumstances will we aim to
- 15 provoke or incite conflict." Bold: "This means we will not be
- 16 | screaming at, cursing, insulting, or name-calling Antifa while
- 17 | at the event."
- 18 Q The plaintiffs in this case are alleging that you mean the
- 19 **∥**opposite of that when you say that; is that correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 MR. BLOCH: Objection.
- 22 BY MR. SMITH:
- 23  $\parallel$ Q So when you say this, you mean what you say?
- 24 A Yes. This was backstage. This was for -- only people who
- 25 had signed up to attend and had passed the vetting process were

```
going to be reading these emails.
 2
        When you say -- so you said "nothing intimidates our
 3
   opponents more than confidence and each and every attendee
   needs to radiate positivity, which is contagious, after all.
 5
   Smile."
        Were you -- plaintiffs' counsel seemed to imply that you
 6
 7
   were trying to -- saying that people should intimidate other
 8
   people with their smiles --
 9
             MR. BLOCH:
                         Judge, I object --
10
             MR. SMITH:
                          It was his characterization, Your Honor.
11
             MR. BLOCH: -- as to what I was insinuating.
12
             MR. SMITH: He said it explicitly.
13
             THE COURT: Don't characterize, please, plaintiffs'
14
   counsel's --
    BY MR. SMITH:
15
16
        Were you saying that members of Trad Worker should
17
   intimidate people with smiles?
18
        No. I don't believe smiling is an aggressive intimidation
19
   tactic. I believe that it projected positivity and encouraged
20
   the right atmosphere for the event that we were going for.
21
        No alcohol rule?
22
        Yes. We -- it was no alcohol before or during the event.
23
   People were encouraged to party it up after the event. I did
24
   not party it up, because I don't drink.
25
        Okay. So that was the second email you sent out to Trad
```

Worker members. The third one came the same day, correct? 2 Yes. 3 So again on August 7th. What is this third email about? The third email was about the permit. Our permit had been 4 5 revoked by the City of Charlottesville, and there was all kinds of emergency work to see if it would be reinstated or not. 6 7 were telling people that they should still plan to come because 8 we had contingency plans for just a meet-and-greet or 9 something. We didn't want for attendance to be depressed by the controversy over whether or not our permit would hold. 11 And you noted that there was some sort of similar situation? 12 13 Yes. I believe it was Auburn with Mr. Spencer a few months earlier. 14 15 Tell me about Auburn. 16 Well, I didn't attend Auburn. 17 MR. BLOCH: Object to the relevance of Auburn, Judge. 18 MR. SMITH: I think the plaintiffs raised it several 19 times. 20 MR. BLOCH: Did not raise this issue with respect to 21 Auburn, Judge. 22 THE COURT: It's come up several times. 23 Go ahead. 24 THE WITNESS: Okay.

With Auburn, there -- they attempted to withdraw the

event. They attempted to cancel Mr. Spencer's venue, and he 2 had to pursue legal action to reinstate his venue, but 3 attendance had been depressed by that situation, okay? Trad Worker ended up attending that. One of our 4 5 members was injured by the opposition at that, while attempting to attend a completely legal political event. 6 7 BY MR. SMITH: 8 Was Trad Worker -- were all of Trad Worker's members ultimately able to attend that event? 10 I know some were. I don't know exactly how many of the people who attempted to attend got into the venue. 11 12 Is it possible that some people who wanted to attend the 13 event were denied that ability? 14 It's possible. 15 There was another event where Trad Worker was Okay. 16 attending that Richard -- that Mr. Spencer was holding? 17 Yeah. Michigan State University in East Lansing, I 18 suppose. 19 Okay. When was that? 20 That was in March of 2018. I did not attend that. 21 Was there a similar situation to what happened in Auburn? 22 MR. BLOCH: Object to relevance. 23 THE COURT: 2018? 2018 is after the event in 24 Charlottesville.

MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: So it shouldn't have anything to do with 2 influencing anything about Charlottesville. 3 MR. SMITH: Okay. THE COURT: Was Auburn before or after? 4 5 MR. SMITH: I think Auburn was -- Auburn was --THE WITNESS: It was before. 6 7 MR. SMITH: Before, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. SMITH: Apparently Michigan State was after. BY MR. SMITH: 10 So in that third email you were talking -- you said that 11 12 you were talking about how people should still plan to attend 13 even if there is an issue with the permit? 14 Yes. 15 Okay. 16 Well, plan to come to Charlottesville. We did not 17 instruct people to violate any orders. We were just like, 18 continue your travel plans, and we're going to figure out what 19 we're going to do. 20 Right. Now, on August 9th, which was two days later, the 21 fourth email in this series was sent out. Again, this one is 22 signed by you. 23 There's these mentions of Alpha, Bravo, and Charlie teams. 24 Can you tell me about that?

Yes. There was a controversy going into the rally over

whether women and teenagers and people with health issues should even be attending. There was a lot of media suggesting that this was going to be a big fight. I was confident that it would not end up that way.

And the way we planned it out was we had the Alpha team, who were people who were designated to be -- like, women, children, older people, people with disabilities, people who don't want to fight.

Bravo team were people who would be attending even if it did look like a risky event going in.

And then Charlie team were people who were willing to civilly disobey if the City of Charlottesville unfairly revoked our permit. And that was more just a legal strategy that only I participated in. I snuck up behind the National Guard instead of the statue, and was arrested, while Mr. Heimbach led the Alpha and Bravo teams out.

If you remember an earlier video, it shows Mr. Heimbach directly before --

MR. BLOCH: Judge, I object to the narrative. The question was about Alpha, Bravo, and Charlie teams.

MR. SMITH: I was interested to hear what the witness was explaining.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know if he's still talking about those or not.

Are you still talking about the Alpha --

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Go ahead. 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. There's the video clip where Mr. Heimbach says, "Just 4 5 another day at the park," immediately before walking into the human wall. 6 7 What he was referring to there was an argument 8 between me and him where he said it didn't look like Alpha team 9 should be able to go in, and I told him -- I said, "They have 10 the National Guard here. There's not going to be any major 11 incidents." I said, "They have the Virginia State Police here. 12 They have enough people here. This will be -- and my exact 13 words were -- "This will be a walk in the park." 14 And he had looked ahead and seen the situation, and was basically making fun of my analysis, because it was clear 15 16 that this was not going to be a walk in the park to him at that 17 time. 18 BY MR. SMITH: 19 We've heard testimony earlier in the trial about -- about 20 what we call counter-protesters that were blocking the way of 21 the Market Street crew to get into the southeast entrance to 22 the park. 23 Yes. 24 Was there any space to the sides of the people that were 25 blocking the road to go around?

```
1
        I know there was talk about us supposedly squeezing
 2
   between the vans that were on the sidewalk around --
 3
        Well, somebody seemed upset that you just simply didn't
   take everyone and move around --
 4
 5
             MR. BLOCH: Object to what "somebody seemed upset"
   to, Judge. It's not a question. It's commentary.
 6
 7
             THE WITNESS: Okay.
 8
             I was not in the front, but it is my analysis that
 9
   there was not a better way to get into the park. There was not
   some sort of alternative way. We took the shortest, most
10
11
   wide-open, most sensible route from the parking garage to our
12
   permitted venue.
   BY MR. SMITH:
13
        And you coordinated with the police on that, correct?
14
        Yes. We --
15
16
             MR. BLOCH: Objection to the leading.
   BY MR. SMITH:
17
18
        And did you coordinate with the police at all?
19
             THE COURT: Wait.
20
             THE WITNESS: Yes, through the Nationalist Front.
21
   The League of the South communicated our plans --
22
             THE COURT: Well --
23
             THE WITNESS: -- to the police.
24
             THE COURT: Get back to -- did he -- did you
25
   coordinate?
```

1 THE WITNESS: I did not directly coordinate. 2 THE COURT: What do you know about somebody else 3 coordinating the police? 4 THE WITNESS: I know from what I was told by people 5 at the event at the time. THE COURT: All right. That's hearsay. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 BY MR. SMITH: 9 There's a fifth email in that sequence in which you also again sort of remind people about Alpha, Bravo, and Charlie 10 teams, and you also talk about a rally point? 11 12 Yes. 13 These five emails, these were received by every Trad 14 Worker member that was going to the event, correct? Yes, every attendee, Trad Worker attendee. 15 16 You said -- I'm sorry. Withdrawn. 17 There was some talk about something -- some sort of 18 declaration under oath that was made? 19 Yes. That was a technical matter about --20 This is before I became your attorney, right? 21 Yes. 22 I had agreed that Traditionalist Worker Party only existed 23 as an FEC registered corporation -- or FEC registered party, 24 and not as a corporation. And I hadn't been very familiar with 25 the actual paperwork, and I had made a false statement that

Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 11/9/2021

Members of the jury, I think this is a good place to stop. It's five minutes to 5, and we'll recess until 9:30 -- I'm sorry -- 9 o'clock. We -- usually, it's always 9:30, but this trial is 9 o'clock. 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.

Of course, do not discuss the case or allow anyone to discuss it with you. Do not remain within hearing of anyone discussing it or do any research on anything about the case, listen or watch anything about it.

You're excused at this time.

# (Jury out, 4:53 p.m.)

THE COURT: Anything we need to take up before we leave?

MS. DUNN: Not from the plaintiffs, Your Honor.

MR. SMITH: Not at this time, Your Honor.

MR. CANTWELL: I was just handed this this morning about a plaintiffs' exhibit from The Daily Stormer. I don't urgently need to be heard on this right now, but I'd like to talk about it before a decision is made about it.

THE COURT: Before what?

MR. CANTWELL: I'm sorry, Judge. This document was handed to me today by plaintiffs' counsel about they're trying to introduce an exhibit that's an article from The Daily Stormer. That's Andrew Anglin's website, the defaulted defendant. I have some opinions about this, but I just got it today. I don't need to be heard on it right now, but before a

Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1413 Filed 11/09/21 Page 269 of 269 Pageid 25072

Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 11/9/2021

## CERTIFICATE

I, Lisa M. Blair, RMR/CRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings reported by me using the stenotype reporting method in conjunction with computer-aided transcription, and that same is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.

/s/ Lisa M. Blair Date: November 9, 2021